

## Well-Orders, Ordinals and Ordinal Arithmetic

### Exercise 1

If  $(A, <)$  is a well-order and  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $(B, < \upharpoonright B)$  is a well-order.

**Notation:**  $< \upharpoonright B$  denotes the restriction of  $<$  to  $B$ , i.e.

$$< \upharpoonright B = \{(x, y) \in < : x \in B \wedge y \in B\}.$$

**Exercise 2** If  $(A, <)$  is a well-order and  $f: A \rightarrow A$  is an isomorphism respecting  $<$  (i.e.  $f$  is a bijection and  $a_0 < a_1 \iff f(a_0) < f(a_1)$ ), then  $f$  is the identity on  $A$ .

**Exercise 3** 1. Show that  $\emptyset$  is transitive,

2. find an example of a non-transitive set,

3. show that if  $I$  is any index set and for every  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i$  is transitive, then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  are transitive,

4. find out exactly when  $\{x\}$  and  $\{x, y\}$  are transitive.

**Exercise 4** If  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $\gamma + \alpha < \gamma + \beta$  and  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$ . Give an example why  $\leq$  cannot be replaced by  $<$ .

**Note:** We will always use  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  to denote ordinals without further mention. In that context,  $+$  and  $\cdot$  will, as above, always refer to ordinal addition and multiplication.

**Exercise 5** Assume  $\alpha < \beta$  and show that there is a unique  $\delta \leq \beta$  such that  $\alpha + \delta = \beta$ .

**Exercise 6** If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, then  $\alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  and  $\beta \cdot \alpha$  are limit ordinals, for any  $\alpha$ . If  $\beta$  is a successor ordinal, then  $\alpha + \beta$  is a successor ordinal for any  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both successor ordinals, then  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  is a successor ordinal.

**Exercise 7** Find a sequence  $\langle A_i : i < \omega \rangle$  such that  $A_i \subseteq A_j \subseteq \text{ON}$  whenever  $i < j < \omega$  which violates the following statement:

$$\bigcup_{i \in \omega} \text{type}(A_i, \in) = \text{type}\left(\bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i, \in\right).$$

**Hint:** A sequence with each  $A_i$  finite and  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \omega + \omega$  will do the job; there are of course many other possibilities.

**Definition 1** If  $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_\alpha : \alpha \in \text{ON} \rangle$  is a (class-sized) sequence of ordinals, we say that  $\Gamma$  is normal iff it is strictly monotonously increasing and continuous, i.e.  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 \rightarrow \gamma_{\alpha_0} < \gamma_{\alpha_1}$  and  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \gamma_\alpha = \gamma_\beta$  whenever  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal. We say that  $\alpha$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$  iff  $\gamma_\alpha = \alpha$ .

**Exercise 8** Every (class-sized) normal sequence  $\Gamma$  of ordinals has a fixed point. Moreover, it has arbitrarily large fixed points.

**Hint:** Try to build a strictly increasing sequence of ordinals of length  $\omega$  with its supremum a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ .

**Exercise 9** Show, without using the Axiom of Choice, that for every set  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- $X$  can be wellordered, i.e. there exists  $R$  such that  $(X, R)$  is a well-order.
- There exists a function  $f: (\mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \rightarrow X$  such that for every  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $f(Y) \in Y$ .

Argue that this implies that the Axiom of Choice as stated in Kunen's book (every set can be well-ordered) is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice as usually defined in mathematics (for every family  $A$  of nonempty sets, there exists a choice function for  $A$ , i.e. a function  $f$  with domain  $A$  such that for every  $a \in A$ ,  $f(a) \in a$ ).

**Note:**  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the power set of  $X$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y : Y \subseteq X\}.$$

The existence of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is postulated by the power set axiom.