

Definition A.1. Let (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) be a locality, set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{L})$, and write M_P for $N_{\mathcal{L}}(P)$ (for $P \in \Delta$). Then (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) is a *reduced* if the following condition hold.

$$(R) \quad C_{M_P}(O_p(M_P)) \leq O_p(M_P) \text{ for all } P \in \Delta.$$

Definition A.2. Let (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) be a reduced locality. An object $P \in \Delta$ is *Alperin-Goldschmidt essential* in \mathcal{L} if either $P = S$ or:

- (1) $C_{\mathcal{L}}(P) \leq P$,
- (2) $N_S(P) \in \text{Syl}_p(N_{\mathcal{L}}(P))$, and
- (3) $N_{\mathcal{L}}(P)/P$ has a strongly p -embedded subgroup.

Write $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$ for the set of all $P \leq S$ such that P is Alperin-Goldschmidt essential in \mathcal{L} .

Definition A.3. Let (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) be a reduced locality and let $f \in \mathcal{L}$. Then f is $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$ -*decomposable* if there exists $w \in \mathbf{D}$ and a sequence σ of members of $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$:

$$w = (f_1, \dots, f_n), \quad \sigma = (P_1, \dots, P_n),$$

such that the following hold.

- (1) $S_f = S_w$ and $f = \Pi(w)$.
- (2) $P_i = S_{f_i}$ for all i .
- (3) For all i : either $f_i \in O^{p'}(N_{\mathcal{L}}(P_i))$ or $P_i = S$.

We also say that (w, σ) is an $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$ -*decomposition* of f .

The reader may have noticed that condition (2) in A.3 implies that the sequence σ is determined by w . Thus there is some redundancy in the definition. For that reason we shall also speak of the $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$ -decomposition w and its *auxiliary sequence* σ .

Lemma A.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, \Delta, S)$ be a reduced locality. Then every element of \mathcal{L} has an $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$ -decomposition.*

Proof. Set $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{L})$. Among all $f \in \mathcal{L}$ such that f has no \mathbf{A} -decomposition, choose f with $P := S_f$ as large as possible. If $P = S$ then (f) is an \mathbf{A} -decomposition of f (with auxiliary sequence (S)). Thus, $P \neq S$. Set $P' = P^f$, and set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{L})$.

By 2.9 there exists an \mathcal{L} -conjugate Q of P (and hence also of P') such that both Q and $Q \cap T$ are fully normalized in \mathcal{F} . As $P, P' \in \Delta$ there are then elements $g, h \in \mathcal{L}$ with $Q = P^g = (P')^h$. Since $N_S(Q) \in \text{Syl}_p(N_{\mathcal{L}}(Q))$ it follows from 2.3(b) and Sylow's theorem that g and h may be chosen so that $N_S(Q)$ contains both $N_S(P)^g$ and $N_S(P')^h$. The maximality of P then implies that g and h possess \mathbf{A} -decompositions. The same is then true of g^{-1} and h^{-1} via the inverses of the words (and the reversals of the sequences of subgroups of S) which yield \mathbf{A} -decomposability for g and h .

Set $f' = g^{-1}fh$, $M = N_{\mathcal{L}}(Q)$, and $R = N_S(Q)$. Then $f' \in M$, $u := (g, f', h^{-1}) \in \mathbf{D}$ via Q , and $\Pi(u) = f$. If f' has an \mathbf{A} -decomposition then so does f , and thus we may assume that $f = f'$ and $P = Q = P'$. Moreover, $P = O_p(M)$ since we now have $f \in M$

and $O_p(M) \leq S_f$. Applying the Alperin-Goldschmidt Theorem [Gold] to M , we find that the conjugation automorphism $c_f \in \text{Aut}(P)$ is a composition $c_f = c_{x_1} \circ \cdots \circ c_{x_n}$ with $x_i \in N_M(E_i)$ for some $E_i \in \mathbf{A}(M)$, and where $\mathbf{A}(M)$ denotes the Alperin-Goldschmidt conjugation family. Thus $N_M(E_i)/E_i$ has a strongly p -embedded subgroup, and hence $Q \leq E_i$ for all i .

Set $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$ and suppose that x has a \mathbf{A} -decomposition. Set $z = fx^{-1}$. Then $z \in C_M(P)$, and since \mathcal{L} is reduced (by hypothesis), we obtain hence $z \in O_p(M)$. Thus $z \in P$. Let (w, σ) be an \mathbf{A} -decomposition of x . Then $((z) \circ w, (S) \circ \sigma)$ is an \mathbf{A} -decomposition of f . We conclude that

(*) x has no \mathbf{A} -decomposition.

There is then an index k such x_k has no \mathbf{A} -decomposition. Then $Q = E_k$ by the maximality of Q , and hence M/Q has a strongly p -embedded subgroup. Thus $Q \in \mathbf{A}$. By the Frattini Lemma (for groups) we may write $x = ab$ where $a \in O_p(M)$ and where $b \in N_M(N_S(Q))$. Then b has an \mathbf{A} -decomposition by the maximality of Q , while (a, Q) is itself a \mathbf{A} -decomposition for a . This shows that x has a \mathbf{A} -decomposition, contrary to (*), and completing the proof. \square

The proof of A.4 can be altered to yield a proof of:

Lemma A5. *Let (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) be a locality, and set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{L})$. Then \mathcal{F} is generated by its fusion subsystems $\mathcal{F}_{N_S(P)}(M_P)$, as P varies over objects $P \in \Delta$ such that P is fully normalized in \mathcal{F} , and such that either $P = S$ or M_P/P has a strongly p -embedded subgroup.*

Proof. [Exercise: Just follow along with the proof of A4, using \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{L} .] \square

Remark. With A5 we now have the result (stated as 2.10.5 in the lectures) that if (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) is a locality then its fusion system $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{L})$ is Δ -saturated. That is, (A) every $P \in \Delta$ has an \mathcal{L} -conjugate which is fully normalized in \mathcal{F} ; (B) each $M_P := N_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ for P a fully normalized object satisfies the condition that $\mathcal{F}_{N_S(P)}(M_P)$ is equal to $N_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$; and (C) \mathcal{F} is generated by the fusion subsystems $N_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ for $P \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{F}^c$ with P fully normalized.

In the case that $\mathcal{F}^c \subseteq \Delta$, these conditions suffice to guarantee that \mathcal{F} is in fact saturated. The proof of this can be found in David Craven's book on fusion systems (somewhere in the middle: sorry for the imprecise reference). The proof is lengthy - and one wonders if it can be simplified. (It's based on the proof in [BCGLO1].) But A4 is so much nicer than A5 that one is led to make the following definitions.

Definition A6. Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on S , and let $P \leq S$ be a subgroup of S . Then P is *radical* in \mathcal{F} if $\text{Inn}(P) = O_p(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P))$. (Write \mathcal{F}^{cr} for the set of all $P \leq S$ such that P is both centric and radical in \mathcal{F} .) We say that the subgroup $P \leq S$ is *subcentric* in \mathcal{F} if P has a fully normalized \mathcal{F} -conjugate such that the group $A_P := \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ satisfies the condition: $C_{A_P}(O_p(A_P)) \leq O_p(A_P)$. (Write \mathcal{F}^s for the set of all $P \leq S$ such that P is subcentric in \mathcal{F} . Terminology and notation due to Ellen Henke.)

Definition A7. Let (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) be a locality, and set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{L})$. Then (\mathcal{L}, Δ, S) is a Δ -linking system if the following conditions hold.

(LS1) \mathcal{F} is saturated.

(LS2) $\mathcal{F}^{cr} \subseteq \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{F}^s$.

(LS3) $C_{\mathcal{L}}(O_p(N_{\mathcal{L}}(P))) \leq O_p(N_{\mathcal{L}}(P))$ for all $P \in \Delta$.