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Abstract. We establish two general identities for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, which are of a new type and have many consequences. The most striking result in this paper is as follows: If $n$ is a positive integer, $r + s + t = n$ and $x + y + z = 1$, then we have

$$r \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n + s \begin{bmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_n + t \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_n = 0$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{s}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_{n-k}(x) B_k(y).$$

It is interesting to compare this with the following property of determinants:

$$r \begin{vmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{vmatrix}_n + s \begin{vmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{vmatrix}_n + t \begin{vmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{vmatrix}_n = 0.$$

Our symmetric relation implies the curious identities of Miki and Matiyasevich as well as some new ones for Bernoulli polynomials such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 B_k(x) B_{n-k}(x) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k-1}{k} B_k(x) B_{n-k}.$$

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots \}$. The well-known Bernoulli numbers $B_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are rational numbers defined by

$$B_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} B_k = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}^+).$$
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Similarly, Euler numbers \( E_n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) are integers given by
\[
E_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} E_k = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}^+).
\]

For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the Bernoulli polynomial \( B_n(x) \) and the Euler polynomial \( E_n(x) \) are as follows:
\[
B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad E_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k}{2^k} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-k}.
\]

Clearly \( B_n(0) = B_n \) and \( E_n(1/2) = E_n/2^n \). Here are some basic properties of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials we will need later.
\[
B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x), \quad \Delta(B_n(x)) = nx^{n-1};
\]
\[
E_n(1-x) = (-1)^n E_n(x), \quad \Delta^*(E_n(x)) = 2x^n.
\]

(The operators \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta^* \) are defined by \( \Delta(f(x)) = f(x+1) - f(x) \) and \( \Delta^*(f(x)) = f(x+1) + f(x) \).) Also, \( B'_{n+1}(x) = (n+1)B_n(x) \) and \( E'_{n+1}(x) = (n+1)E_n(x) \).

For a sequence \( \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of complex numbers, its dual sequence \( \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is given by \( a_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k a_k \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\). It is well known that \( a_{n}^{**} = a_n \).

In 2003 Z. W. Sun [S2] deduced some combinatorial identities in dual sequences. The sequences \( \{(-1)^n B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{(-1)^n E_n(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) are both self-dual sequences (cf. [S2]), later we will make use of this fact.

In 1978 H. Miki [Mi] discovered the following curious identity:
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} - \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} = 2H_n \frac{B_n}{n}
\]
for every \( n = 4, 5, \ldots \), where \( H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \cdots + 1/n \). In 1997 Y. Matiyasevich [Ma] found another identity of this type:
\[
(n+2) \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} B_k B_{n-k} - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n+2}{k} B_k B_{n-k} = n(n+1)B_n
\]
for any \( n = 4, 5, \ldots \). These two identities are of a deep nature. In fact, all known proofs of these identities by others are complicated (cf. [Mi], [G] and [DS]); for example, the approach of G. V. Dunne and C. Schubert [DS] was even motivated by quantum field theory and string theory.
Recently the authors [PS] presented a new method to handle such identities. Though their approach only involves differences and derivatives of polynomials, they were able to use the powerful method to extend Miki’s and Matiyasevich’s identities to identities concerning the sums \( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_k(x)B_{n-k}(y) \) and

\[
\frac{n-1}{k} B_k(x) \cdot \frac{B_{n-k}(y)}{n-k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} B_{n-k}(y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_l(y)}{l} B_{n-l}(x)
\]

(where \( n \) is a positive integer). They also handled similar sums related to Euler polynomials.

Let \( n \) be any positive integer. As usual, \( \binom{z}{n} = z(z-1) \cdots (z-n+1)/n! \) (and \( \binom{z}{0} = 1 \)) even if \( z \notin \mathbb{N} \). Observe that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} B_k(x)B_{n-k}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{-1}{k} B_k(x)B_{n-k}(y)
\]

and

\[
-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} B_{n-k}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{-1}{k-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} B_{n-k}(y)
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{t}{k} B_k(x)B_{n-k}(y).
\]

Inspired by this observation, here we investigate relations among the sums

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{s}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} P_k(x)Q_{n-k}(y)
\]

with \( P, Q \in \{B, E\} \).

Our central result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( x + y + z = 1 \).

(i) If \( r + s + t = n - 1 \), then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x)E_{n-k}(z)
- (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y)E_{n-k}(z)
= r \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-l} E_l(y)E_{n-1-l}(x).
\]  

(1.1)
(ii) If \( r + s + t = n \), then we have the symmetric relation
\[
\begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n + s \begin{bmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_n + t \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_n = 0
\] (1.2)

where
\[
\begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{s}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_{n-k}(x) B_k(y). \tag{1.3}
\]

Remark 1.1. It is interesting to compare (1.2) with the following property of determinants:
\[
0 = \begin{vmatrix} r & s & t \\ r & s & t \\ z & x & y \end{vmatrix} = r \begin{vmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{vmatrix} + s \begin{vmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{vmatrix} + t \begin{vmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{vmatrix}.
\]

In view of K. Dilcher’s paper [D], the referee thought that Theorem 1.1 might have a generalization involving sums of products of \( m \) Bernoulli or Euler polynomials. But we are unable to obtain a compact extension of Theorem 1.1 though we have made a serious attempt.

Corollary 1.1. Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and let \( \alpha, x, y \) be parameters. Then
\[
\frac{\alpha + n + 1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{\alpha + k}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y)
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + n + 1}{k} \left( (-1)^{n-k} B_k(x) - \binom{\alpha + n - k}{n-k} B_k(y) \right) E_{n-k}(x - y)
\] (1.4)

and
\[
(\alpha + n + 2) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + k}{k} B_k(x) B_{n-k}(y)
=(\alpha + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + n + 2}{k} (-1)^{n-k} B_k(x) B_{n-k}(x - y)
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + n + 2}{k} \binom{\alpha + n - k}{n-k} B_k(y) B_{n-k}(x - y). \tag{1.5}
\]

Proof. Let \( x' = 1 - x \) and \( z' = x - y \). Then \( x' + y + z' = 1 \). Applying Theorem 1.1(i) with \( r = \alpha + n + 1, \ s = -1 \) and \( t = -\alpha - 1 \) we then get (1.4). (Note that \( (-1)^k \binom{z}{k} = \binom{z+k-1}{k} \).) By Theorem 1.1(ii),
\[
\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\alpha - 1 \\ 1 - x & y \end{bmatrix}_n
= \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha - 1 & \alpha + n + 2 \\ y & x - y \end{bmatrix}_n + (\alpha + 1) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + n + 2 & -1 \\ x - y & 1 - x \end{bmatrix}_n.
\]
This is an equivalent version of (1.5).

Remark 1.2. (1.5) in the case $\alpha = x = y = 0$ yields Matiyasevich’s identity since $B_{2l+1} = 0$ for $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $n > l \geq 0$ be integers. Then

$$
\frac{n - l + 1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k + l - 1} E_{k+l-1}(x) E_{n-k}(y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k + n}{k + l} ((-1)^{n-k} B_{k+l}(x) - B_{k+l}(y)) E_{n-k}(x - y)
$$

(1.6)

(where $\delta_{l,m}$ takes 1 or 0 according to whether $l = m$ or not), and

$$
\frac{n - l}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k + l} B_{k+l}(x) B_{n-k}(y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k + n - 1}{k + l} ((-1)^{n-k} B_{k+l}(x) + B_{k+l}(y)) B_{n-k}(x - y).
$$

(1.7)

In particular,

$$
\frac{(n + 1)(n + 1 - l)}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k + l - 1} E_{k+l-1}(x) E_{n-k}(x)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n + 1}{k} \binom{k + n}{k + l} B_{k+l}(x) (2^{n-k+1} - 1) B_{n-k+1}
$$

(1.8)

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-l} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k + l} B_{k+l}(x) B_{n-k}(x)
$$

$$
= \frac{2n}{n - l} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k + n - 1}{k + l} B_{k+l}(x) B_{n-k}.
$$

(1.9)

Proof. As $(l - n - 1) + n + n = (n + l) - 1$ and $(1 - x) + y + (x - y) = 1,$
by Theorem 1.1(i) we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n+l} (-1)^k \binom{l-n-1}{k} \binom{n}{n+l-k} B_k (1-x) E_{n+l-k}(x-y) \\
- (-1)^{n+l} \sum_{k=0}^{n+l} (-1)^k \binom{l-n-1}{k} \binom{n}{n+l-k} B_k (y) E_{n+l-k}(x-y) \\
= \frac{l-n-1}{2} \sum_{k=\delta_{l,0}}^{n-\delta_{l,0}} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{n+l-1-k} E_k (y) E_{n+l-1-k}(1-x) \\
= \frac{l-n-1}{2} \sum_{k=\delta_{l,0}}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{n+l-1-k} E_{n-k}(y) E_{n+l-1-k}(1-x)
\]
which can be reduced to (1.6). (1.8) follows from (1.6) in the case \(y = x\) since \((-1)^m - 1)E_m(0) = 4(2^m+1-1)B_{m+1}/(m + 1) for m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) (It is known that \((m+1)E_m(x) = 2(B_{m+1}(x) - 2^mB_{m+1}(x/2))\) (cf. [AS] and [S1]).)

In light of Theorem 1.1(ii),
\[
(l-n) \left[ \begin{array}{cc} n & n \\ 1-x & y \end{array} \right]_{n+l} + n \left[ \begin{array}{cc} n & l-n \\ y & x-y \end{array} \right]_{n+l} + n \left[ \begin{array}{cc} l-n & n \\ x-y & 1-x \end{array} \right]_{n+l} = 0.
\]
This is equivalent to (1.7). In the case \(y = x\), (1.7) gives (1.9) because \((-1)^m + 1)B_m = 2B_m for m = 0, 2, 3, \ldots \, \Box

Remark 1.3. Putting \(l = 0\) and \(x = 1/2\) in (1.8) and noting that \(B_k(1/2) = (2^{1-k} - 1)B_k\) (see, e.g., [AS] and [S1]), we then get the following identity:
\[
\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{n+k+1} E_k E_{n-1-k} \\
= - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{n+k}{n} 2^{n-k}(2^{k-1} - 1)(2^{n-k+1} - 1)B_k B_{n-k+1}
\]
for any \(n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.\) Similarly, (1.9) in the case \(l = x = 0\) yields the following new identity:
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}^2 B_k B_{n-k} - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k-1}{k} B_k B_{n-k} = 2\binom{2n-1}{n-1} B_n
\]
for every \(n = 4, 5, \ldots\)

The following theorem can be deduced from Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 1.2. Let \( l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( l \leq \min\{m, n\} \) and \( x + y + z = 1 \). Then

\[
(-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n+k}{l-1}\right) B_{n-l+k+1}(x) E_{m-k}(z) 
+ (-1)^{n-l} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m+k}{l-1}\right) B_{m-l+k+1}(y) E_{n-k}(z)
= - \frac{l}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n}{l-k}\right) E_{n-l+k}(x) E_{m-k}(y)
\]

and

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n}{l-k}\right) B_{m-k}(x) E_{n-l+k}(z)
- (-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n+k}{l-1}\right) B_{m-k}(y) E_{n-l+k}(z)
= (-1)^{n-l} \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m+k-1}{l}\right) E_{n-k}(y) E_{m-l+1+k}(x).
\]

We also have

\[
\frac{(-1)^m}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n+k-1}{l-1}\right) B_{n-l+k}(x) B_{m-k}(z)
+ (-1)^l \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m+k-1}{l-1}\right) B_{m-l+k}(y) B_{n-k}(z)
= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{n}{l-k}\right) B_{n-l+k}(x) B_{m-k}(y).
\]

Corollary 1.3 (Woodcock [W]). Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^k B_{m-k} B_{n-1+k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k B_{n-k} B_{m-1+k}.
\]

Proof. Simply take \( x = y = 0 \) and \( l = z = 1 \) in (1.12). \( \square \)

From Theorem 1.1 we can also deduce the following result.
**Theorem 1.3.** Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let $t, x, y, z$ be parameters with $x+y+z=1$. Then we have

\[
\frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{t}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y) = \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-t}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) + \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k(z)}{t-k} B_{n-k}(y)
\]

and

\[
\frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{E_k(x)}{t-k} E_{n-1-k}(y) - (-1)^n E_n(z) \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t-k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) E_{n-k}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1-t}{n-k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z).
\]

Also,

\[
\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k(x)}{t-k} B_{n-k}(y) - \frac{B_n(z)}{n} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t-k} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) B_{n-k}(z) + \frac{(-1)^n}{n-t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-t}{n-k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} B_{n-k}(z).
\]

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x+y+z=1$. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} ((-1)^n B_k(x) - B_k(y)) E_{n-k}(z) = \frac{n+1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l E_l(x) E_{n-1-l}(y),
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{B_k(y)}{k} E_{n-k}(z) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{l} E_l(y) E_{n-1-l}(x) - H_n E_n(z)
\]

and

\[
(-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} B_{n-k}(x) B_k(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{B_{n-k}(x)}{n-k} B_k(z) = (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{B_k(y)}{k} B_{n-k}(z) + (1-H_n)(n+1) B_n(z).
\]

\[(1.13)\]

\[(1.14)\]

\[(1.15)\]

\[(1.16)\]

\[(1.17)\]

\[(1.18)\]
Proof. Taking $t = -1$ in Theorem 1.3 we immediately get (1.16)-(1.18). □

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x + y + z = 1$. Then

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{E_k(x)}{k} E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{H_{n-1} E_{n-1}(y)}{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) B_{n-k}(y) + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) H_k E_k(z) B_{n-k}(x)
\]

and

\[
\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{E_k(x)}{k} E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{H_{n-1} E_{n}(z) + (-1)^n B_n(y)}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{E_{n-k}(z)}{n-k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{array} \right) H_{k-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z).
\]

We also have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{array} \right) \frac{B_k(x)}{k^2} \left( B_{n-k}(y) + (-1)^n B_{n-k}(z) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{B_{n-k}(z)}{n-k} - H_{n-1} \frac{B_n(y) + (-1)^n B_n(z)}{n}
\]

**Remark 1.4.** In the case $x = y = 0$ and $z = 1$, (1.21) yields Miki’s identity.

The next section is devoted to proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 will be proved in Section 3.

**2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.2**

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers.

(i) We have

\[
\Delta(P(x)Q(x)) = P(x+1) \Delta(Q(x)) + \Delta(P(x))Q(x)
\]

and

\[
\Delta^*(P(x)Q(x)) = P(x+1) \Delta^*(Q(x)) - \Delta(P(x))Q(x).
\]

(ii) If $\Delta(P(x)) = \Delta(Q(x))$, then $P'(x) = Q'(x)$. If $\Delta^*(P(x)) = \Delta^*(Q(x))$, then $P(x) = Q(x)$.

**Proof.** The first part can be verified easily. Part (ii) is Lemma 3.1 of [PS]. □

The following lemma has the same flavor with Theorem 1.1 of Sun [S2].
Lemma 2.2. Let \( \{a_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of complex numbers, and \( \{a_l^*\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \) be its dual sequence. Set

\[
A_k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} (-1)^l a_l t^{k-l} \quad \text{and} \quad A_k^*(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} (-1)^l a_l^* t^{k-l}
\]  

(2.3)

for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( r+s+t = n-1 \) and \( x+y+z = 1 \). Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} x^{n-k} \left( \binom{s}{n-k} A_k(y) - (-1)^n \binom{t}{n-k} A_k^*(z) \right) = 0.
\]

(2.4)

Proof. By Remark 1.1 of Sun [S2],

\[
(-1)^k A_k^*(z) = A_k(x+y) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} x^{k-l} A_l(y).
\]

Therefore

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} x^{n-k} A_k^*(z)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} x^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} x^{k-l} A_l(y)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{n} x^{n-l} A_l(y) \sum_{k=l}^{n} \binom{r}{l} \binom{r-l}{k-l} \binom{t}{n-k}
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{r}{l} x^{n-l} A_l(y)c_l
\]

where

\[
c_l = \sum_{k=l}^{n} \binom{r-l}{k-l} \binom{t}{n-k} = \binom{r+t-l}{n-l} \quad \text{(by Vandermonde’s identity)}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{n-l} \binom{l-r-t+n-l-1}{n-l} = (-1)^{n-l} \binom{s}{n-l}.
\]

Thus (2.4) follows. \( \square \)

Remark 2.1. If we let \( a_l = (-1)^l B_l \) for \( l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), then \( A_k(t) = A_k^*(t) = B_k(t) \). Also, \( A_k(t) = A_k^*(t) = E_k(t) \) if \( a_l = (-1)^l E_l(0) \) for \( l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We fix \( y \) and view \( z = 1-x-y \) as a function in \( x \).
(i) Set

\[ P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z). \]

Then, by Lemma 2.1, \( \Delta^*(P(x)) \) coincides with

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} \Delta^*(B_k(x)E_{n-k}(z)) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} (B_k(x+1)2(z-1)^{n-k} - kx^{k-1}E_{n-k}(z)) \]

\[ = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} (z-1)^{n-k} B_k(x+1) + r \Sigma \]

where

\[ \Sigma = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{r-1}{k-1} \binom{s}{n-k} x^{k-1}E_{n-k}(z). \]

\[ = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{r-1}{n-1-l} \binom{s}{l} x^{n-1-l}E_l(z). \]

Applying Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.1 we obtain that

\[ \Delta^*(P(x)) = 2(-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} (z-1)^{n-k} B_k(y) \]

\[ + r \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-l} x^{n-1-l}E_l(y). \]

It follows that \( \Delta^*(P(x)) = \Delta^*(Q(x)) \) where

\[ Q(x) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) E_{n-k}(z) \]

\[ + r \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-l} E_l(y) E_{n-1-l}(x). \]

Thus \( P(x) = Q(x) \) by Lemma 2.1. This is equivalent to the desired \((1.1)\). \(\square\)

(ii) Set

\[ P_n(x) = \binom{r}{z} \binom{s}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x) B_{n-k}(z). \]
By Lemma 2.1,
\[
\Delta(B_k(x)B_{n-k}(z)) = \Delta(B_k(x))B_{n-k}(z) + B_k(x + 1)\Delta(B_{n-k}(z)) \\
= kx^{k-1}B_{n-k}(z) - (n - k)B_k(x + 1)(z - 1)^{n-k-1}
\]
for every \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, n\). Thus
\[
\Delta(P_n(x)) = rR(x) - s\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k\binom{r}{k}\binom{s-1}{n-k-1}B_k(x + 1)(z - 1)^{n-k-1}
\]
where
\[
R(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{r-1}{k-1}\binom{s}{n-k}x^{k-1}B_{n-k}(z) \\
= (-1)^n\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{r}{l}\binom{s-1}{n-1-l}x^{n-1-l}B_l(z).
\]
Applying Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.1 we obtain that
\[
\Delta(P_n(x)) = -r\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{t-1}{n-1-l}x^{n-1-l}B_l(y) \\
- s(-1)^{n-1}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{r}{l}\binom{t-1}{n-1-l}(z - 1)^{n-1-l}B_l(y)
\]
It follows that \(\Delta(P_n(x)) = \Delta(Q_n(x))\) where
\[
Q_n(x) = -r\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{s}{l}\binom{t}{n-l}B_{n-l}(x)B_l(y) \\
- (-1)^n s\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{r}{l}\binom{t}{n-l}B_{n-l}(z)B_l(y). \\
= -r\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^l\binom{s}{l}\binom{t}{n-l}B_{n-l}(x)B_l(y) \\
- \frac{s}{r}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{k}{k}\binom{r}{n-k}B_k(z)B_{n-k}(y).
\]
Thus \(P'_n(x) = Q'_n(x)\) by Lemma 2.1.
Observe that \( P'_n(x) \) coincides with
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} kB_{k-1}(x)B_{n-k}(z)
- \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} (n-k)B_k(x)B_{n-k-1}(z)
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{r}{k+1} \binom{s}{n-1-k} (k+1)B_k(x)B_{n-1-k}(z)
- \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} (n-k)B_k(x)B_{n-1-k}(z)
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-1-k} (r-k+(s-n+k+1))B_k(x)B_{n-1-k}(z)
=(t-1) \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_{n-1}
\]
and
\[
Q'_n(x) = -r \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t-1}{n-l-1} B_{n-l-1}(x)B_l(y)
+ s \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{t-1}{k-1} \binom{r}{n-k} B_{k-1}(z)B_{n-k}(y)
= -r \begin{bmatrix} s & t-1 \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_{n-1} - s \begin{bmatrix} t-1 & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_{n-1}.
\]
Thus the equality \( P'_n(x) = Q'_n(x) \) gives that
\[
\begin{bmatrix} r & t' \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_{n-1} + s \begin{bmatrix} t' & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_{n-1} + t' \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_{n-1} = 0
\]
where \( t' = t-1 = n-1 - (r+s). \) Replacing \( n-1 \) by \( n \) we then obtain the required identity (1.2). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Clearly \( \bar{n} = m+n-l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). By Theorem 1.1(i),
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}+1} (-1)^k \binom{-l}{k} \binom{m}{\bar{n}+1-k} B_k(x)E_{\bar{n}+1-k}(z)
- (-1)^{\bar{n}+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}+1} (-1)^k \binom{-l}{k} \binom{n}{\bar{n}+1-k} B_k(y)E_{\bar{n}+1-k}(z)
= -\frac{l}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{\bar{n}-k} E_k(y)E_{\bar{n}-k}(x).
\]
That is,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{n+1-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} -l \\ n + 1 - k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) B_{n+1-k}(x) E_k(z)
\]
\[
- \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ n + 1 - k \end{array} \right) B_{n+1-k}(y) E_k(z)
\]
\[
= \frac{-l}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ n - l + k \end{array} \right) E_{m-k}(y) E_{n-l+k}(x)
\]
\[
= (-1)^{m-1} \frac{l}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ l - k \end{array} \right) E_{m-k}(y) E_{n-l+k}(x).
\]

Therefore (1.10) follows. By Theorem 1.1(i) we also have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}} (-1)^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \bar{n} - k \end{array} \right) B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[
- (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}} (-1)^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l - 1 \\ \bar{n} - k \end{array} \right) B_k(y) E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[
= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l - 1 \\ \bar{n} - 1 - k \end{array} \right) E_k(y) E_{n-1-k}(x)
\]
\[
= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-\delta_{l,m}} (-1)^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l - 1 \\ m + n - l - 1 - k \end{array} \right) E_k(y) E_{m+n-l-1-k}(x)
\]
\[
= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-\delta_{l,m}} (-1)^{n-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l - 1 \\ m - l - 1 + k \end{array} \right) E_{n-k}(y) E_{m-l+1+k}(x),
\]

which gives (1.11) after few trivial steps.

In light of Theorem 1.1(ii),
\[
l \left[ \begin{array}{cc} m & n \\ x & y \end{array} \right] = m \left[ \begin{array}{cc} n & -l \\ x & z \end{array} \right] + n \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -l & m \\ z & x \end{array} \right],
\]

That is,
\[
l \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ n - l + k \end{array} \right) B_{n-l+k}(x) B_{m-k}(y)
\]
\[
=m \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l \\ m - l + k \end{array} \right) B_{m-l+k}(y) B_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[
+ n \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{n-k} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -l \\ \bar{n} - k \end{array} \right) B_{k}(z) B_{n-k}(x).
\]

This is equivalent to (1.12). We are done. \(\square\)
3. **Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5**

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer and $s$ be a parameter. Then

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( \binom{s+t}{n} - \binom{s}{n} \right) = \binom{s}{n} \sum_{0 \leq l < n} \frac{1}{s-l}. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( \binom{t-1}{n} - (-1)^n \right) = (-1)^n H_n. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** Observe that

$$\binom{s+t}{n} = \binom{s}{n} \prod_{0 \leq l < n} \frac{s+t-l}{s-l} = \binom{s}{n} \prod_{0 \leq l < n} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{s-l} \right).$$

So (3.1) follows. In the case $s = -1$, (3.1) turns out to be (3.2). \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** (1.1) in the case $s = -1$ yields that

$$(-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-t}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)$$

$$- (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n-t}{k} \left( \frac{t}{n-k} \right) B_k(y) E_{n-k}(z)$$

$$= \frac{n-t}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{t}{n-1-l} \right) E_{n-1-l}(x) E_l(y).$$

For each $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ we clearly have

$$\binom{n-t}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} = \binom{n}{k} \binom{t}{n} \frac{(n-t)(n-t-1) \cdots (n-t-k+1)}{(t-n+k) \cdots (t-n+1)}$$

$$= (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{t}{t-n+k}.$$ 

Therefore

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{t}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y) - \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-t}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)$$

$$= \frac{t}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k(y)}{t+k-n} E_{n-k}(z) = \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \frac{E_l(z)}{t-l} B_{n-l}(y).$$
This proves (1.13).

Now we come to prove (1.14) and view \( s = n - 1 - r - t \) as a function in \( r \). In light of (1.1),
\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-l} E_l(y) E_{n-1-l}(x)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} E_{n-k}(z) \left( \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x) - (-1)^n \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \binom{r-1}{k-1} E_{n-k}(z) \left( \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x) - (-1)^n \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) \right)
\]
\[
+ (-1)^n E_n(z) \frac{(-1)^n \binom{s}{n} - \binom{t}{n}}{r}.
\]
By Lemma 3.1,
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( (-1)^n \binom{s}{n} - \binom{t}{n} \right) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( \binom{r+t}{n} - \binom{t}{n} \right) = \binom{t}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l-t}.
\]
As in the proof of (1.13), we also have
\[
(-1)^l \binom{n-1-t}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-t} = \binom{n-1}{l} \binom{t}{n-1} \frac{t - (n-1)}{l - (n-1) + l}
\]
\[
= \frac{n}{t + l - (n-1)} \binom{t}{n} \binom{n-1}{l}
\]
for every \( l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \). Thus, by letting \( r \to 0 \) we get from the above that
\[
\frac{n}{2} \binom{t}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \frac{E_l(y) E_{n-1-l}(x)}{t + l - n + 1} - (-1)^n E_n(z) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t - l}
\]
\[
= - \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{n-k}(z) \left( \binom{n-1-t}{n-k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} - (-1)^n \binom{t}{n-k} \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \right),
\]
which is equivalent to (1.14).

Now we turn to prove (1.15). Let us view \( s = n - r - t \) as a function in \( r \). Then
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} s & t \\ x & y \end{array} \right]_n = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} n-t & t \\ x & y \end{array} \right]_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{t}{n} \frac{t - n}{l - n + k} B_{n-k}(x) B_k(y)
\]
\[
= (t-n) \binom{t}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{1}{l} \frac{B_l(x)}{t - l} B_{n-l}(y).
\]
On the other hand,

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( s \begin{bmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_n + t \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_n \right)
= (n - t)(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k}(y) \frac{B_k(z)}{n-k} B_k(z)
- t \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-t}{n-k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} B_{n-k}(z) + (-1)^n B_n(z) R
\]

where

\[
R = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( (n - t - r) \binom{t}{n} + (-1)^n t \binom{n - t - r}{n} \right)
= \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( t \left( \binom{r + t - 1}{n} - (t - n) \binom{t}{n} \right) - \binom{t}{n} \right)
= \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{t}{r} \left( \left( \binom{r + t - 1}{n} - (t - 1) \binom{t - 1}{n} \right) - \binom{t}{n} \right)
= t \left( \frac{t - 1}{n} \right) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t - 1 - l} - \binom{t}{n} = t \left( \frac{t - 1}{n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t - k}.
\]

Applying (1.2) we then get (1.15) from the above.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now complete. □

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** We can easily get (1.21) by calculating the limitation of the left hand side of (1.15) minus the right hand side of (1.15) as \( t \) tends to 0. Thus it remains to show (1.19) and (1.20).

(1.13) can be rewritten in the form

\[
\frac{(-1)^n}{2} t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{t-1}{k-1} \frac{E_k(x)}{k} E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{(-1)^n}{2} E_{n-1}(y)
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \frac{n-t}{n-t} - \frac{n}{n-t} \right) B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)
+ \frac{t}{n} \left( \frac{t - 1}{n - 1} \right) \frac{B_n(y)}{t} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k(z)}{t - k} B_{n-k}(y).
\]

Letting \( t \to 0 \) we get that

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{B_n(y)}{n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} E_{n-1}(y). \quad (3.3)
\]
Thus
\[
\left(-1\right)^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{t-1}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y)
\]
\[- \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{t} \left( \binom{n-t}{n-t} - \binom{n}{n} \right) B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) + \frac{B_n(y)}{nt} \left( \binom{t}{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1} \right)
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{n} \left( t - 1 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k(z)}{t-k} B_{n-k}(y).\]

Letting \( t \to 0 \) we then have
\[
\left(-1\right)^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{t-1}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k(z)}{t-k} B_{n-k}(y)
\]
\[- \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{n^{(n-t)} - (n-t)^{\binom{n}{k}}}{t(n-t)} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) + \frac{B_n(y)}{n} (-1)^n H_{n-1}.\]

Observe that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{n^{(n-t)} - (n-t)^{\binom{n}{k}}}{t(n-t)} = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n-l} = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{0 < l < k} \frac{1}{n-l} = \binom{n}{k} (H_{n-k} - H_{n-1}).
\]

Therefore
\[
\left(-1\right)^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{t-1}{k} E_k(x) E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k(z)}{t-k} B_{n-k}(y)
\]
\[- (-1)^n H_{n-1} \frac{B_n(y)}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (H_{n-k} - H_{n-1}) B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[- (-1)^n H_{n-1} \frac{B_n(y)}{n} - \frac{H_{n-1}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_l E_l(z) B_{n-l}(x)
\]
\[= - H_{n-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{2} E_{n-1}(y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} H_k E_k(z) B_{n-k}(x).\]

This proves (1.19).
We can reformulate (1.14) as follows:

\[
\frac{t}{2} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{E_k(x)}{t-k} E_{n-1-k}(y) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) E_{n-1}(y) \\
- (-1)^n E_n(z) \frac{t}{n} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t-k} - (-1)^n \frac{E_n(z)}{n} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right)
\]

\[
= (-1)^n t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-k} \right) \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{E_{n-k}(z)}{n-k} + (-1)^n \frac{B_n(y)}{n}
\]

\[
- \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \binom{n-1-t}{n-k} - \binom{n-1}{n-k} \right) \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z)
\]

\[
- \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{n-k} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z).
\]

In view of (3.3),

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{n-k} \right) \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{k-1} \right) \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z)
\]

\[
= (-1)^n \left( \frac{B_n(y)}{n} + \frac{E_{n-1}(y)}{2} \right) - \frac{E_n(z)}{n}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{E_k(x)}{t-k} E_{n-1-k}(y)
\]

\[
- (-1)^n E_n(z) \left( \frac{t-1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t-k}
\]

\[
= (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{t-1}{n-k-1} \right) \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{E_{n-k}(z)}{n-k}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1) - (n-k)}{t} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z)
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{E_{n-1}(y)}{2} + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{E_n(z)}{n} \right) \frac{(t-1)-(1)^{n-1}}{t}.
\]
Letting $t \to 0$ we obtain that
\[
\frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{E_k(x)}{k} E_{n-1-k}(y) = \frac{E_n(z)}{n} H_{n-1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{E_{n-k}(z)}{n-k}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[
+ H_{n-1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} E_{n-1}(y) + \frac{E_n(z)}{n} \right).
\]
It follows that
\[
\frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{E_k(x)}{k} E_{n-1-k}(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k} \frac{B_k(y)}{k} \cdot \frac{E_{n-k}(z)}{n-k}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{n-k} (H_{n-1} - H_{k-1}) \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z)
\]
\[
+ H_{n-1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} E_{n-1}(y) + 2 \frac{E_n(z)}{n} \right)
\]
\[
= - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} H_{k-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z) + H_{n-1} R
\]
where
\[
R = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{B_k(x)}{k} E_{n-k}(z) + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} E_{n-1}(y) + \frac{2}{n} E_n(z)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n} (E_n(z) + (-1)^n B_n(y)) \quad \text{(by (3.3))}.
\]
This proves (1.20). We are done. \qed
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