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**Abstract**

For a parameter $0 < q < 1$, we use the Jackson $q$-integral to define integration with respect to the so-called $q$-Brownian motion. Our main results are the $q$-analogues of the $L_2$-isometry and of the Itô formula for polynomial integrands. We also indicate how the $L_2$-isometry extends the integral to more general functions.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we use the Jackson $q$-integral to define an operation of integration with respect to a Markov process which first arose in non-commutative probability and which we shall call the $q$-Brownian motion.

The non-commutative $q$-Brownian motion was introduced in Bożejko and Speicher (1991) who put the formal approach of Frisch and Bourret (1970) on firm mathematical footing. Stochastic integration with respect to the non-commutative $q$-Brownian was developed in Donati-Martin (2003).

According to Bożejko et al. (1997, Corollary 4.5), there exists a unique classical Markov process $(B^q_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ with the same univariate distributions and the same transition operators as the non-commutative $q$-Brownian motion. Markov process $(B^q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale which converges in distribution to the Brownian motion as $q \rightarrow 1$. With some abuse of terminology we call $(B^q_t)$ the $q$-Brownian motion and we review its basic properties in Section 2.

Our definition of integration with respect to $(B^q_t)$ uses the orthogonal martingale polynomials and mimics the well-known properties of the Itô integral. The integral of an instantaneous function $f(B^q_t, s)$ of the process is denoted by

$$\int_0^t f(B^q_s, s) \, dB^q_s,$$

where to avoid confusion with the Itô integral with respect to the martingale $(B^q_t)$ we use $d$ instead of $d$.

We define this integral for $0 < q < 1$ and for polynomials $f(x, t)$ in variable $x$ with coefficients that are bounded for small enough $t$. Our definition uses the Jackson integral (Jackson, 1910) which is reviewed in Section 3. This approach naturally leads to the $q$-analogue of the $L_2$-isometry

$$E \left( \int_0^t f(B^q_s, s) \, dB^q_s \right)^2 = \int_0^t E \left( f(B^q_s, s) \right)^2 \, dqs,$$

with the Jackson $q$-integral appearing on the right hand side. In Theorem 4.3 we establish this formula for polynomial $f(x, t)$ and in Corollary 4.4 we use it to extend the integral to more general functions. Proposition 4.5 shows that the integral is
well defined for analytic functions \( f(x) \) that do not depend on \( t \). In Section 5 we use Corollary 4.4 to exhibit a solution of the “linear q-equation” \( \partial Z = aZ \partial B^{(q)} \).

Our second main result is a version of Ito’s formula which takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(B^{(q)}_t, t) - f(0, 0) &= \int_0^t (\nabla^{(q)}_x f)(B^{(q)}_s, s) dB^{(q)}_s + \int_0^t (\nabla^{(q)}_s f)(B^{(q)}_s, s) ds + \int_0^t (\Delta^{(q)}_s f)(B^{(q)}_s, s) ds.
\end{align*}
\]

(1.2)

In Theorem 4.6, this formula is established for polynomial \( f(x, t) \) and \( q \in (0, 1) \). In Remark 4.9 we point out that we expect this formula to hold in more generality.

As in the Ito formula, the operators \( \mathcal{D}_{q,s} \), \( \nabla^{(q)}_x \) and \( \Delta^{(q)}_s \) should be interpreted as acting on the appropriate variable of the function \( f(x, s) \), which is then evaluated at \( x = B^{(q)}_t \) or at \( x = B^{(q)}_s \). The time-variable operator \( \mathcal{D}_{q,s} \) is the \( q \)-derivative with respect to variable \( s \):

\[
(\mathcal{D}_{q,s} f)(x, s) = \frac{f(x, s) - f(x, qs)}{(1 - q)s}.
\]

(1.3)

This expression is well defined for \( s > 0 \) which is all that is needed in (1.2) when \( q \in (0, 1) \).

The operators \( \nabla^{(q)}_x \) and \( \Delta^{(q)}_s \) act only on the “space variable” \( x \) but they depend on the time variable \( s \), and are defined as the “singular integrals” with respect to two time-dependent probability kernels:

\[
\begin{align*}
    (\nabla^{(q)}_x f)(x, s) &= \int_\mathbb{R} \left( f(y, s) - f(x, s) \right) v_{x,s}(dy),
    
    (\Delta^{(q)}_s f)(x, s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(y - x)f(z, s) + (x - z)f(y, s) + (z - y)f(x, s)}{(x - y)(y - z)(z - x)} \mu_{x,s}(dy, dz).
\end{align*}
\]

(1.4), (1.5)

Probability measures \( v_{x,s}(dy) \) and \( \mu_{x,s}(dy, dz) \) can be expressed in terms of the transition probabilities \( P_{x,t}(x, dy) \) of the Markov process \( (B^{(q)}_t)_{t \geq 0} \) as follows:

\[
    v_{x,s}(dy) = P_{qs,t}(qx, dy)
\]

and

\[
    \mu_{x,s}(dy, dz) = P_{qs,t}(x, dy)v_{s,t}(dz) = P_{qs,t}(x, dy)p_{q^2,s,t}(qy, dz).
\]

Transition probabilities \( P_{x,t}(x, dy) \) appear in (2.2). The same probability kernel \( v_{x,t}(dy) \) appears also in Anshelevich (2013, Proposition 21).

As \( q \to 1 \) probability measures \( v_{x,t}(dy) \) and \( \mu_{x,t}(dy, dz) \) converge to degenerate measures. Using the Taylor expansion one can check that for smooth enough functions \( (\nabla^{(q)}_x f)(x, s) \) converges to \( \partial f / \partial x \) and \( (\Delta^{(q)}_s f)(x, s) \) converges to \( \Delta^2 f / \partial x^2 \) as \( q \to 1 \), so (1.2) is a \( q \)-analogue of the Ito formula. We note that there seems to be some interest in \( q \)-analogs of the Ito formula. In particular, Haven (2009, 2011) discuss formal \( q \)-versions of the Ito formula and its applications to financial mathematics.

2. \( q \)-Brownian motion

With \( B^{(q)}_0 = 0 \), the univariate distribution of \( B^{(q)}_t \) is a \( q \)-Gaussian distribution

\[
    \gamma_{t,q}(dy) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - q}}{2\pi \sqrt{4t - (1 - q)y^2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + q^k)^2 - (1 - q)^\frac{1}{t} q^k \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{k+1})dy.
\]

(2.1)

supported on the interval \( |y| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q} \). Here, parameter \( t \) is the variance. (We note that there are several other distributions that are also called \( q \)-Gaussian, see the introduction to Diaz and Parignan, 2009.)

The transition probabilities \( P_{x,t}(x, dy) \) are non-homogeneous and, as noted in Bryc and Wesołowski (2014), are well defined for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). The explicit form will not be needed in this paper, but for completeness we note that for \( |x| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q} \) and \( s < t \) transition probability \( P_{x,t}(x, dy) \) has density supported on the interval \( |y| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q} \) which can be written as an infinite product

\[
    P_{x,t}(x, dy) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - q}}{2\pi \sqrt{4t - (1 - q)y^2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t - sq^k) (1 - q^{k+1}) (t (1 + q^k)^2 - (1 - q)y^2 q^k)}{(t - sq^{2k})^2 - (1 - q)q^k (t + sq^{2k}) y^2 + (1 - q) (sy^2 + tx^2) q^{2k}} dy.
\]

(2.2)

With \( B^{(q)}_0 = 0 \), we have \( \gamma_{t,q}(dy) = P_{0,t}(0, dy) \) and the separable version of the process satisfies \( |B^{(q)}_t| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q} \) for all \( t \geq 0 \) almost surely. Formulas (2.1) and (2.2) are taken from Bryc and Wesołowski (2005, Section 4.1), but they are well known, see Bożejko et al. (1997, Theorem 4.6) and Anshelevich (2013), Bryc et al. (2005) and Szabłowski (2012). We note that for \( |x| > 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q} \) transition probability \( P_{x,t}(x, dy) \) has an additional discrete component; for \( q = 0 \) this discrete component is explicitly written out in Biane (1998, Section 5.3).

As \( q \to 1 \), the transition probabilities \( P_{x,t}(x; dy) \) converge in variation norm to the (Gaussian) transition probabilities of the Wiener process. This can be deduced from the convergence of densities established in the appendix of Ismail and Stanton (1988).
Our definition of the integral relies on the so called continuous $q$-Hermite polynomials $[h_n(x; t) : n = 0, 1, \ldots]$. These are monic polynomials in variable $x$ that are defined by the three step recurrence

$$xh_n(x; t) = h_{n+1}(x; t) + t[n]h_{n-1}(x; t),$$

where $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$, $h_0(x; t) = 1$, $h_1(x; t) = x$. (These are renormalized monic versions of the “standard” continuous $q$-Hermite polynomials as given in Ismail (2005, Section 13.1) or in Koekoek and Swarttouw (1998, Section 3.26).)

Polynomials $[h_n(x; t)]$ play a special role because they are orthogonal martingale polynomials for $(B(t))$. The orthogonality is

$$\int h_n(x; t)h_m(x; t)\gamma_{1, q}(dx) = \delta_{m,n}[n]!t^n,$$

where $[n]! = [n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$. The martingale property

$$h_n(x; s) = \int h_n(x; t)P_{s,t}(x, dy)$$

holds for all real $x$ and $s < t$. When $q = 1$ recursion (2.3) becomes the recursion for the Hermite polynomials, which are the martingale orthogonal polynomials for the Brownian motion (Schoutens, 2000).

It is easy to check from (2.3) that

$$h_n(x; t) = t^{n/2}h_n(x/\sqrt{t}; 1).$$

From (2.6) it is clear that if $|x| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1-q}$ then

$$|h_n(x; t)| \leq C_nt^{n/2}$$

for some constant $C_n$. Explicit sharp bound with $C_n = (1-q)^{-n/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}[k]!$ can be deduced from Ismail (2005, (13.1.10)).

3. The Jackson $q$-integral

For reader’s convenience we review basic facts about the Jackson integral (Jackson, 1910). In addition to introducing the notation, we explicitly spell out the “technical” assumptions that suffice for our purposes. In particular, since we will integrate functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ only, we assume that $q \in (0, 1)$. We follow Kac and Cheung (2002) quite closely, but essentially the same material can be found in numerous other sources such as Ismail (2005, Section 11.4).

Suppose $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded in a neighborhood of 0, and let $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$|b(t) - b(0)| \leq Ct^\delta$$

for some $C < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$ in a neighborhood of 0.

**Definition 3.1.** For $t > 0$ and $q \in (0, 1)$, the Jackson $q$-integral of $a(s)$ with respect to $b(s)$ is defined as

$$\int_0^t a(s)d_q b(s) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(q^k t) \left( b(q^k t) - b(q^{k+1} t) \right).$$

When $b(t) = t$, formula (3.2) takes the following form that goes back to Jackson (1910)

$$\int_0^t a(s)d_qs = (1-q)t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k a(q^k t).$$

Recalling (1.3), it is clear that (3.2) is $\int_0^t a(s)(D_{q,t} b)(s)d_qs$.

We will also need the $q$-integration by parts formula (Jackson, 1910, Section 5). This formula is derived in Kac and Cheung (2002, page 83) under the assumption of differentiability of functions $a(t), b(t)$. Ismail (2005, Theorem 11.4.1) gives a $q$-integration by parts formula under more general assumptions, but since the conclusion is stated in a different form than what we need, we give a short proof.

**Proposition 3.2.** For any pair of functions $a, b$ that satisfy bound (3.1) near $t = 0$ we have

$$\int_0^t a(s)d_q b(s) = a(t)b(t) - a(0)b(0) - \int_0^t b(qs)d_q a(s).$$

**Proof.** Under our assumptions on $a, b$, the series defining $\int_0^t a(s)d_q b(s)$ and $\int_0^t b(qs)d_q a(s)$ converge, so we can pass to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the telescoping sum identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} a(q^n t) \left( b(q^n t) - b(q^{n+1} t) \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{N} b(q^{n+1} t) \left( a(q^n t) - a(q^{n+1} t) \right) = a(t)b(t) - a(q^{N+1} t) b(q^{N+1} t).$$


We also note the $q$-anti-differentiation formula, which is a special case $a(s) = 1$ of formula (3.4)

\[ b(t) - b(0) = \int_0^t (D_q s) b(s) ds. \] (3.5)

4. Integration of polynomials with respect to ($B_t^{(q)}$)

Our definition is designed to imply the relation

\[ \int_0^t h_n(B_s^{(q)}, s) dB_s^{(q)} = \frac{1}{[n + 1]q} h_{n+1}(B_t^{(q)}; t) \] (4.1)

and relies on expansion into polynomials $\{h_n(x; t)\}$.

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that $f(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^d a_m(t)x^m$ is a polynomial of degree $d$ in variable $x$ with coefficients $a_m(t)$ that depend on $t \geq 0$. Then, for $t > 0$, we can expand $f$ into the $q$-Hermite polynomials (2.3),

\[ f(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{b_m(t)}{[m]!} h_m(x; t). \] (4.2)

**Lemma 4.1.** If all the coefficients $a_m(t)$ of the polynomial $f(x, t)$ are bounded in a neighborhood of $0$ then the coefficients $b_m(t)$ in expansion (4.2) are bounded in the same neighborhood of $0$. If all the coefficients $a_m(t)$ satisfy estimate (3.1) then coefficients $b_m(t)$ satisfy estimate (3.1).

**Proof.** Since $h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1}$ are monic, they span the polynomials of degree $m - 1$ so that by orthogonality (2.4) we have $\int x^m h_m(x, t) \gamma_{1,q}(dx) = 0$ for $j < m$. For the same reason, $\int x^m h_m(x, t) \gamma_{1,q}(dx) = \int h_m^2(x, t) \gamma_{1,q}(dx) = t^m [m]!$. Thus the coefficients are

\[ b_m(t) = \frac{1}{t^m} \int f(x, t) h_m(x; t) \gamma_{1,q}(dx) = \frac{1}{t^m} \sum_{j=0}^m a_j(t) \int x^j h_m(x; t) \gamma_{1,q}(dx) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{t^{m/2}} \sum_{j=0}^m a_j(t) \int x^j h_m(x/\sqrt{t}; 1) \gamma_{1,q}(dx), \]

where in the last line we used (2.6). Since random variable $B_t^{(q)}/\sqrt{t}$ has the same distribution as $B_1^{(q)}$, changing the variable of integration to $y = x/\sqrt{t}$ we get

\[ b_m(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j(t) t^{(j-m)/2} \int y^j h_m(y; 1) \gamma_{1,q}(dy) \]

\[ = [m!] a_m(t) + \sum_{j=m+1}^d a_j(t) t^{(j-m)/2} \int y^j h_m(y; 1) \gamma_{1,q}(dy). \]

Thus $b_m(0) = [m!] a_m(0)$ and for $0 < t < 1$ we get $|b_m(t) - b_m(0)| \leq [m!] |a_m(t) - a_m(0)| + C \sqrt{t}$. □

**Definition 4.2.** Let $f(x, t)$ be a polynomial in variable $x$ with coefficients bounded in a neighborhood of $t = 0$, with expansion (4.2). We define the $dB_s^{(q)}$ integral as the sum of the Jackson $q$-integrals with respect to random functions $h_{m+1}(B_s^{(q)}; s)$ as follows:

\[ \int_0^t f(B_s^{(q)}, s) dB_s^{(q)} = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{[m + 1]!} \int_0^t b_m(s) d_q h_{m+1}(B_s^{(q)}; s). \] (4.3)

Since $|B_t^{(q)}| \leq 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q}$ for all $t \geq 0$ almost surely, from Lemma 4.1 and inequality (2.7) we see that the Jackson $q$-integrals on the right hand side of (4.3) are well defined.

As a special case we get the following formula for the integrals of the deterministic functions.

**Example 4.1.** For non-random integrands, we have

\[ \int_0^t f(s) dB_s^{(q)} = \int_0^t f(s) d_q B_s^{(q)}. \]

Indeed, since $h_0 = 1$ the expansion (4.2) is $f(s) h_0(x; s)$ and since $h_1(x; s) = x$, we get $d_q h_1(B_s^{(q)}; s) = d_q B_s^{(q)}$.

By computing the fourth moments one can check that the distribution of random variable $\int_0^t f(s) d_q B_s^{(q)}$ in general is not $\gamma_{2,q}$.
When the coefficients of \( f(x, t) \) satisfy estimate (3.1), we can use (3.4) to write the \( c_t B(t) \) integral as

\[
\int_0^t f(B(s), t) c_t B(t) = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{b_m(t)}{|m + 1|!} h_{m+1}(B(t); t) - \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{|m + 1|!} \int_0^t h_{m+1}(B(t); t) q_s d_q b_m(s). \tag{4.4}
\]

Taking \( b_m(t) = 0 \) or 1 we get formula (4.1).

Formula (4.4) leads to a couple of explicit examples.

**Example 4.2.** Noting that \( x = h_1(x; t) + t \), and \( h_3(x; t) = x^3 - (2 + q)x \), we get the following \( q \)-analogs of the formulas that are well known for the Ito integrals.

\[
\int_0^t B_s^q c_t B(t) = \frac{1}{1 + q} \left( B_t^q + t \right),
\]

\[
\int_0^t (B_s^q)^2 c_t B(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)} \left( B_t^q \right)^2 - \frac{2 + q}{(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)} (B_t^q)^2 + \int_0^t s d_q B_s^q.
\]

We remark that a similar definition could be introduced for a wider class of the \( q \)-Meixner processes from Bryc and Wesołowski (2014, 2005), compare Schoutens (1998, Theorem 7).

### 4.1. The \( L_2 \)-isometry

Our first main result is the \( q \)-analog of the Ito isometry.

**Theorem 4.3.** If \( 0 < q < 1 \) and \( f(x, t) \) is a polynomial in \( x \) with coefficients bounded in a neighborhood of \( t = 0 \) then (1.1) holds.

**Proof.** With (4.2), by orthogonality (2.4) for the \( q \)-Hermite polynomials, we have

\[
E \left( f(B_s^q) \right)^2 = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{b_m^2(s)}{|m|!} s^m
\]

So the right hand side of (1.1) is

\[
\sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{|m|!} \int_0^t b_m^2(s) s^m d_q(s) = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{|m + 1|!} \int_0^t b_m^2(s) d_q(s^{m+1}). \tag{4.5}
\]

On the other hand, expanding the right hand side of (4.3) we get

\[
E \left( \left( \int_0^t f(B_s^q) \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{m,n=0}^d \frac{1}{|m + 1|! |n + 1|!} \sum_{k,j=0}^\infty b_m(q^k t) b_n(q^j t) \times E \left( \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \left( h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \right).
\]

Since \( h_n(B(t); t) \) is a martingale in the natural filtration, for \( j < k \) we have

\[
E \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) p(0) = 0.
\]

Similarly,

\[
E \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) q(0) = 0
\]

for \( j > k \). So the only contributing terms are \( j = k \) and

\[
E \left( \left( \int_0^t f(B_s^q) \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{m,n=0}^d \frac{1}{|m + 1|! |n + 1|!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_m(q^k t) b_n(q^k t) \times E \left( \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \left( h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \right).
\]

Noting that

\[
E \left( \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \left( h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \right) = 0
\]

\[
E \left( \left( h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{n+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \left( h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k}; t q^j) - h_{m+1}(B_{tq^k+1}; t q^{j+1}) \right) \right) = 0
\]
for \( m \neq n \), we see that
\[
E \left( \left( \int_0^t f(B_s^{(q)}; s) dB_s^{(q)} \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{[m + 1]^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_n^2(q^k t) E \left( \left( h_{m+1}(B^{(q)}_{tq^k}; t) - h_{m+1}(B^{(q)}_{tq^k+1}; t) \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{m=0}^d \frac{1}{[m + 1]^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_n^2(q^k t) t^{m+1} \left( q^{k(m+1)} - q^{(k+1)(m+1)} \right).
\]

Here we used the fact that for \( s < t \), by another application of the martingale property and (2.4), we have
\[
E \left( \left( h_s(B^{(q)}; t) - h_s(B^{(q)}; s) \right)^2 \right) = E \left( h_s^2(B^{(q)}; t) \right) - E \left( h_s^2(B^{(q)}; s) \right) = [k!] (t^k - s^k).
\]

This shows that the right hand side of (4.3) matches (4.5). \( \square \)

Suppose that for every \( t > 0 \) the function \( f(x, t) \) as a function of \( x \) is square integrable with respect to \( \gamma_{t,q}(dx) \) so that we have an \( L_2(\gamma_{t,q}(dx)) \)-convergent expansion
\[
f(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{b_n(t)}{[n]!} h_n(x; t).
\]

**Corollary 4.4.** If each of the coefficients \( b_n(t) \) in (4.6) is bounded in a neighborhood of 0 and the series
\[
\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{[n]!} \int_0^t b_n^2(s) s^n ds
\]
converges, then the sequence of random variables
\[
\int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k(s)}{[k]!} h_k(B_s^{(q)}; s) dB_s^{(q)}
\]
converges in mean square as \( n \to \infty \).

When the mean-square limit (4.7) exists, it is natural to denote it as
\[
\int_0^t f(B_s^{(q)}; s) dB_s^{(q)}.
\]

We remark that the verification of the assumptions of Corollary 4.4 may not be easy. In Section 5 we consider function
\[
f(x, t) = \prod_{k=0}^\infty \left( 1 - (1 - q) q^k x + t q^{2k} \right)^{-1}
\]
and we rely on explicit expansion (4.6) to show that the integral (4.8) is well defined for \( t < 1/(1 - q) \). The following result deals with analytic functions that do not depend on variable \( t \).

**Proposition 4.5.** Suppose \( f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k x^k \) with infinite radius of convergence. Then \( \int_0^t f(B_s^{(q)}) dB_s^{(q)} \) is well defined for all \( t > 0 \).

**Proof.** Since the support of \( \gamma_{t,q}(dx) \) is compact and \( f \) is bounded on compacts, it is clear that we can expand \( f(x) \) into (4.6). We will show that the coefficients \( b_n(t) \) of the expansion are bounded in a neighborhood of 0. (The proof shows also that \( b_n(t) \) satisfy estimate (3.1); the latter is not needed here, but this property is assumed in Theorem 4.6.)

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have
\[
b_n(t) = t^{-n} \int f(x) h_n(x; t) \gamma_{t,q}(dx) = t^{-n/2} \int h_n(y; 1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k t^{k/2} y^k \gamma_{t,q}(dy).
\]

Since on the support of \( \gamma_{t,q}(dy) \) the series in the integrand converges absolutely and is dominated by a constant that does not depend on \( y \), we can switch the order of summation and integration so that
\[
b_n(t) = t^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k t^{k/2} \int h_n(y; 1) y^k \gamma_{t,q}(dy)
\]
\[
= t^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k t^{k/2} \int h_n(y; 1) y^k \gamma_{t,q}(dy),
\]
where in the last line we used the orthogonality of \( h_n(y; 1) \) and \( y^k \) for \( k < n \).
Choose \( R > 0 \) such that \( R^2 > 4t/(1-q) \). Expressing the coefficients \( a_k \) by the contour integrals we get
\[
 b_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z| = R} z^{-n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz k^{n/2} \int \gamma_1 q; dy k^n \gamma_1 q; dy dz.
\]
\[
 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int f(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n/2}}{z^{k+1}} dz k^n \gamma_1 q; dy dz.
\]

Since \( |y| \leq 2/\sqrt{1-q} \) on the support of \( \gamma_1 q; dy \), from (2.4) we get
\[
 \left| \int \gamma_1 q; dy \right| \leq \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-q}} \right)^n \int |\gamma_1 q; dy| \leq \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-q}} \right)^n \sqrt{n}!.
\]

Summing the resulting geometric series under the \( dz \)-integral, we get
\[
 |b_n(t)| \leq \max_{|z| = R} |f(z)| \sqrt{n}! \left( \frac{2}{R\sqrt{1-q}} \right)^n \frac{R\sqrt{1-q}}{R\sqrt{1-q} - 2\sqrt{t}}.
\]

This shows that \( b_n(t) \) is bounded in any neighborhood of \( t = 0 \).

Next, we use (3.3) and inequality (4.9) to prove the convergence of the series.
\[
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|n|!} \int_0^t b_n^*(s) s^n dq_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q) t^{n+1}}{|n|!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_n^*(q^k t) q^{(n+1)k}
\]

\[
 \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4t}{R^2 (1-q)} \right)^n (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{(n+1)k} = C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4t}{R^2 (1-q)} \right)^n \frac{1-q}{1-q^{n+1}}
\]

\[
 \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4t}{R^2 (1-q)} \right)^n < \infty,
\]

with constant \( C = tr^2 (1-q) \max_{|z| = R} |f(z)|^2 / (R\sqrt{1-q} - 2\sqrt{t})^2 \).

We now apply Corollary 4.4 to infer that \( \int_0^t f(B^0_q) dB^0_q \) is well defined. Since \( R \) was arbitrary, the conclusion holds for all \( t > 0 \). \( \square \)

4.2. The \( q \)-Ito formula

Our second main result is the \( q \)-version of the Ito formula.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( 0 < q < 1 \). Suppose \( f(x, t) \) is a polynomial in \( x \) with coefficients that satisfy estimate (3.1) in a neighborhood of \( t = 0 \). Then (1.2) holds.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.6

By linearity, it is enough to consider a single term in (4.4). We first consider the constant term, \( f(x, t) = b(t) \). Then \( \nabla f = 0 \) as the expression \( f(x, t) - f(y, s) \) under the integral in the definition (1.4) vanishes. Similarly, \( \Delta f = 0 \), as the expression \( f(z) + (x-y)f(z, s) + (y-z)f(x, s) \) in the definition (1.5) vanishes. So (1.2) in this case reduces to the \( q \)-integral identity \( b(t) - b(0) = \int_0^t A_q b(s) dq_s \) which was already recalled in (3.5).

Now we consider a non-constant term of degree \( m + 1 \). We write (4.4) as
\[
 b(t) h_{m+1}(B^q_t; t) = \int_0^t b(s) h_m(B^q_t; s) dB^q_s + \int_0^t h_{m+1}(B^q_t; qs) (D_q b)(s) dq_s.
\]

We first note the following identity.

**Lemma 4.7.** For a fixed \( s > 0 \), we have \( \nabla_x^{(s)} (h_{m+1}(x; s)) = [m + 1] h_m(x; s) \).

**Proof.** This is a special case of Bryc and Wesołowski (2014, Lemma 2.3), applied to \( \tau = \theta = 0 \). \( \square \)

Thus, noting that \( \nabla_x^{(s)} \) acts only on variable \( x \), we have
\[
 b(t) h_{m+1}(B^q_t; t) = \int_0^t \nabla_x^{(s)} (b(s) h_{m+1}(x; s)) \bigg|_{x = B^q_t} dB^q_s + \int_0^t h_{m+1}(B^q_t; qs) (D_q b)(s) dq_s.
\]
Next, we consider the second term on the right hand side of (4.10). Using the \( q \)-product identity

\[
D_{q,s}(b(s)h(s)) = b(s)D_{q,s}(h(s)) + h(qs)D_{q,s}(b(s)),
\]

we write

\[
h_{m+1}(x; qs)(D_{q,s}(b))(s) = D_{q,s}(b(s)h_{m+1}(x, s)) - b(s)D_{q,s}(h_{m+1}(x, s)).
\]

This recovers the second term in (1.2); (4.11) becomes

\[
b(t)h_{m+1}(B_t^{(q)}; t) = \int_0^t \nabla_x^{(q)}(b(s)h_{m+1}(x; s)) \left|_{x=B_s^{(q)}} \left( b(s)h_{m+1}(x; s) \right) \right| \, dq + \int_0^t D_x^{(q)}(b(s)h_{m+1}(x; s)) \left|_{x=B_s^{(q)}} \right| \, dq,
\]

where \( D_x^{(q)} \) is a linear operator on polynomials in variable \( x \), whose action on monomials \( x^n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j h_j(x; s) \) is defined by

\[
D_x^{(q)}(x^n) = -\sum_{j=0}^n a_j D_{q,s}(h_j(x; s)).
\]

It remains to identify \( D_x^{(q)} \) with \( \Delta_x^{(q)} \). To do so we use the approach from Byrc and Wesolowski (2014). We fix \( s > 0 \) and introduce an auxiliary linear operator \( A \) that acts on polynomials \( p(x) \) in variable \( x \) by the formula

\[
A(p(x)) = D_x^{(q)}(x p(x)) - x D_x^{(q)}(p(x)).
\]

**Lemma 4.8.**

\[
A(h_m(x; s)) = [m]_q h_{m-1}(x; qs).
\]

**Proof.** By definition, \( A(h_m(x; s)) = D_x^{(q)}(x h_m(x; s)) - x D_x^{(q)}(h_m(x; s)) \), and we evaluate each term separately. From recursion (2.3) we get

\[
D_x^{(q)}(x h_m(x; s)) = -D_{q,s}(h_{m+1}(x; s)) - s[m]_q D_{q,s}(h_{m-1}(x; s)). \tag{4.16}
\]

Next, we have

\[
x D_x^{(q)}(h_m(x; s)) = -x D_{q,s}(h_m(x; s)) = -D_{q,s}(x h_m(x; s)) \]

\[
= -D_{q,s}(h_{m+1}(x; s) + s[m]_q h_{m-1}(x; s))
\]

\[
= -D_{q,s}(h_{m+1}(x; s)) - [m]_q D_{q,s}(s h_{m-1}(x; s)).
\]

Since \( D_{q,s}(s) = 1 \), applying (4.12) we get

\[
x D_x^{(q)}(h_m(x; s)) = -D_{q,s}(h_{m+1}(x; s)) - s[m]_q D_{q,s}(h_{m-1}(x; s)) - [m]_q h_{m-1}(x; qs).
\]

To end the proof, we subtract this from (4.16). \( \Box \)

Using martingale property (2.5) and Lemma 4.7, we rewrite (4.15) as

\[
A(h_m(x; s)) = \int [m]_q h_{m-1}(y; s) P_{qs}(x, dy)
\]

\[
= \iint h_m(z; s) - h_m(y; s) \mu_{x,y}(dz) P_{qs}(x, dy).
\]

So by linearity, for any polynomial \( p \) in variable \( x \) we have

\[
(Ap)(x) = \iint \frac{p(z) - p(y)}{z - y} \mu_{x,y}(dy, dz).
\]

Since (4.14) gives \( D_x^{(q)}(x^n) = A(x^{n-1} + x D_x^{(q)}(x^{n-1}) \) and \( D_x^{(q)}(x) = -D_{q,s}(h_1(x; s)) = 0 \) this determines \( D_x^{(q)} \) on monomials:

\[
D_x^{(q)}(x^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k A(x^{n-k-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{n-k-1} - y^{n-k-1}}{z - y} \right) \mu_{x,y}(dy, dz).
\]

By the geometric sum formula, the integrand is

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k \frac{x^{n-k-1} - y^{n-k-1}}{z - y} = \frac{(x - z)y^n + (y - x)z^n + (z - y)x^n}{(z - x)(x - y)(y - z)}.
\]

This shows that \( D_x^{(q)} = \Delta_x^{(q)} \) on polynomials. With this identification, (4.13) concludes the proof of Theorem 4.6.
Remark 4.9. Operators $\nabla^{(s)}_x$ and $\Delta^{(s)}_x$ are well defined on functions with bounded second derivatives in variable $x$, so we expect that (1.2) holds in more generality.

For an analytic function $f(x)$ one can use contour integration to verify that each term in (1.2) can be approximated uniformly on compacts by the same expression applied to a polynomial. Thus formula (1.2) for polynomials yields

$$ f(B_t^{(q)}) = f(0) + \int_0^t (\nabla^{(s)}_x f)(B_s^{(q)}, s) dB_s^{(q)} + \int_0^t (\Delta^{(s)}_x f)(B_s^{(q)}, s) ds. $$

5. A $q$-analogue of the stochastic exponential

In this section we consider a more general function $f(x, t)$ for which the mean-square limit (4.8) is applicable. Our goal is to exhibit a solution to the “differential equation” $dZ = aZ dB^{(q)}$ which we interpret in integral form as

$$ Z_t = c + a \int_0^t Z_s dB^{(q)} $$

with deterministic parameters $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$. In view of the fact that we can integrate only instantaneous functions of $B_t^{(q)}$, we seek a solution in this form, and we seek the series expansion. The solution parallels the development in Ito theory and relies on the identity (4.1) which is a special case of our definition (4.4). Thus, as a mean-square case the expansion of (5.1) is

$$ Z_t = c \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a^n h_n(B_t^{(q)}; t)}{[n]_q!} = c \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( 1 - (1 - q)aq^k B_t^{(q)} + a^2 t q^{2k} \right)^{-1}. $$

Formal calculations give

$$ c + a \int_0^t Z_s dB^{(q)} = c + ca \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a^n h_{n+1}(B_t^{(q)}; t)}{[n+1]_q!} = Z_t, $$

as $h_0(x; t) = 1$.

Note that the product expression on the right hand side of (5.2) is well defined for all $t$, as with probability one $|B_t^{(q)}| < 2\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{1 - q}$. However, the solution of (5.1) “lives” only on the finite interval $0 \leq t < a^{-2} (1 - q)^{-1}$. This is because for $0 < q < 1$ the product $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)$ converges, so the series

$$ \sum_n \frac{a^n}{[n]_q!} \int_0^t s^n ds = \sum_n \frac{a^n t^{n+1}}{[n+1]_q!} = \sum_n \frac{(1 - q)^n a^n t^{n+1}}{\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (1 - q^j)} $$

converges when $(1 - q) a^2 t < 1$ and hence Corollary 4.4 can be applied only to this range of $t$. This is the same range of $t$ where $(Z_t)$ is a martingale (Szabłowski, 2012, Corollary 4).
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