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#### Abstract

Cvetković, Rajković and Ivković proved that the Hankel transformation of the sequence of sums of adjacent Catalan numbers is a sequence of every other Fibonacci number. In this paper, an elementary proof is given and a generalization to sequences of generalized Catalan numbers.


## 1 Introduction

Given a sequence of numbers $S=\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, the Hankel matrix of order $n$ generated by the sequence $S$ is the $n \times n$-matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry is given by $s_{i+j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. The Hankel transform of the sequence $S$ is the sequence of determinants of the Hankel matrices generated by $S$.

Suppose that

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}+\frac{1}{n+2}\binom{2 n+2}{n+1},
$$

so that $a_{n}$ is the sum of the $n^{\text {th }}$ and $n+1^{\text {st }}$ Catalan numbers. Then the Hankel transform of $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ begins $2,5,13,34, \ldots$ Layman first conjectured in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences ([4], see sequence A001906) that this sequence consists of every other Fibonacci number, and subsequently Cvetković, Rajković and Ivković [2] proved this conjecture. The current paper arose out of an attempt to understand and generalize this result.

The Catalan numbers $c_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ uniquely satisfy the nonlinear recurrence relation

$$
c_{n+1}=\sum_{r=0}^{n} c_{n-r} c_{r}, \quad c_{0}=1
$$

This sequence has been generalized to the recurrence relation

$$
c_{n+1, k}=\sum_{r=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k-1}\right\rfloor}\left(c_{n-r(k-1), k}\right) *\left(c_{r(k-1), k}\right), \quad c_{0, k}=1 .
$$

When $k=2, c_{n, k}$ is simply the $n^{\text {th }}$ Catalan number. It can be shown ([3]) that

$$
c_{(k-1) n+l-1, k}=\frac{l}{k n+l}\binom{k n+l}{n}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k-1
$$

Some notation is necessary to state our more general result. Let $a_{n, k}^{\prime}=c_{n, k}+c_{n+1, k}$ and $a_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}=c_{n, k}+c_{n+k-1, k}$. Note that $a_{n, 2}^{\prime}$ and $a_{n, 2}^{\prime \prime}$ both coincide with the sequence $a_{n}$ described above. Let $A_{n, k}^{\prime}$ and $A_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}$ be the $n \times n$-matrices whose $(i, j)$-entries are given respectively by $a_{(k-1) i+j, k}^{\prime}$ and $a_{(k-1) i+j, k}^{\prime \prime}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Let $F_{n, k}^{\prime}$ be the sequence determined by the recurrence relation

$$
F_{n+1, k}^{\prime}=F_{n-(k-2), k}^{\prime}+F_{n-(k-1), k}^{\prime}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
F_{1, k}^{\prime}=F_{2, k}^{\prime}=\cdots=F_{k, k}^{\prime}=1
$$

and let $F_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}$ be the sequence determined by

$$
F_{n+1, k}^{\prime \prime}=F_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}+F_{n-(k-1), k}^{\prime \prime}
$$

with the same initial conditions. Note that $F_{n, 2}^{\prime}=F_{n, 2}^{\prime \prime}=F_{n}$ (the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number).
We can now state our main theorem:

## Theorem 1.1.

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n, k}^{\prime}\right)=F_{k n+1, k}^{\prime}, \text { and } \operatorname{det}\left(A_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}\right)=F_{k n+1, k}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Note that when $k=2$, our theorem reduces to the result of Cvetković, Rajković and Ivković [2].

In Section 2, we find $L U$ decompositions of the inverses of a sequence of matrices $C_{n, k}$ obtained from the generalized Catalan numbers. It turns out that these take surprisingly simple forms, and can be used to prove our main result, as seen in Section 3.

## 2 Generalized Catalan numbers

Definition 2.1. Let $C_{n, k}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry is given by $c_{(k-1) i+j, k}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Let $L_{n, k}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry is given by $(-1)^{i-j}\binom{i+(k-1) j}{i-j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Let $U_{n, k}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry is given by $(-1)^{j-i}\binom{j+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ j-i}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

It is easy to see that $L_{n, k}$ is lower triangular with 1 's on the diagonal and $U_{n, k}$ is upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal. Our goal in this section is to prove that the product $L_{n, k} C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$ is equal to the identity matrix.

Our first step is to show that the product $L_{n, k} C_{n, k}$ is upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal. We will then show that $C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$ is lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal. From these two facts, the result will follow formally.

The proof makes use of certain generating functions.
Definition 2.2. For $1 \leq l \leq k-1$, let $g_{l}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{(k-1) n+l-1} z^{n}$, and let $g(z)=g_{1}(z)$.
It follows from the recurrence relation defining $c_{n, k}$ that $g_{l}(z) g(z)=g_{l+1}(z)$ for $1 \leq l \leq$ $k-2$, and also that $g_{k-1}(z) g(z)=\frac{g(z)-1}{z}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)^{l}=g_{l}(z), \quad 1 \leq l \leq k-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)^{k}=\frac{g(z)-1}{z} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bajunaid, Cohen, Colonna, and Signman [1] prove that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z):=(1-z) g\left(z(1-z)^{k-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges to the constant function at 1 for $z$ close to 0 . This is then used to show that

$$
\sum_{n=\lceil m / k\rceil}^{m}(-1)^{n} c_{(k-1) n, k}\binom{k n-n}{k n-m}=(-1)^{m} .
$$

(Note that the cited reference refers to $c_{(k-1) n, k}$ as $a_{n, k}$.) We use exactly the same technique to prove the following slightly stronger result.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $i$ and $j$ are nonnegative integers. Then

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{i}(-1)^{i-m}\binom{i+(k-1) m}{i-m} c_{(k-1) m+j, k}= \begin{cases}0, & j<i \\ 1, & j=i\end{cases}
$$

Proof. It follows from Equation 1 that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}(z):=(1-z)^{l} g_{l}\left(z(1-z)^{k-1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to $f(z)^{l}$, and therefore (by 3) converges to 1 for $z$ close to 0 . From this, we find that for any $s \geq 0$, the series

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{(k-1) m+(l-1), k}\left[z(1-z)^{k-1}\right]^{m}(1-z)^{s}=(1-z)^{s-l} f_{l}(z)
$$

converges to $(1-z)^{s-l}$ for $z$ close to 0 . Expanding $(1-z)^{m(k-1)+s}$, we can rewrite this sum as

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{(k-1) m+(l-1), k}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{m k-m+s}(-1)^{t}\binom{(k-1) m+s}{t} z^{t+m}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=\left\lceil\frac{n-s}{k}\right\rceil}^{n}(-1)^{n-m}\binom{(k-1) m+s}{n-m} c_{(k-1) m+(l-1), k}\right) z^{n} .
$$

Therefore, if $n>s-l$

$$
\sum_{m=\left\lceil\frac{n-s}{k}\right\rceil}^{n}(-1)^{n-m}\binom{(k-1) m+s}{n-m} c_{(k-1) m+(l-1), k}= \begin{cases}0, & s>l-1 \\ 1, & s=l-1\end{cases}
$$

Now, by the division algorithm, we may write $j$ as $(k-1) r+(l-1)$, for some nonnegative integer $r$ and some $l$ with $1 \leq l \leq k-1$. Letting $s=i-(k-1) r$ and $n=i+r$, gives

$$
\sum_{m=r}^{i+r}(-1)^{i-(m-r)}\binom{(k-1)(m-r)+i}{n-m} c_{(k-1)(m-r)+j, k}= \begin{cases}0, & j<i \\ 1, & j=i\end{cases}
$$

The result now follows by an index shift.
Corollary 2.1. The product $L_{n, k} C_{n, k}$ is upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal.
Proof. The $(i, j)$ entry of $L_{n, k} C_{n, k}$ is

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i-m}\binom{i+(k-1) m}{i-m} c_{(k-1) m+j, k}
$$

We now turn to consider the product $C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$.

## Lemma 2.2.

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{j} c_{(k-1) i+m, k}(-1)^{j-m}\binom{j+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ j-m}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & i<j \\
1, & i=j
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. By Equation 2

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left(z g\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)\right)^{l}=\frac{\left(z g\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)\right)^{k}-1}{z g\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)-1}=\frac{z^{k} \frac{g\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)-1}{z^{k-1}(1-z)}-1}{z g\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)-1}=\frac{1}{1-z}
$$

for $z$ close to 0 . It follows by Equation 1 that

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} z^{l} g_{l}\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)=\frac{1}{1-z}
$$

so subtracting 1 , dividing by $z$, and multiplying by $(1-z)^{s}$ gives

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(1-z)^{s} z^{l-1} g_{l}\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)=(1-z)^{s-1}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-z)^{s} z^{l-1} g_{l}\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{(k-1) p+l-1, k}(1-z)^{p+s} z^{p(k-1)+l-1} \\
=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{(k-1) p+l-1, k} \sum_{t=0}^{p+s}(-1)^{t}\binom{p+s}{t} z^{p(k-1)+l-1+t} \\
=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{p}(-1)^{n-p(k-1)-(l-1)}\binom{p+s}{n-p(k-1)-(l-1)} c_{(k-1) p+l-1, k}\right) z^{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(1-z)^{s} z^{l-1} g_{l}\left(z^{k-1}(1-z)\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m}(-1)^{n-m}\binom{s+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-m} c_{m, k}\right) z^{n}
$$

Here, we have used the division algorithm to substitute $m=p(k-1)+l-1$, where $1 \leq l \leq$ $k-1$. So,

$$
\sum_{m}(-1)^{n-m}\binom{s+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-m} c_{m, k}= \begin{cases}0, & n \geq s>0 \\ 1, & s=0\end{cases}
$$

Letting $s=j-i, n=j+(k-1) i$ and shifting index yields the result.
Corollary 2.2. The product $C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$ is lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.
Theorem 2.1. The product $L_{n, k} C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$ is equal to the identity matrix.
Proof. By Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2, the products $L_{n, k}^{-1}\left(L_{n, k} C_{n, k}\right)$ and $\left(C_{n, k} U_{n, k}\right) U_{n, k}^{-1}$ are both LU decompositions of $C_{n, k}$. By uniqueness of LU decompositions, $L_{n, k}^{-1}=C_{n, k} U_{n, k}$.

## 3 Proof of the Main Theorem

In this section, we prove our main result, which will follow from two additional lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. The determinant of the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ minor of $C_{n, k}$ obtained by removing the final column and the jth row is $\binom{n-1+(k-1) j}{n-1-j}$. The determinant of the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ minor of $C_{n, k}$ obtained by removing the final row and the ith column is $\binom{n-1+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-1-i}$.

Proof. Since the determinant of $L_{n, k}$ and $U_{n, k}$ are both 1 , the determinant of $C_{n, k}$ is 1 , so $C_{n, k}^{-1}$ is equal to the adjoint of $C_{n, k}$. Since $C_{n, k}^{-1}=U_{n, k} L_{n, k}$, the final row of the adjoing of $C_{n, k}$ is equal to the final row of $L_{n, k}$ and the final column of the adjoing of $C_{n, k}$ is equal to the final column of $U_{n, k}$. Now the $(i, j)$ entry in the adjoint of $C_{n, k}$ is the product of $(-1)^{i+j}$ and the determinant of the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ minor of $C_{n, k}$ obtained by removing the $i$ th column and the $j$ th row. The claim follows.

Lemma 3.2. The determinants of $A_{n, k}^{\prime}$ and $A_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}$ are respectively given by

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+(k-1) j}{n-j}
$$

Proof. We consider only the determinant of $A_{n, k}^{\prime}$, the other argument being similar. For each $j$ between 1 and $n+1$, let $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ be the column vector consisting of the first $n$ terms in the $j$ th row of $C_{n+1, k}$. Then the $j$ th column vector of $A_{n, k}^{\prime}$ is $\mathbf{c}_{j}+\mathbf{c}_{j+1}$. Therefore, the determinant of $A_{n, k}^{\prime}$ could be written as the sum of the determinants of $2^{n}$ matrices, where the $j$ th column vector of each matrix is either $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ or $\mathbf{c}_{j+1}$. Most of these determinants are zero, since the determinant of any matrix with two identical column vectors is zero. The nonzero determinants belong to those matrices whose column vectors are $n$ distinct vectors from the set $\left\{\mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}\right\}$, in order. But these are just the determinants of the minors of $C_{n+1, k}$ obtained by removing the final row and one of the columns. The result follows by Lemma 3.1.

We now prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof. For $n \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, let $G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i+l-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}$. We now show that $G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime}=F_{k n+l}^{\prime}$ for all $n \geq 0,1 \leq l \leq k$. This follows from the following three observations.

1. For $1 \leq l \leq k, G_{l, k}^{\prime}=1$.
2. For $1 \leq l \leq k-1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime}+G_{k n+l+1, k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i+l-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i+l}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i} \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i+l-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i-1+l}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-(i-1)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1+\left\lfloor\frac{i+l-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}=G_{k(n+1)+l, k}^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

3. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{k n+k, k}^{\prime}+G_{k(n+1)+1, k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n+\left\lfloor\frac{i+k-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n+1-i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\left(\binom{n+1+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n-i}+\binom{n+1+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n+1-i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+2+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n+1-i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1+\left\lfloor\frac{i+k-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor}{ n+1-i}=G_{k(n+1)+k, k}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $n \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, let $G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+(k-1) j+l-1}{n-j}$. We now show that $G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime \prime}=F_{k n+l}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $n \geq 0,1 \leq l \leq k$. This follows from the following three observations.

1. For $1 \leq l \leq k, G_{l, k}^{\prime \prime}=1$.
2. For $1 \leq l \leq k-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k n+l, k}^{\prime \prime}+G_{k(n-1)+(l+1), k}^{\prime \prime} & =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\binom{n+(k-1) j+l-1}{n-j}+\binom{n-1+(k-1) j+l}{n-1-j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+(k-1) j+l}{n-j}=G_{k n+l+1, k}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. 

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{k n+k, k}^{\prime \prime}+G_{k n+1, k}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\binom{n+(k-1) j+k-1}{n-j}+\binom{n+(k-1) j}{n-j}\right) \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left(\binom{n+(k-1) j}{n-(j-1)}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+(k-1) j}{n-j}=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\left(\binom{n+1+(k-1) j}{n+1-j}=G_{k(n+1)+1, k}^{\prime \prime} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The statement of the theorem now follows from Lemma 3.2.
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