# Fibonacci Quarterly 1997 (35,2): 129-134 A GENERALIZATION OF THE "ALL OR NONE" DIVISIBILITY PROPERTY

#### Chizhong Zhou

Yueyang University, Yueyang Hunan 414000, P. R. China (Submitted September 1995)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [6], Juan Pla proved the following interesting theorem.

**Theorem 1.1:** Let  $h_n$  be the general term of a given sequence of integers such that  $h_{n+2} = h_{n+1} + h_n$ , where  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  are arbitrary integers. Let c be an arbitrary integer other than -2, -1, 0, and 1. Let D be any divisor of  $c^2 + c - 1$  other than 1. Then, the sequence  $\{w_n\}$ , where  $w_n = ch_{n+1} - h_n$ , for  $n \ge 0$ , is such that either (a) D divides every  $w_n$  or (b) D divides no  $w_n$ .

We would like to point out a more interesting fact that, essentially, the above theorem is the corollary of the following.

**Theorem 1.2:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the Fibonacci sequence, that is,  $f_0 = 0$ ,  $f_1 = 1$ , and  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Let  $f(x) = x^2 - x - 1$ . Then, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$x^n \equiv f_n x + f_{n-1} \pmod{f(x)}.$$
 (1.1)

**Proof:** Equation (1.1) holds for n = 0 since  $x^0 = f_0 x + f_{-1}$ . Assume that (1.1) holds for n = k,  $k \ge 0$ , that is,  $x^k \equiv f_k x + f_{k-1} \pmod{f(x)}$ . Then  $x^{k+1} \equiv f_k x^2 + f_{k-1} x \equiv f_k (x+1) + f_{k-1} x = f_{k+1} x + f_k \pmod{f(x)}$ . This means that (1.1) holds for all  $n \ge 0$ . Now assume that (1.1) holds for n = -k,  $k \ge 0$ , that is,  $x^{-k} \equiv f_{-k} x + f_{-k-1} \pmod{f(x)}$ . Then  $x^{-k-1} \equiv f_{-k} + f_{-k-1} x^{-1} \pmod{f(x)}$  for n = -k,  $k \ge 0$ , that is,  $x^{-k} \equiv f_{-k} x + f_{-k-1} \pmod{f(x)}$ . Then  $x^{-k-1} \equiv f_{-k} + f_{-k-1} x^{-1} \pmod{f(x)}$  for n = -k,  $k \ge 0$ , that is,  $x^{-k} \equiv f_{-k} x + f_{-k-1} \pmod{f(x)}$ . Then  $x^{-k-1} \equiv f_{-k} + f_{-k-1} x^{-1} \pmod{f(x)}$  and so  $x^{-k-1} \equiv f_{-k} + f_{-k-1} (x-1) = f_{-k-1} x + f_{-k-2} \pmod{f(x)}$ . This means that (1.1) holds also for all n < 0.  $\Box$ 

Now we apply Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.1. We have  $h_n = h_1 f_n + h_0 f_{n-1}$  and  $h_{n+1} = h_1 f_{n+1} + h_0 f_n$  for  $n \ge 0$  (see [2]), whence  $w_n = -h_1(-f_{n+1}c + f_n) - h_0(-f_nc + f_{n-1})$ . In (1.1), taking x = -c, we get  $w_n \equiv -h_1(-c)^{n+1} - h_0(-c)^n = (-c)^n (ch_1 - h_0) = (-c)^n w_0 \pmod{c^2 + c - 1}$ . Since D divides  $c^2 + c - 1$  and D > 1, we have  $\gcd(c, D) = 1$ . If D divides  $w_n$  for some  $n \ge 0$ , then D divides  $w_0$ . This leads to the fact that D divides  $w_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .  $\Box$ 

In this paper we generalize the result of Theorem 1.2 to the case of  $k^{\text{th}}$ -order homogeneous recursion sequence with constant coefficients in Section 3. In Section 4 we generalize the interesting result of Theorem 1.1, correspondingly, i.e., we give and prove the main result of this paper. Some necessary preliminaries are given in Section 2.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let the sequence  $\{h_n\} = \{h_n\}_{n \ge 0}$  be defined by the recurrence relation

$$h_{n+k} = a_1 h_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1} h_{n+1} + a_k h_n, \tag{2.1}$$

1997]

and the initial condition

$$h_0 = c_0, \ h_1 = c_1, \dots, h_{k-1} = c_{k-1},$$
 (2.2)

where  $a_1, ..., a_k$  and  $c_0, ..., c_{k-1}$  are constants. Then we call  $\{h_n\}$  a  $k^{\text{th}}$ -order Fibonacci-Lucas sequence or simply an F-L sequence, and we call  $h_n$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  F-L number. The polynomial

$$f(x) = x^{k} - a_{1}x^{k-1} - \dots - a_{k-1}x - a_{k}$$
(2.3)

is called the characteristic polynomial of  $\{h_n\}$ . If  $f(\theta) = 0$ , then we call  $\theta$  a characteristic root of  $\{h_n\}$ . The set of F-L sequences satisfying (2.1) is denoted by  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$  and also by  $\Omega(f(x))$ .

If  $a_k \neq 0$ , then (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$h_n = (h_{n+k} - a_1 h_{n+k-1} - \dots - a_{k-1} h_{n+1}) / a_k, \qquad (2.4)$$

whence, from the given values of  $h_0, h_1, ..., h_{k-1}$ , we can calculate the values of  $h_{-1}, h_{-2}, ...$  Therefore, in the case  $a_k \neq 0$ , we may consider  $\{h_n\}$  as  $\{h_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ . For convenience, we always assume that  $a_k \neq 0$  whenever we refer to  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$ .

Obviously,  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$  is a linear space [3] under the operations  $\{h_n\} + \{w_n\} = \{h_n + w_n\}$  and  $\lambda\{h_n\} = \{\lambda h_n\}$ . Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}, 0 \le i \le k-1$ , be a sequence in  $\Omega = \Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$  with the initial condition  $u_n^{(i)} = \delta_{ni}$  for  $0 \le n \le k-1$ , where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker function. Then we call  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$  the *i*<sup>th</sup> **basic sequence** in  $\Omega$ . Construct a map,  $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k$  such that each sequence  $\{h_n\} \in \Omega$ , with initial condition (2.2), corresponds to  $(c_0, c_1, ..., c_{k-1})$ . Clearly, this map is an isomorphism, and the basic sequences  $\{u_n^{(0)}\}, \{u_n^{(1)}\}, ..., \{u_n^{(k-1)}\}$  form a base in  $\Omega$ . Thus, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $\Omega = \Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$ . Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}, 0 \le i \le k-1$ , be the *i*<sup>th</sup> basic sequence in  $\Omega$  and let  $\{h_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\{h_n\}$  can be represented uniquely by  $\{u_n^{(0)}\}, \{u_n^{(1)}\}, ..., \{u_n^{(k-1)}\}$ , as

$$h_n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h_i u_n^{(i)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (2.5)

Lemma 2.2: Under the condition of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$h_{n+1} = (a_1 h_{k-1} + a_2 h_{k-2} + \dots + a_k h_0) u_n^{(k-1)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} h_{i+1} u_n^{(i)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(2.6)

**Proof:** Let  $\{w_n\} = \{h_{n+1}\}$ . Then  $w_0 = h_1, \dots, w_{k-2} = h_{k-1}$  and (2.1) implies  $w_{k-1} = h_k = a_1 h_{k-1} + a_2 h_{k-2} + \dots + a_k h_0$ . Thus, the lemma is proved by Lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

In (2.6), replacing  $\{h_n\}$  by  $\{u_n^{(0)}\}, \dots, \{u_n^{(k-1)}\}$ , respectively, we obtain

Lemma 2.3: Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}, 0 \le i \le k-1$ , be the *i*<sup>th</sup> basic sequence in  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$ . Then, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$u_{n+1}^{(0)} = a_k u_n^{(k-1)}$$
 and  $u_{n+1}^{(i)} = a_{k-i} u_n^{(k-1)} + u_n^{(i-1)}$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . (2.7)

Lemma 2.4: Under the condition of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$u_n^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{l} a_{k-i+j} u_{n-l-j}^{(k-1)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (2.8)

[MAY

**Proof:** From (2.7), (2.8) holds for i = 0. Assume (2.8) holds for i,  $0 \le i < k - 1$ . Then (2.7) and the induction hypothesis imply that

$$u_n^{(i+1)} = a_{k-i-1}u_{n-1}^{(k-1)} + u_{n-1}^{(i)} = a_{k-i-1}u_{n-1}^{(k-1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{k-i+j}u_{n-2-j}^{(k-1)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{i+1} a_{k-(i+1)+j}u_{n-1-j}^{(k-1)},$$

and we are done.  $\Box$ 

From (2.7) and (2.8), we observe that the  $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$  basic sequence in  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$  plays an important role, so that we call it the **principal sequence** in  $\Omega$  and denote it by  $\{u_n^{(k-1)}\} = \{u_n\}$ .

Now, substituting (2.8) into (2.5), we get

Lemma 2.5: Let  $\{u_n\}$  be the principal sequence in  $\Omega = \Omega(a_1, ..., a_k)$ . Let  $\{h_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\Omega$ . Then

$$h_n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{k-1-i} u_{n-i} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (2.9)

where

$$b_{k-1} = h_{k-1}$$
 and  $b_{k-1-i} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1-i} a_{i+1+j} h_{k-2-j}$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . (2.10)

# 3. A PROPERTY OF THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL OF A *k*<sup>th</sup>-ORDER F-L SEQUENCE

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ ,  $0 \le i \le k-1$ , be the *i*<sup>th</sup> basic sequence in  $\Omega(f(x))$ , where f(x) is denoted by (2.3). Then

(a) 
$$x^n \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_n^{(i)} x^i \pmod{f(x)}$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (3.1)

(b) If, besides (3.1), we have  $x^n \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} v_n^{(i)} x^i \pmod{f(x)}$ , where each of the  $v_n^{(i)}$ 's (i = 0, ..., k-1) is independent of x, then  $u_n^{(i)} = v_n^{(i)}$ , i = 0, ..., k-1.

**Proof:** Part (b) is proved by the uniqueness of the remainder of  $x^n$  over f(x). Now we must prove part (a). By the definition of  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ , i = 0, ..., k - 1, (3.1) holds for n = 0. Assume that (3.1) holds for n = m,  $m \ge 0$ . Then, from the induction hypothesis and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m+1} &\equiv x \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_m^{(i)} x^i = u_m^{(k-1)} x^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} u_m^{(i)} x^{i+1} \\ &\equiv u_m^{(k-1)} (a_1 x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1} x + a_k) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} u_m^{(i)} x^{i+1} \\ &= a_k u_m^{(k-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (a_{k-i} u_m^{(k-1)} + u_m^{(i-1)}) x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_{m+1}^{(i)} x^i \pmod{f(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (3.1) holds for all  $n \ge 0$ .

Now assume that (3.1) holds for n = -m,  $m \ge 0$ . Then

1997]

A GENERALIZATION OF THE "ALL OR NONE" DIVISIBILITY PROPERTY

$$x^{-m-1} \equiv x^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_{-m}^{(i)} x^i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{-m}^{(i)} x^{i-1} + u_{-m}^{(0)} x^{-1} \pmod{f(x)}.$$
(3.2)

From  $x(x^{k-1}-a_1x^{k-2}-\cdots-a_{k-1}) \equiv a_k \pmod{f(x)}$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ , we obtain

$${}^{1} \equiv (x^{k-1} - a_1 x^{k-2} - \dots - a_{k-1}) / a_k \pmod{f(x)}.$$
(3.3)

Substituting (3.3) into (3.2) and noting that  $u_{-m}^{(0)} / a_k = u_{-m-1}^{(k-1)}$  we get, by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-m-1} &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{-m}^{(i)} x^{i-1} + u_{-m-1}^{(k-1)} (x^{k-1} - a_1 x^{k-2} - \dots - a_{k-1}) \\ &= u_{-m-1}^{(k-1)} x^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (u_{-m}^{(i)} - a_{k-i} u_{-m-1}^{(k-1)}) x^{i-1} \\ &= u_{-m-1}^{(k-1)} x^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_{-m-1}^{(i-1)} x^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_{-m-1}^{(i)} x^{i} \pmod{f(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (3.1) holds also for n < 0.  $\Box$ 

 $x^{-}$ 

**Corollary:** Under the condition of Theorem 3.1, if  $f(\theta) = 0$ , then

$$\theta^n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_n^{(i)} \theta^i \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(3.4)

It can be observed that the results in [1], [4], and [5] may be obtained easily by using (3.4).

## 4. A GENERALIZATION OF THE "ALL OR NONE" DIVISIBILITY PROPERTY

**Theorem 4.1:** Let  $\{h_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\Omega(a_1, ..., a_k) = \Omega(f(x))$ , where  $a_1, ..., a_k$  are integers and f(x) is denoted by (2.3). Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f(c) \neq \pm 1$ . Let D be a divisor of f(c) other than 1, and gcd(c, D) = 1. Suppose that

$$w_n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-i}(c) h_{n+k-1-i}, \qquad (4.1)$$

where

$$g_{k-1}(x) = x^{k-1}$$
 and  $g_{k-1-i}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1-i} a_{i+1+j} x^{k-2-j}$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . (4.2)

Then either D divides  $w_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$  or D divides no  $w_n$ .

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-i}(x) u_{n-i} \equiv x^n \pmod{f(x)},$$
(4.3)

where  $\{u_n\}$  is the principal sequence in  $\Omega(f(x))$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ ,  $0 \le i \le k-1$ , be the *i*<sup>th</sup> basic sequence. From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have

[MAY

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n} &\equiv \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} u_{n}^{(t)} x^{t} = x^{k-1} u_{n} + \sum_{t=0}^{k-2} x^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} a_{k-t+i} u_{n-1-i} \\ &= x^{k-1} u_{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} u_{n-1-i} \sum_{t=i}^{k-2} a_{k-t+i} x^{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} u_{n-1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2-i} a_{i+2+j} x^{k-2-j} + u_{n} x^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} u_{n-1-i} g_{k-2-i}(x) + g_{k-1}(x) u_{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-i}(x) u_{n-i} \pmod{f(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i c^i = w_0,$ 

Lemma 4.2:

where  $b_i$   $(0 \le i \le k - 1)$  is denoted by (2.10).

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i c^i &= h_{k-1} c^{k-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} b_{k-1-(k-1-i)} c^t \\ &= h_{k-1} c^{k-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{k-i+j} h_{k-2-j} = h_{k-1} c^{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} h_{k-2-j} \sum_{i=j}^{k-2} a_{k-i+j} c^i \\ &= h_{k-1} c^{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} h_{k-2-j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2-j} a_{2+j+i} c^{k-2-i} = h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(c) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} h_{k-2-j} g_{k-2-j}(c) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-j}(c) h_{k-1-j} = w_0, \end{split}$$

by (4.2) and (4.1). □

Proof of Theorem 4.1: From (4.1) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$w_n = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-j}(c) h_{n+k-1-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-j}(c) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{k-1-i} u_{n+k-1-j-i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{k-1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-j}(c) u_{n+k-1-j-i}.$$

In Lemma 4.1, taking x = c, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g_{k-1-j}(c) u_{n+k-1-j-i} \equiv c^{n+k-1-i} \pmod{f(c)},$$

whence, from Lemma 4.2,

$$w_n \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{k-1-i} c^{n+k-1-i} = c^n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{k-1-i} c^{k-1-i} = c^n w_0 \pmod{f(c)}.$$

Because gcd(c, D) = 1, if D divides  $w_n$  for some  $n \ge 0$ , then D must divide  $w_0$ , so D divides  $w_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .  $\Box$ 

1997]

**Example 1:** Let  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - x - 1$ , then k = 3,  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ . Let c = -2, then f(c) = -11. Take D = 11, then gcd(c, D) = 1. Assume that  $\{h_n\} \in \Omega(f(x))$  and  $h_0 = 0$ ,  $h_1 = h_2 = 1$ . From (4.2) and (4.1), we have  $g_2(c) = (-2)^2 = 4$ ,  $g_1(c) = 1 \times (-2) + 1 = -1$ ,  $g_0(c) = 1 \times (-2) = -2$ , and  $w_n = 4h_{n+2} - h_{n+1} - 2h_n$ , respectively. Since  $w_0 = 4h_2 - h_1 - 2h_0 = 3$  and 11 does not divide 3, thus 11 divides no  $w_n$ .

**Example 2:** Let  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 2x - 3$ , then  $k = 3, a_1 = 1, a_2 = -2, a_3 = 3$ . Let c = 3, then f(c) = 21. Take D = 7, then gcd(c, D) = 1. Assume that  $\{h_n\} \in \Omega(f(x))$  and that  $h_0 = h_2 = 1$ ,  $h_1 = -1$ . From (4.2) and (4.1), we have  $g_2(c) = 3^2 = 9$ ,  $g_1(c) = (-2) \times 3 + 3 = -3$ ,  $g_0(c) = 3 \times 3 = 9$ , and  $w_n = 9h_{n+2} - 3h_{n+1} + 9h_n$ , respectively. Since  $w_0 = 9h_2 - 3h_1 + 9h_0 = 21$  and 7 divides 21, thus 7 divides  $w_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

**Concluding Remark:** Theorem 3.1 can be seen in [7], which was published in Chinese in 1993. Some other applications of Theorem 3.1 and its corollary to the identities involving F-L numbers, congruence relations, modular periodicities, divisibilities, etc., are also stated in [7].

### REFERENCES

- Dario Castellanos. "A Generalization of Binet's Formula and Some of Its Consequences." The Fibonacci Quarterly 27.5 (1989):424-38.
- 2. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969; rpt. Santa Clara, Calif.: The Fibonacci Association, 1979.
- 3. Rudolf Lidl & Harald Niederreiter. Finite Fields. Boston: Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- 4. Pin-Yen Lin. "De Moivre-Type Identities for the Tribonacci Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 26.2 (1988):131-34.
- 5. Pin-Yen Lin. "De Moivre-Type Identities for the Tetrabonacci Numbers." In Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 4:215-18. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1991.
- 6. Juan Pla. "An All or None Divisibility Property for a Class of Fibonacci-Like Sequences of Integers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **32.3** (1994):226-27.
- 7. Chizhong Zhou. Fibonacci-Lucas Sequences and Their Application (in Chinese). MR 95m:11027. Hunan: Hunan Science and Technology Publishing House, 1993.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B37, 11B39, 11C08

\*\*\*