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## 0. INTRODUCTION

A homogeneous linear recurrence of second order with constant coefficients is a sequence of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+2}=a u_{n+1}+b u_{n}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed complex numbers $a, b \neq 0$. A solution $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is completely determined by ( 0 ) and the two initial values $u_{0}, u_{1}$. C. Kimberling [1] raised the following problem: under what conditions on two nonnegative integers $i, j$ does every complex pair $u_{i}, u_{j}$ determine the whole recurrence sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ with (0)? In this article, I give two answers to this question (Theorems 1 and 2 ; the second corrects Theorems 2 and 6 of [1]) and apply them to the properties of the initial pairs. In Theorem 3 I discuss how they are distributed, while in Theorem 4 I discuss which initial values generate a periodic sequence.

## 1. A FIRST CRITERION FOR INITIAL PAIRS

Given a recurrence (0), we call two nonnegative numbers $i<j$ an "initial pair" if, for all complex numbers $c_{i}, c_{j}$, there exists one and only one solution $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of (0) with $u_{i}=c_{i}, u_{j}=c_{j}$. An initial pair is always $i, i+1$. Most pairs $i, j$ will be initial, but there are exceptions: 0,2 is not an initial pair of $u_{n+2}=u_{n}$.

Theorem 1 ([1], Theorem 1): Given the recurrence (0) with $b \neq 0$, for every pair of nonnegative integers $i, j$ with $i+1<j$, the following two conditions are equivalent:
$i, j$ is an initial pair for (0);
the $(j-i-1)$-rowed matrix

$$
D_{j-i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a & -1 & 0 & & &  \tag{2}\\
b & a & -1 & & & \\
0 & b & a & & & \\
& & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & b & a \\
& & & & & -1 \\
& & & & b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

is regular.
Proof: The pair $i, i+2$ is initial iff $a \neq 0$, since $a u_{i+1}=u_{i+2}-b u_{i}$. So let $j>i+2$. If $u_{i}=c_{i}$ and $u_{j}=c_{j}$ are given, then the equations $b u_{n}+a u_{n+1}-u_{n+2}=0$, for $n=i, i+1, \ldots, j-2$, give us the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
a u_{i+1}-u_{i+2} & =-b c_{i} \\
b u_{i+1}+a u_{i+2}-u_{i+3} & =0 \\
b u_{i+2}+a u_{i+3}-u_{i+4} & =0 \\
\ddots & \vdots \\
b u_{j-3}+a u_{j-2}-u_{j-1} & =0 \\
b u_{j-2}+a u_{j-1} & =c_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $i, j$ is an initial pair iff this system of $j-i-1$ linear equations has a unique solution $u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, \ldots, u_{j-1}$ (and hence all $u_{n}, n \geq 0$, are determined) for all $c_{i}, c_{j}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for this is that the associated homogeneous linear system is only trivially soluble, hence the regularity of the coefficient matrix $D_{j-i}$.

Remark: This criterion can be extended to sequences of higher order (see [1], Theorem 7). Condition (1) is equivalent to the following: the monoms $z^{i}, z^{j}$ are a basis of the complex vectorspace $\mathbb{C}[z]$ of polynomials modulo the subspace $\mathbb{C}[z]\left(z^{2}-a z-b\right)$. This was generalized by M. Peter [2] to recurrences of several variables of higher order.

## 2. A SECOND CRITERION FOR INITIAL PAIRS

Let $n:=j-i$. We compute $d_{n}:=\operatorname{det} D_{n}$ by expanding the determinant of $D_{n+2}$ à la Laplace:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n+2}=a d_{n+1}+b d_{n}, \quad d_{0}:=0, \quad d_{1}:=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\zeta_{1}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta_{2}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}\right)
$$

be the zeros of the companion polynomial $z^{2}-a z-b$ of (0), then the solution of the initial problem (3) has the Binet representation

$$
d_{n}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\zeta_{i}-\zeta_{2}}\left(\zeta_{1}^{n}-\zeta_{2}^{n}\right) & \text { if } \zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}  \tag{4}\\ n\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{n-1} & \text { if } \zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2},\end{cases}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we get $d_{n}=0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}, \quad \zeta_{1}^{n}=\zeta_{2}^{n}$. The last condition is equivalent to

$$
\exists 1 \leq m \leq n-1: \quad \zeta_{1}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{m}{n}\right) \zeta_{2}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{1}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{m}{n}\right) \zeta_{2} & \Leftrightarrow a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{m}{n}\right)\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{a^{2}+4 b}\left(\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{m}{n}\right)+1\right)=a\left(\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{m}{n}\right)-1\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{a^{2}+4 b} \cos \left(\pi \frac{m}{n}\right)=-i a \sin \left(\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finally means

$$
\exists 1 \leq m \leq n-1: \quad a^{2}=-4 b \cos ^{2}\left(\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) .
$$

Combining this with Theorem 1, we have
Theorem 2: Suppose we have a recurrence (0) with $b \neq 0$ and a pair of nonnegative integers $i<j$. Then the following three properties are equivalent:
$i, j$ is an initial pair of $(0)$;
if $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ are the zeros of the polynomial $z^{2}-a z-b$, then $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$ or $\zeta_{1}^{j-i} \neq \zeta_{2}^{j-i}$;
$\frac{a^{2}}{4 b} \neq-\cos ^{2}\left(\pi \frac{m}{j-i}\right)$ for every $1 \leq m<j-i$.
Examples (cf. [1], Theorems 2-5): For each of the following cases, a necessary and sufficient condition that $i<j$ is an initial pair of $(0)$ is
i) $\quad a=0: \quad j-i \neq 0 \bmod 2$;
ii) $\quad a^{2}=-b: \quad j-i \neq 0 \bmod 3$;
iii) $a^{2}=-2 b: j-i \neq 0 \bmod 4$;
iv) $a^{2}=-3 b: j-i \neq 0 \bmod 6$.

If $a^{2}=-k b$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0,1,2,3\}$, then every pair $i<j$ is initial.

## 3. DISTRIBUTION OF INITIAL PAIRS IN RESIDUE CLASSES

In the examples of initial pairs $i<j$ given above, $j-i$ lies outside of some residue class. The next theorem explains why.

## Theorem 3:

a) Suppose that the recurrence (0) with $b \neq 0$ has a pair that is not initial, then there exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that, for every pair $i<j$ of nonnegative integers, we have that $i, j$ is initial for $(0) \Leftrightarrow j-i \neq 0 \bmod m$.
b) For every natural number $m \geq 2$, there is a recurrence ( 0 ) such that $0, j$ is initial for $(0) \Leftrightarrow j \not \equiv 0 \bmod m$.

## Proof:

a) By Theorem 1, there exists a natural number $n \geq 2$ with $d_{n}=0$. Let $m:=\min \{n \geq 2$ : $\left.d_{n}=0\right\}$ and $\delta:=d_{m+1}$. From (4), we deduce that $d_{q m+r}=\delta^{q} d_{r}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, 0 \leq r<m$. Furthermore, since $\delta \neq 0$, we have $d_{n}=0 \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0 \bmod m$.
Using Theorem 1, we see that this is equivalent to our first assertion.
b) Let $\zeta:=\exp (2 \pi i / m), a:=\zeta+1, b:=-\zeta$, then $d_{j}=\left(\zeta^{j}-1\right) /(\zeta-1), j \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $d_{j}=0 \Leftrightarrow j \equiv 0 \bmod m$.

Theorem 3 is proved.

## 4. PERIODIC SEQUENCES

If $i, j$ is an initial pair for ( 0 ), we now seek conditions under which two complex numbers $c_{i}, c_{j}$ generate a periodic recurrence sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ with $u_{i}=c_{i}$ and $u_{j}=c_{j}$.

Theorem 4: Given a recurrence (0) with $b \neq 0$, a pair $i, j$ in $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $i<j$, complex numbers $c_{i}, c_{j}$ not both zero, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following two conditions are equivalent:
$i, j$ is an initial pair for (0) and the solution $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of $(0)$ with $u_{i}=c_{i}, u_{j}=c_{j}$ has period $m$. (7)
One of these four cases is valid:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { (a) } \zeta_{1}^{m}=1, \zeta_{1}^{j-i} \neq \zeta_{2}^{j-i}, c_{j}=c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i} ; \\
\text { (b) } \zeta_{2}^{m}=1, \zeta_{1}^{j-i} \neq \zeta_{2}^{j-i}, c_{j}=c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i} ; \\
\text { (c) } \zeta_{1}^{m}=\zeta_{2}^{m}=1, \zeta_{1}^{j-i} \neq \zeta_{2}^{j-i} ;  \tag{8}\\
\text { (d) }\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}=-b,\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{m}=1, c_{j}=c_{i}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{j-i}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here again, $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ are the zeros of $z^{2}-a z-b$.
Proof: Because of Theorem 2, each of the four conditions implies that $i, j$ is an initial pair for (0). Hence, it suffices to show under which condition the unique solution $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of (0) with $u_{i}=c_{i}$ and $u_{j}=c_{j}$ has period $m$.

1) $\zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}$. In this case,

$$
u_{n}=\frac{1}{\zeta_{1}^{j-i}-\zeta_{2}^{j-i}}\left[\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{1}^{n-i}-\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{2}^{n-i}\right], \quad n \geq 0
$$

However, the property $u_{n+m}=u_{n}, n \geq 0$, is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{1}^{n+m-i}-\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{2}^{n+m-i}=\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{1}^{n-i}-\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}\right) \zeta_{2}^{n-i}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}\right)\left(\zeta_{1}^{m}-1\right) \zeta_{1}^{n-i}=\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}\right)\left(\zeta_{2}^{m}-1\right) \zeta_{2}^{n-i}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}\right)\left(\zeta_{1}^{m}-1\right)=0 \\
\left(c_{j}-c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}\right)\left(\zeta_{2}^{m}-1\right)=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases}(\mathrm{a}) & \zeta_{1}^{m}=1, c_{j}=c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}, \\
\text { (b) } & \zeta_{2}^{m}=1, c_{j}=c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i}, \\
(\mathrm{c}) & \zeta_{1}^{m}=\zeta_{2}^{m}=1, \\
(\mathrm{~d}) & c_{j}=c_{i} \zeta_{1}^{j-i}=c_{i} \zeta_{2}^{j-i} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\zeta_{1}^{j-i} \neq \zeta_{2}^{j-i}$, case (d) is impossible.
2) $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$. Here $u_{n}=\frac{1}{j-i}\left[(n-i) c_{j}+(j-n) c_{i}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{j-i}\right]\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{n-j}$.

One can easily compute

$$
u_{n+m}=u_{n}, \forall n \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{m}=1, c_{j}=c_{i}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{j-i},
$$

which is the case (d) of (8), and Theorem 4 is proved.
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