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In [1], we find many well known formulas which involve the sums of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. For example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}=F_{n+2}-1, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)
(3)
(4)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}=L_{n+2}-3, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{2 i-1}=F_{2 n}, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{2 i-1}=L_{2 n}-2, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

Hence, it is natural to ask if there exist summation formulas for other lists of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. If such formulas exist it is then natural to ask if the formulas can be extended to other recurring sequences. The purpose of this paper is to show that both of these questions can be answered in the affirmative. To do this, we first recall the following [1, p. 59]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{n+k}+F_{n-k}=F_{n} L_{k}, & k \text { even } ;  \tag{5}\\
F_{n+k}+F_{n-k}=L_{n} F_{k}, & k \text { odd } ; \\
F_{n+k}-F_{n-k}=F_{n} L_{k}, & k \text { odd } ; \\
F_{n+k}-F_{n-k}=L_{n} F_{k}, & k \text { even. }
\end{array}
$$

Using $L_{n}=a^{n}+\beta^{n}$ where $a$ and $\beta$ are the roots of $x^{2}-x-1=0$ with $a=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2, \beta=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$ it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{n+k}+L_{n-k} & =L_{n} L_{k}, k \text { even; }  \tag{9}\\
L_{n+k}+L_{n-k} & =5 F_{n} F_{k}, k \text { odd; }  \tag{10}\\
L_{n+k}-L_{n-k} & =L_{n} L_{k}, k \text { odd } ;  \tag{11}\\
L_{n+k}-L_{n-k} & =5 F_{n} F_{k}, k \text { even. } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Observing that a sum involving $2^{p}$ terms, by combining pairs, reduces to a sum of $2^{p-1}$ terms, we were able to show Theorem 1. If $k \geqslant 1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} F_{n+4 k i}=F_{n+\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j} L_{2^{i} k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $j=1$ then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{1} F_{n+4 k i}=F_{n}+F_{n+4 k}=L_{2 k} F_{n+2 k}=F_{n+\left(2^{1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{1} L_{2} i_{k}
$$

and the theorem is true.
Assume the proposition is true for $j$. Using (5), we have
and the theorem is proved.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i+1}-1} F_{n+4 k i} & =L_{2 k} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1} F_{n+2 k+8 k i} \\
& =L_{2 k} F_{n+2 k+\left(2^{i}-1\right) 4 k} \prod_{i=1}^{1} L_{2} i^{i+1} k \\
& =F_{n+\left(2^{i+1}-1 / 2 k\right.} \prod_{i=1} L_{2^{i} k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (9) and an argument like that of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1} L_{n+4 k i}=L_{n+\left(22^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j} L_{2^{\prime} k^{\prime}} \quad k \geqslant 1 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8) and (14) with $j-1$ in place of $j, n+2 k$ in place of $n$ and $2 k$ in place of $k$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} F_{n+4 k i}=F_{2 k} L_{n+\left(2^{i}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=2}^{j} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \geqslant 1 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, with the aid of (12) and Theorem 1, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} L_{n+4 k i}=5 F_{2 k} F_{n+\left(2^{i}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=2}^{j} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}}, \quad k \geqslant 1 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} L_{n+(2 i-1) k}=L_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L_{2} i^{\prime} \quad k \text { even } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

while Theorem 1 with the aid of (12) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} L_{n+(2 i-1) k}=5 F_{k} F_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} L_{2} i_{k}, \quad k \text { even } . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 together with (5) can be used to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} F_{n+(2 i-1) k}=F_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{i-1} L_{2} i^{\prime} k^{\prime} \quad k \text { even } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (8) with (14) yields

Since we have used (5) and (8) as well as (9) and (12) on several occasions, it seems natural to ask if formulas exist
using (6) and (7) as well as (10) and (11). With this in mind, we developed the next four formulas.
By use of (10) and (11), respectively with Theorem 1, we have
and
(22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} L_{n+(2 i-1) k}=5 F_{k} F_{n+\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { odd } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} F_{n+(2 i-1) k}=F_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{i-1} L_{2} k_{k} \quad k \text { odd } .
$$

Finally, if we apply (6) and (7) respectively with (14) we are able to show that
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1}(-1)^{i+1} L_{n+(2 i-1) k}=L_{n+\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L_{2} k^{\prime} \quad k \text { odd. } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To lift the results above to the generalized Fibonacci sequence which is defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=q, \quad H_{1}=p, \quad H_{n}=H_{n-1}+H_{n-2}, \quad n \geqslant 2 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is necessary and sufficient to examine formulas comparable to (5) through (12). To do this, we first define a generalized Lucas sequence by (26)

In Horadam [3], it is shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}=H_{n+1}+H_{n-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=2(p-q \beta), s=2(p-q a)$ and $a, \beta$ are the usual roots of $x^{2}-x-1=0$. Furthermore, he shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+k}=H_{n-1} F_{k}+H_{n} F_{k+1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F_{k}$ are the Fibonacci numbers.
Using (27) and Binet's formula for $F_{k}$, a straightforward argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n} F_{k-1}-H_{n-1} F_{k}=(-1)^{k} H_{n-k} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (28) and (29) with the aid of $L_{k}=F_{k+1}+F_{k-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{n+k}+H_{n-k}=H_{n} L_{k}, & k \text { even }  \tag{30}\\
H_{n+k}-H_{n-k}=H_{n} L_{k}, & k \text { odd. }
\end{array}
$$

and

If we use (25), (28), and (29) together with the fact that $F_{k}=F_{k+1}-F_{k-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+k}+H_{n-k}=G_{n} F_{k}, \quad k \text { odd } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(33)

$$
H_{n+k}-H_{n-k}=G_{n} F_{k}, k \text { even } .
$$

Replacing $n$ by $n+k$ in (26) and using (28), we have
(34)

$$
G_{n+k}=H_{n-1} L_{k}+H_{n} L_{k+1}
$$

while replacing $n$ by $n-k$ in (26) and applying (29) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n-k}=(-1)^{k}\left(H_{n-1} L_{k}-H_{n} L_{k-1}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (34) and (35) as we did (28) and (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{n+k}+G_{n-k}=G_{n} L_{k}, k \text { even; }  \tag{36}\\
G_{n+k}+G_{n-k}=5 H_{n} F_{k}, k \text { odd } ;
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{n+k}-G_{n-k} & =G_{n} L_{k}, \quad k \text { odd } ; \\
G_{n+k}-G_{n-k} & =5 H_{n} F_{k},
\end{aligned} \quad k \text { even. } . ~ \$
$$

Examining (30) through (33) and (36) through (39) with $H$ replaced by $F$ and $G$ replaced by $L$, we obtain properties (5) thorugh (12). Hence, it is clear that identities (13) through (24) can be lifted to the generalized Fibonacci and Lucas sequences and in fact are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} H_{n+4 k i}=H_{n+\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \geqslant 1  \tag{40}\\
& \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} G_{n+4 k i}=G_{n+\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j} L_{2} i_{k}, \quad k \geqslant 1 \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} H_{n+4 k i}=F_{2 k} G_{n+\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=2}^{j} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \geqslant 1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1}(-1)^{i+1} G_{n+4 k i}=5 F_{2 k} H_{n+\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=2}^{j} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \geqslant 1
$$

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} G_{n+(2 i-1) k}=G_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{i-1} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { even } ;
$$

(45)

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1}(-1)^{i+1} G_{n+(2 i-1) k}=5 F_{k} H_{n+\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} L_{2^{\prime} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { even } ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} H_{n+(2 i-1) k}=F_{k} G{ }_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { even } ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(48)

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} G_{n+(2 i-1) k}=5 F_{k} H_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} L_{2} k^{\prime} \quad k \text { odd } ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{i+1} H_{n+(2 i-1) k}=H_{n+\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { odd } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} H_{n+(2 i-1) k}=F_{k} G_{n+\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} L_{2} k_{k}, \quad k \text { odd } ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{i}-1}(-1)^{i+1} G_{n+(2 i-1) k}=G_{n+\left(2^{j-1}-1\right) 2 k} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L_{2^{i} k^{\prime}} \quad k \text { odd. } \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is called a recurring sequence if, from a certain point on, every term can be represented as a linear combination of the preceding terms of the sequence. Hence, the sequence $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$
defined recursively by
(52) $\quad U_{0}(x, y)=0, \quad U_{1}(x, y)=1, \quad U_{n}(x, y)=x U_{n-1}(x, y)+y U_{n-2}(x, y), \quad n \geqslant 2$.
where $U_{n}(x, y) \in F[x, y], F$ any field is a recurring sequence.
If we let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be the roots of the equation $\lambda^{2}-x \lambda-y=0$, where we assume $\lambda_{1}=\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+4 y}\right) / 2, y \neq 0$, and $x^{2}+4 y$ is a nonperfect square different from zero, then it is easy to show that

Furthermore, if we let
(54)
then
(55)

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{n}-\lambda_{2}^{n}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}  \tag{53}\\
V_{n}(x, y)=\lambda_{1}^{n}+\lambda_{2}^{n} \\
V_{n}(x, y)=y U_{n-1}\left(x, y+U_{n+1}(x, y)\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Because of the $y$ coefficient, the formulas (5) through (12) do not follow the same pattern for this recurring sequence. However, it can be shown using (53) through (55) together with the facts $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=-\gamma$ and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=x$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} U_{n-k}(x, y)=U_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even } ;  \tag{56}\\
& U_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} U_{n-k}(x, y)=V_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd } ; \\
& U_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} U_{n-k}(x, y)=U_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd } ; \\
& U_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} U_{n-k}(x, y)=V_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even } \\
& V_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} V_{n-k}(x, y)=V_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), k \text { even } \\
& V_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} V_{n-k}(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+4 y\right) U_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd; } \\
& V_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} V_{n-k}(x, y)=V_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd; } \\
& V_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} V_{n-k}(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+4 y\right) U_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even. }
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the $y^{k}$, it is quite obvious that formulas (13) through (24) do not have the same form for the recurring sequences $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{V_{n}(x, y)\right\}$. If we let the coefficients of $U_{n-2}(x, y)$ in (52) be $y=1$ then the sequences $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{V_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ are sequences of polynomials in $x$. In fact, they are respectively the sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials. With $y=1$, it is easy to see that formulas (56) through (63) are of the same nature as (5) through (12) with $F$ in place of $U$ and $L$ in place of $V$. Hence, the formulas (13) through (24) can be lifted to the sequences $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{V_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ if $y=1$ by replacing $F_{n}$ by $U_{n}(x, 1)$ and $L_{n}$ by $V_{n}(x, 1)$. Of course, we have $x^{2}+4$ in palce of 5 in formulas (16), (18), and (21).

In conclusion, we will examine whiat happens if we consider the recurring sequence $\left\{H_{n}(x, y)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}(x, y)=f(x, y), \quad H_{1}(x, y)=g(x, y) \\
H_{n}(x, y)=x H_{n-1}(x, y)+y H_{n-2}(x, y), \quad n \geqslant 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By using properties of difference equations, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x, y)=\left(r(x, y) \lambda_{1}^{n}-s(x, y) \lambda_{2}^{n}\right) / 2 \sqrt{x^{2}+4 y} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are as before, $r(x, y)=2\left(g(x, y)-f(x, y) \lambda_{2}\right)$, and $s(x, y)=2\left(g(x, y)-f(x, y) \lambda_{1}\right)$.
If we let
(66)

$$
G_{n}(x, y)=\left(r(x, y) \lambda_{1}^{n}+s(x, y) \lambda_{2}^{n}\right) / 2
$$

then
(67)

$$
G_{n}(x, y)=y H_{n-1}(x, y)+H_{n+1}(x, y) .
$$

Using (53) and (65), a direct calculation will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x, y) U_{k+1}(x, y)+y H_{n-1}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y)=H_{n+k}(x, y) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(69)

$$
H_{n}(x, y) U_{k-1}(x, y)-H_{n-1}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y)=(-1)^{k} y^{k-1} H_{n-k}(x, y) .
$$

If we use (57) with (67) and (68) and remember that $U_{7}(x, y)=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n+k}(x, y)=y H_{n-1}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y)+H_{n}(x, y) V_{k+1}(x, y) . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (55) with (69) and (67), it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n-1}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y)-H_{n}(x, y) V_{k-1}(x, y)=(-1)^{k} y^{k-1} G_{n-k}(x, y) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $k$ be odd or even in (68) through (71), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} H_{n-k}(x, y)=H_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even } ;  \tag{72}\\
& H_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} H_{n-k}(x, y)=G_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd } ;  \tag{73}\\
& H_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} H_{n-k}(x, y)=H_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd } ;  \tag{74}\\
& H_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} H_{n-k}(x, y)=G_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even ; }  \tag{75}\\
& G_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} G_{n-k}(x, y)=G_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even ; }  \tag{76}\\
& G_{n+k}(x, y)+y^{k} G_{n-k}(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+4 y\right) H_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd ; }  \tag{77}\\
& G_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} G_{n-k}(x, y)=G_{n}(x, y) V_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { odd; }  \tag{78}\\
& G_{n+k}(x, y)-y^{k} G_{n-k}(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+4 y\right) H_{n}(x, y) U_{k}(x, y), \quad k \text { even. } \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that if we replace $H$ by $U$ and $G$ by $V$ then Eqs. (72) through (79) yield Eqs. (56) through (63).
If we let $y=1$ in (64) then Eqs. (72) through (79) are those of (30) through (33) and (36) through (39) where we replace $V_{n}(x, y)$ by $L_{n}, H_{n}(x, y)$ by $H_{n}, G_{n}(x, y)$ by $G_{n}$, and $U_{n}(x, y)$ by $F_{n}$. The same substitutions in ( 40 ) through (51) will give us the summation-product relations relative to the sequences $\left\{H_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{G_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ if $y=1$. In conclusion, we observe several other results which are a direct consequence of the formulas of this paper [2; $p .19$ ]. If we replace $n$ by $k+1$ in (5) through (8) we have $F_{k}, L_{k}, F_{k+1}$, and $L_{k+1}$ are relatively prime to $F_{2 k+1}$ for $k$ $\geqslant 1$. If we let $n=k+2$ in (5) through (8), we have $F_{k}, L_{k}, F_{k+2}$, and $L_{k+2}$ are all relatively prime to $F_{2 k+2}$ for $k \geqslant$ 1. Letting $n=k+1$ in (9) through (12), we see that $F_{k}, L_{k}, F_{k+1}$, and $L_{k+1}$ are all relatively prime to $L_{2 k+1}$.

If we let $n=k+1$ in (56) through (59) with $y=1$ we see that the Fibonacci polynomials $U_{2 k+1}(x, 1) \pm 1$ are factorable for $k \geqslant 2$. If $n=k$ with $y=1$ in (56) through (59) then $U_{2 k}(x, 7)$ is factorable for $k \geqslant 2$.
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[Continued from Page 110.]

* 

(3B) If k is an integer for which Fermat's Last Theorem is true, then there is no pythagorean triangle with the hypotenuse and one of the legs equal to $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ powers of natural numbers.
Proofs of $1 B$ and $2 B$ are provided in the complete text, but $3 B$ remains an open question.
The authors have attempted to compile a complete bibliography related to pythagorean triangles. Included in the bibliography are 111 references to journal articles, 66 references to problems (with solutions) in Amer. Math Monthly, 17 references to notes in Math. Gaz, and 12 references to notes in Math. Mag. Since it is impossible to compile such a bibliography without some omissions, the authors would appreciate receiving any references not already included in the bibliography.
The complete report of which this article is a summary consists of 23 pages. It may be obtained for $\$ 1.50$ by writing the Managing Editor, Brother Alfred Frousseau, St. Mary's College, Moraga, California 94575.

