

The Fibonacci Quarterly 1974 (12,4): 351-354  
 SOME CONGRUENCES FOR FIBONACCI NUMBERS

A. G. SHANNON  
 The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Sydney, Australia  
 and  
 A. F. HORADAM  
 University of New England, Armidale, Australia  
 and  
 Science Institute, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland  
 and  
 S. N. COLLINGS  
 The Open University, Bletchley, England

1. INTRODUCTION

The first congruence in this paper arose in an effort to extend a result of Collings [1] and the second congruence is merely an elaboration of part of a theorem of Wall [5]. In the final section we look at some congruences modulo  $m^2$ .

Some of the symbols involved are:  $D(m)$ , the period of divisibility modulo  $m$  (or rank of apparition of  $m$  or entry point of  $m$ ), the smallest positive integer  $z$  such that  $F_z \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  (see Daykin and Dresel [2]);  $C(m)$ , the period of cycle modulo  $m$ , the smallest positive integer  $k$ ;  $F_{k+n} \equiv F_n \pmod{m}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ;  $T(m)$ , the smallest positive integer  $\varrho$ :  $F_{z+\varrho}^{\varrho} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . In fact,  $z\varrho = k$ . (See Wyler [6].)

Collings' result was that when  $m$  is prime,  $\varrho$  is even,

$$(1.1) \quad F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

where

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 3), \quad F_1 = F_2 = 1.$$

We show that  $m$  can be composite if  $F_{z+\varrho}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ .

2. LEMMAS

*Lemma 2.1:* (see Vinson [5].)

For  $m > 2$ ,  $D(m)$  is odd implies that  $T(m) = 4$ ; and  $D(m)$  is even implies that  $T(m) = 1$  or  $2$ .

*Proof:* Simson's relation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{z+1}^2 &= F_{z+2}F_z + (-1)^{z+2} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{z+2} \quad \text{since } F_z \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{if } z = D(m) \text{ is even,} \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{if } z = D(m) \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

When

$$\begin{aligned} F_{z+1}^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}, \\ T(m) &= 2 \quad \text{if } F_{z+1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}, \\ T(m) &= 1 \quad \text{if } F_{z+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

When

$$\begin{aligned} F_{z+1}^2 &\equiv -1 \pmod{m}, \\ F_{z+1}^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{if } m > 2; \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{z+1} &\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}, \\
 F_{z+1}^3 &= F_{z+1}^2 F_{z+1} \equiv -F_{z+1} \pmod{m}; \\
 F_{z+1}^4 &= [F_{z+1}^2]^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T(m) = 4.$$

**Lemma 2.2:**

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k-1} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}, \\
 F_{k-1} &= F_{k+1} - F_k \equiv F_1 - 0 \pmod{m} \\
 &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. THEOREMS

**Theorem 3.1:** If  $\varrho \neq 1$  and  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ , then

$$F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

**Proof:**  $\varrho = T(m)$  which takes only the values 1, 2, 4 (Lemma 2.1). But  $\varrho \neq 1$  (given). Therefore  $\varrho$  is even.

Therefore,  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho}$  exists and is unique. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} &\equiv F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} F_r \pmod{m} \quad (\text{see Eq. (8) of [4]}) \\
 &\equiv -F_r \pmod{m} \quad \text{as } F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} \equiv -1 \\
 \therefore F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**NOTE.** (i) Conversely, if for  $\varrho \neq 1$  we are given that

$$F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

for all  $r$ , this congruence must hold for  $r = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore 1 &= F_1 \equiv -F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + 1} \pmod{m} \\
 &\equiv -F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} F_1 \pmod{m} \\
 &\equiv -F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} \pmod{m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is possible for

$$F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r}$$

to be congruent to zero for some particular  $r$  without  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho}$  being congruent to  $-1$ . Thus, when  $m = 12$ ,

$$F_{12} = 144 \equiv 0 \pmod{12} \quad \text{and } z = 12.$$

$$F_{z+1} = F_{13} = 233 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$$

$$\therefore \varrho = 2$$

$$\therefore F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} = F_{13} \equiv -1 \pmod{12}.$$

Despite this,

$$F_3 + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + 3} = F_3 + F_{15} = 2 + 610 = 612 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}.$$

(ii) When  $\varrho = 1$  the situation is very untidy. If  $z$  is odd,  $F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r}$  does not exist. Even when  $z$  is even, we have trouble with  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho}$ . As  $\varrho = 1$ ,  $F_{z+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Therefore

$$F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho} = \sqrt{F_{z+1}} \equiv \sqrt{1} = \pm 1$$

(and possibly other values as well).  $-1$  is always a possible value for  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho}$ , but never the exclusive value.

(iii) Although  $-1$  is always a possible value for  $F_{z+1}^{\frac{1}{2}\varrho}$  ( $\varrho = 1$ ), it is not necessarily true that

$$F_r + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

Thus, when  $m = 4$ ,  $z = 6$ .

$$\therefore F_{z+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}, \quad \therefore \varrho = 1.$$

$$\therefore F_2 + F_{\frac{1}{2}\varrho z + 2} = F_2 + F_5 = 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

**Theorem 3.2:**  $F_r + (-1)^r F_{k-r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

**Proof:**  $-F_k \equiv 0 = F_0$  and  $F_{k-1} \equiv 1 = F_1 \pmod{m}$ , by Lemma 2.2  
 $-F_{k-2} = -F_k + F_{k-1} \equiv F_0 + F_1 \equiv F_2 \pmod{m}$ .

It follows by induction on  $k$  that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{r-1} F_{k-r} &= (-1)^{r-1} F_{k-r+2} + (-1)^r F_{k-r+1} \\ &\equiv F_{r-2} + F_{r-1} \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv F_r \pmod{m}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the required result.

#### 4. CONGRUENCES MODULO $m^2$

Here we use the results (see Hoggatt [3])

$$(4.1) \quad F_{nr+1} = F_{(n-1)r} F_r + F_{(n-1)r+1} F_{r+1}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad F_{2n+1} = F_n^2 + F_{n+1}^2.$$

If  $a \pmod{m} \equiv F_{z+1} \equiv b \pmod{m^2}$ , then  $b$  is of the form  $Bm + a$ , for some  $B$ . For example,  $F_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $3 \pmod{5} \equiv F_6 \equiv 8 \pmod{5^2}$ , and  $8 = 1 \times 5 + 3$ .

Using  $F_z \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and (4.1) and (4.2) we find

$$F_{2z+1} \equiv F_{z+1}^2 \pmod{m^2} \equiv b^2 \pmod{m^2},$$

and

$$F_{3z+1} \equiv F_{2z+1} F_{z+1} \pmod{m^2} \equiv b^3 \pmod{m^2},$$

which, by the use of (4.1), can be generalized to

$$(4.3) \quad F_{nz+1} \equiv b^n \pmod{m^2}.$$

Furthermore, since  $F_z = Am$  for some  $A$ , then

$$F_{z-1} \equiv b - Am \pmod{m^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2z} &= F_{z-1} F_z + F_z F_{z+1} \\ &\equiv (b - Am)Am + Amb \pmod{m^2} \\ &\equiv 2bAm \pmod{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{3z} &= F_{2z-1} F_z + F_{2z} F_{z+1} \quad (\text{from (4.1)}) \\ &\equiv (b^2 - 2bAm)Am + 2bAm \cdot b \pmod{m^2} \\ &\equiv 3b^2 Am \pmod{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $F_{4z} \equiv 4b^3 Am \pmod{m^2}$ . Thus

$$(4.4) \quad F_{nz} \equiv nb^{n-1} Am \pmod{m^2}.$$

When  $F_{nz} \equiv 0$  the congruence  $nb^{n-1} A \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  reduces to  $nA \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , because, from (4.3) and (4.4), if  $b$  and  $m$  have any factor in common, so have  $F_{nz}$  and  $F_{nz+1}$ , which is impossible as adjacent Fibonacci numbers are always co-prime. Thus, if we solve  $nA \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  for  $n$ , then  $Z = nz$  gives that  $F_Z$  which is zero  $\pmod{m^2}$ .

Let us apply these methods to find which Fibonacci numbers are divisible by convenient powers of 10. Instead of working with  $m = 10$ , we shall find the equations simpler if we write  $10 = m_1 \cdot m_2$ , where  $m_1 = 2$ ,  $m_2 = 5$ , and  $100 = 2^2 \cdot 5^2$ .  $m_1 = 2$ ,  $z = 3$ ,  $F_3 = 1 \cdot 2$  and so  $A = 1$ . The equation  $nA \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  reduces to  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , which gives  $n = 2$ , so that  $Z = 2z = 6$ . Similarly with  $m_2 = 5$ ,  $z = 5$ , and we find that  $Z = 5z = 25$ .

If we take  $m_1 = 4$ ,  $z = 6$ ,  $F_6 = 2 \cdot 4$  and so  $A = 2$ . Thus  $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  which gives  $n = 2$  and  $Z = 2z = 12$ . Similarly, with  $m_2 = 25$ ,  $z = 25$  and  $F_{25} = 75025 = 3001 \cdot 25$  which yields  $A \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ . So  $n = 25$  and  $Z = 25z = 625$ .

