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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $t$ be a complex number with $|t|<\frac{\pi}{2}$ and let the Euler numbers $E_{2 n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ be defined by the coefficients in the expansion of

$$
\sec t=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} .
$$

That is, $E_{0}=1, E_{2}=1, E_{4}=5, E_{6}=61, E_{8}=1385, E_{10}=50521, \ldots$.
We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n, k)=\sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=n} \frac{E_{2 \alpha_{1}} E_{2 \alpha_{2}} \ldots E_{2 \alpha_{k}}}{\left(2 \alpha_{1}\right)!\left(2 \alpha_{2}\right)!\ldots\left(2 \alpha_{k}\right)!}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over all $k$-dimensional nonnegative integer coordinates ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ ) such that $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=n$ and $k$ is any positive integer. Recently, several researchers have studied the numbers $E(n, k)$. In [3], Wenpeng Zhang obtained an expression for $E(n, 2 m+1)$ ( $m \geq 1$ ) as a linear combination of Euler numbers and obtained some interesting congruence expressions for Euler numbers. The main purpose of this paper is to express $E(n, 2 m)$ as a linear combination of second-order Euler numbers, so that some congruence expressions are obtained correspondingly. The two identities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} B_{n}^{(p j)}=p^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} B_{n}^{(j)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} E_{n}^{(p j)}(0)=p^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} E_{n}^{(j)}(0), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which were obtained by David Zeitlin (see [2], p. 238) are deduced, and some more common results than (2) and (3) are achieved.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

Definition 1: If $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is any sequence with $A_{0}=1$ and if $f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} t^{t} / n!$ is its generating function, then the "umbral" sequence $A_{n}^{(k)}$ of order $k$ and the associated Appel sequence of polynomials $A_{n}^{(k)}(x)$ of order $k$ are defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}^{(k)} t^{n} / n! \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x t} f(t)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}^{(k)}(x) t^{n} / n! \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is any integer. Clearly, $A_{n}^{(k)}(0)=A_{n}^{(k)}$ and $A_{n}^{(1)}=A_{n}$. It is also easy to see that

$$
A_{n}^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} A_{j}^{(k)} x^{n-j} \text { and that } \frac{d}{d x} A_{n}^{(k)}(x)=n A_{n-1}^{(k)}(x)
$$

Remark 1: (a) When $f(t)=\sec t,|t|<\pi / 2$, (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sec t)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(k)} t^{n} / n!, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}^{(k)}$ are called Euler numbers of order $k$;
(b) When $f(t)=t /\left(e^{t}-1\right),|t|<2 \pi$, (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t /\left(e^{t}-1\right)\right)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(k)} t^{n} / n!, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}^{(k)}$ are called Bernoulli numbers of order $k$ (cf. [1], [2]);
(c) When $f(t)=2 /\left(e^{t}+1\right),|t|<\pi$, (5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x t}\left(2 /\left(e^{t}+1\right)\right)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(k)}(x) t^{n} / n! \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}^{(k)}(x)$ are called Euler polynomials of order $k$ (cf. [1], [2]);
(d) When $f(t)=t /\left(e^{t}-1\right),|t|<2 \pi$, (5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x t}\left(t /\left(e^{t}-1\right)\right)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(k)}(x) t^{n} / n! \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}^{(k)}(x)$ are called Bernoulli polynomials of order $k$ (cf. [1], [2]).
Clearly, the usual Euler numbers $E_{n}=E_{n}^{(1)}$, Bernoulli numbers $B_{n}=B_{n}^{(1)}$, Euler polynomials $E_{n}(x)=E_{n}^{(1)}(x)$, and Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(x)=B_{n}^{(1)}(x)$. Using (6), (7), (8), and (9), we have $E_{2 n-1}^{(k)}=0(n \geq 1), E_{2 n}^{(k)}=(-1)^{n} 2^{2 n} E_{2 n}^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$, and $B_{n}^{(k)}=B_{n}^{(k)}(0)$.

Definition 2: $\sigma_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)(j=0,1,2, \ldots, n)$ are defined as the coefficients of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x+x_{1}\right)\left(x+x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x+x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sigma_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x^{n-j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1: $E_{2 n}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} E_{2 n+2}^{(k-2)}+\frac{k-2}{k-1} E_{2 n}^{(k-2)} \quad(k>2)$.
Proof: By (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} E_{2 n+2}^{(k-2)}+\frac{k-2}{k-1} E_{2 n}^{(k-2)}\right) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \\
& =\frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{2 n}^{(k-2)} \frac{t^{2 n-2}}{(2 n-2)!}+\frac{k-2}{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n}^{(k-2)} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \\
& =\frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}(\sec t)^{k-2}+\frac{k-2}{k-1}(\sec t)^{k-2}=(\sec t)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n}^{(k)} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and comparing the coefficient of $t^{2 n}$ on both sides of (11), we immediately obtain (10).

## IDENTITIES AND CONGRUENCES INVOLVING HIGHER-ORDER EULER-BERNOULLI NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS

Lemma 2: $E_{2 n}^{(2)}=\frac{(-1)^{n} 2^{2 n+1}\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)}{n+1} B_{2 n+2}$.
Proof: By (6) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n+1}\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right) B_{2 n+2} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n}\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) B_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n-2}}{(2 n-2)!} \\
& =4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 n-1)\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) B_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n-2}}{(2 n)!}=4 \frac{d}{d t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) B_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n)!} \\
& =4 \frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2 n} \frac{(2 t)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}-t^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\right)=4 \frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{-1}\left(\frac{2 t}{e^{2 t}-1}-1+t\right)-t^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}-1+\frac{1}{2} t\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{4 t^{t}}{\left(e^{t}+1\right)^{2}}=\left(\sec \frac{i t}{2}\right)^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n}^{(2)} \frac{\left(\frac{i}{2} t\right)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} E_{2 n}^{(2)} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and comparing the coefficient of $t^{2 n}$ on both sides of (13), we immediately obtain (12).
Remark 2: By (12), we have $E_{0}^{(2)}=1, E_{2}^{(2)}=2, E_{4}^{(2)}=16, E_{6}^{(2)}=272, E_{8}^{(2)}=7936, E_{10}^{(2)}=353792$, $E_{12}^{(2)}=22368256, \ldots$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1: $E_{2 n}^{(2 m)}=\frac{1}{(2 m-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{m j} E_{2 n+2 m-2-2 j}^{(2)}$,
where $\sigma_{m j}=\sigma_{j}\left(2^{2}, 4^{2}, 6^{2}, \ldots,(2 m-2)^{2}\right)$, and $m$ is a positive integer.
Proof: We prove Theorem 1 using mathematical induction.
(a) When $m=1$, (14) is clearly true.
(b) Suppose (14) is true for some natural number $m$. By the supposition and (10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{2 n}^{(2 m+2)}=\frac{1}{(2 m+1)(2 m)} E_{2 n+2}^{(2 m)}+\frac{2 m}{2 m+1} E_{2 n}^{(2 m)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{m j} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)}+\frac{(2 m)^{2}}{(2 m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{m j} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j-2}^{(2)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{m j} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)}+\frac{(2 m)^{2}}{(2 m+1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{m(j-1)} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 m+1)!}\left(E_{2 n+2 m}^{(2)}+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(\sigma_{m j}+(2 m)^{2} \sigma_{m(j-1)}\right) E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)}+(2 m)^{2} \sigma_{m(m-1)} E_{2 n}^{(2)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 m+1)!}\left(E_{2 n+2 m}^{(2)}+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{(m+1) j} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)}+\sigma_{(m+1) m} E_{2 n}^{(2)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sigma_{(m+1) j} E_{2 n+2 m-2 j}^{(2)}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and (15) shows that (14) is also true for the natural number $m+1$. From (a) and (b), we know that (14) is true.

Corollary 1: $\quad E(n, 2 m)=\frac{1}{(2 m-1)!(2 n)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{m j} E_{2 n+2 m-2-2 j}^{(2)}$.
Proof: From formulas (1) and (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(n, 2 m) t^{2 n}=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\right)^{2 m}=(\sec t)^{2 m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n}^{(2 m)} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $t^{2 n}$ on both sides of (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n, 2 m)=\frac{1}{(2 n)!} E_{2 n}^{(2 m)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (14) and (18), we immediately obtain (16).
Corollary 2: For any odd prime $p$, we have the congruence

$$
E_{p-1}^{(2)} \equiv \begin{cases}1(\bmod p) & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ -1(\bmod p) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Taking $n=0$ and $2 m-1=p$ in Corollary 1 , and noting that $E_{0}=E_{0}^{(2)}=1,(p-1)!\equiv$ $-1(\bmod p)$, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \equiv p! & =\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{p+1}{2} j} E_{p-1-2 j}^{(2)} \equiv E_{p-1}^{(2)}+\sigma_{\frac{p+1}{2} \frac{p-1}{2}} E_{0}^{(2)} \\
& =E_{p-1}^{(2)}+2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 8^{2} \cdots(p-1)^{2} \equiv E_{p-1}^{(2)}+(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the congruence

$$
\sigma_{\frac{p+1}{2} j} \equiv 0(\bmod p), j=1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-3}{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
E_{p-1}^{(2)} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (\bmod p) & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\
-1 & (\bmod p) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 3: For any odd prime $p$, we have the congruence

$$
\frac{2^{p+1}\left(2^{p+1}-1\right)}{p+1} B_{p+1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
$$

Proof: By Corollary 2 and (12).
Remark 3: For $p=3$, the preceding congruence says that $60 B_{4} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ while, for $p>3$, using Fermat's little theorem, i.e., $2^{p} \equiv 2(\bmod p)$, the congruence says that $12 B_{p+1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. These facts can be derived directly from the standard recursion for Bernoulli numbers.

Theorem 2: If $p$ is any integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} A_{n}^{(p j)}(j x)=n!\left(-x-p A_{1}\right)^{n} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}^{(k)}(x)$ are defined as in Definition 1.
Proof: We use the notation $\left[t^{n}\right] h(t)$ to denote the coefficient of $t^{n}$ in the power series expansion at 0 of $h(t)$. Then, by the definition of $A_{n}^{(k)}(x)$ and the binomial expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} A_{n}^{(p j)}(j x) & =n!\left[t^{n}\right]_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} e^{t j x} f(t)^{p j} \\
& =n!\left[t^{n}\right]\left(1-e^{t x} f(t)^{p}\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g(t)=1-e^{t x} f(t)^{p}$, then $g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(t)=-e^{t x}\left(x f(t)^{p}+p f(t)^{p-1} f^{\prime}(t)\right)$, so that $g^{\prime}(0)=$ $-\left(x+p A_{1}\right)$. Thus, $g(t)=-\left(x+p A_{1}\right) t+0\left(t^{2}\right)$ and $g(t)^{n}=\left(-x-p A_{1}\right)^{n} t^{n}+0\left(t^{n+1}\right)$.

Corollary 4: If $p$ is any integer, then
(a)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} E_{n}^{(p j)}(j x)=n!\left(\frac{p}{2}-x\right)^{n}  \tag{20}\\
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} B_{n}^{(p j)}(j x)=n!\left(\frac{p}{2}-x\right)^{n} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: By formula (19), we immediately obtain (20) and (21), since in the Euler case $f(t)=2 /\left(e^{t}+1\right)$ and in the Bernoulli case $f(t)=t /\left(e^{t}-1\right)$. In both cases, $A_{0}=f(0)=1$ and $A_{1}=f^{\prime}(0)=-1 / 2$.

Corollary 5: If $p$ is any integer, then
(a) $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} E_{n}^{(p j)}(0)=p^{n} \cdot \frac{n!}{2^{n}}$,
(b) $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} E_{n}^{(j)}(0)=\frac{n!}{2^{n}}$,
(c) $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} B_{n}^{(p j)}=p^{n} \cdot \frac{n!}{2^{n}}$,
(d) $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} B_{n}^{(j)}=\frac{n!}{2^{n}}$.

Proof: Taking $x=0$ in Corollary 4, we immediately obtain Corollary 5.
Remarle 4: By Corollary 5, we immediately obtain (2) and (3) (see [2], p. 238).
Corollary 6: $\sum_{j=0}^{2 n}(-1)^{j}\binom{2 n}{j} E_{2 n}^{(p j)}=0$.
Proof: Taking $x=p / 2$ in Corollary 4(a) and noting that $E_{2 n}^{(p j)}=(-1)^{n} 2^{2 n} E_{2 n}^{(p j)}\left(\frac{p j}{2}\right)$, we immediately obtain (23).
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Readers of The Fibonacci Quarterly will be pleased to know that many of its problems can now be searched electronically (at no charge) on the World Wide Web at
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