

# Binomial coefficients, Catalan numbers and Lucas quotients

*Dedicated to Professor Wang Yuan on the Occasion of his 80th Birthday*

SUN ZhiWei

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China  
Email: zwsun@nju.edu.cn*

Received October 28, 2009; accepted March 22, 2010

---

**Abstract** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a > 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ . In this paper we determine  $\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+d}/m^k \pmod{p^2}$  for  $d = 0, 1$ ; for example,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} \equiv \left(\frac{m^2-4m}{p^a}\right) + \left(\frac{m^2-4m}{p^{a-1}}\right) u_{p-\left(\frac{m^2-4m}{p}\right)} \pmod{p^2},$$

where  $(-)$  is the Jacobi symbol and  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is the Lucas sequence given by  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $u_1 = 1$  and  $u_{n+1} = (m-2)u_n - u_{n-1}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). As an application, we determine  $\sum_{0 < k < p^a, k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}} C_k$  modulo  $p^2$  for any integer  $r$ , where  $C_k$  denotes the Catalan number  $\binom{2k}{k}/(k+1)$ . We also pose some related conjectures.

**Keywords** congruences, binomial coefficients, Catalan numbers, Lucas quotients

**MSC(2000):** Primary 11B65; Secondary 05A10, 11A07, 11B39

---

**Citation:** Sun Z W. Binomial coefficients, Catalan numbers and Lucas quotients. *Sci China Math*, 2010, 53(9):2473–2488, doi: 10.1007/s11425-010-3151-3

---

## 1 Introduction

The well-known Catalan numbers are given by

$$C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} = \binom{2k}{k} - \binom{2k}{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

They have lots of combinatorial interpretations, see, e.g., [6, pp. 219–229].

Let  $p$  be a prime. In 2006, Pan and Sun [4] obtained some congruences involving Catalan numbers; for example, (1.16) in [4] yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} C_k \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left( \left( \frac{p}{3} \right) - 1 \right) \pmod{p},$$

where  $(\cdot)$  is the Jacobi symbol. In a recent paper Sun and Tauraso [12] investigated  $\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+d}/m^k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k+d}/(km^{k-1})$  modulo  $p$  via Lucas sequences, where  $d$  is an integer among  $0, \dots, p^a$  and  $m$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ . By Sun and Tauraso [13, Corollary 1.1], for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{3}\right) \pmod{p^2} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+1} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a-1}{3}\right) - p\delta_{p,3} \pmod{p^2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the Kronecker symbol  $\delta_{m,n}$  takes 1 or 0 according as  $m = n$  or not.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . The Lucas sequences  $u_n = u_n(A, B)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $v_n = v_n(A, B)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are defined as follows:

$$u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1, \text{ and } u_{n+1} = Au_n - Bu_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$v_0 = 2, v_1 = A, \text{ and } v_{n+1} = Av_n - Bv_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The characteristic equation  $x^2 - Ax + B = 0$  has two roots

$$\alpha = \frac{A + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{A - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2},$$

where  $\Delta = A^2 - 4B$ . By induction, one can easily get the following well-known formulae:

$$(\alpha - \beta)u_n = \alpha^n - \beta^n \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n.$$

In the case  $\alpha = \beta$  (i.e.,  $\Delta = 0$ ), clearly  $u_n = n(A/2)^{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $p$  is an odd prime not dividing  $B$ , then it is known that  $p \mid u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}$  (see, e.g., [10]), and we call the integer  $u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}/p$  a *Lucas quotient*. There are many congruences for some special Lucas quotients such as Fibonacci quotients and Pell quotients (cf. [7] and [9]).

In this paper we establish the following general theorem which includes some previous congruences as special cases and relates binomial coefficients to Lucas quotients.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $m$  be any integer not divisible by  $p$  and set  $\Delta = m(m - 4)$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \pmod{p^2} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k+1}{k+1}}{m^k} \equiv 1 - m^{p-1} + \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \left( \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) - 1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \right) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.4}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k+1}{k}}{m^k} + m^{p-1} - 1 \equiv \frac{m}{2} \left( \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) - 1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \right) \pmod{p^2} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{C_k}{m^k} \equiv m^{p-1} - 1 - \frac{m-4}{2} \left( \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) - 1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)u_{p-(\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \right) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.6}$$

Here is a consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{2^k} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^a-1}{2}} \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k+1}{k+1}}{2^k} \equiv 1 - 2^{p-1} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k+1}}{4^k} \equiv p\delta_{a,1} - 4^{p-1} \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{C_k}{4^k} \equiv 2^p - 2 \pmod{p^2}.$$

If  $p \neq 3$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{3^k} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{3}\right) \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{C_k}{3^k} \equiv 3^{p-1} - 1 + \frac{\left(\frac{p^a}{3}\right) - 1}{2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

When  $p \neq 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{k} &\equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) (1 - 2F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})}) \pmod{p^2}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} (-1)^k C_k &\equiv \frac{5}{2} \left(\left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) - 1\right) - 5 \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})} \pmod{p^2}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{5^k} &\equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) (1 + 2F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})}) \pmod{p^2}, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{C_k}{5^k} &\equiv \frac{1 - \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right)}{2} - \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})} \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is the well-known Fibonacci sequence defined by

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

**Remark 1.1.** (i) There is a closed formula for the sum  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k}/4^k$ . In fact,  $\binom{2k}{k} = (-4)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{-1}{n-k} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} = (-1)^n \binom{-\frac{3}{2}}{n} = \frac{2n+1}{4^n} \binom{2n}{n}$$

by the Chu-Vandermonde identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k} \binom{y}{n-k} = \binom{x+y}{n}$$

(see, e.g., [2, p. 169]).

(ii) In [12], the authors conjectured that if  $p \neq 2, 5$  is a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{5}\right) (1 - 2F_{p^a-(\frac{p^a}{5})}) \pmod{p^3}.$$

(Note that  $F_{p^a-(\frac{p^a}{5})} \equiv F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})} \pmod{p^2}$  by Lemma 2.3.) This seems difficult. Those primes  $p > 5$  satisfying  $p^2 \mid F_{p-(\frac{p}{5})}$  are called Wall-Sun-Sun primes (cf. [1, p. 32]). Up to now none of this kind of primes has been found though it is conjectured that there should be infinitely many Wall-Sun-Sun primes.

By Corollary 1.1, if  $p$  is an odd prime then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{2^k} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p^2}.$$

This seems to be a new characterization of odd primes and we have verified our following conjecture for  $n < 10^4$  via Mathematica.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *If an odd integer  $n > 1$  satisfies the congruence*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{2^k} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \pmod{n^2},$$

then  $n$  must be a prime.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we will determine the sums

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k}, \quad \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+1}, \quad \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k$$

modulo  $p^2$  for any prime  $p$  and integers  $a > 0$  and  $r$ . By (1.1) and (1.2), for  $d = 0, 1$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+d} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a-d}{3}\right) - p\delta_{d,1}\delta_{p,3} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Thus the task for  $p = 2$  is easy; for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < 2^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{2-1}}} C_k &= \sum_{k=1}^{2^a-1} C_k = \sum_{k=1}^{2^a-1} \binom{2k}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{2^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+1} \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{2^a}{3}\right) - 1 - \left(\frac{2^a-1}{3}\right) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{2^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid a, \\ 0 \pmod{2^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid a. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we will only handle the main case  $p \neq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

(i) *If  $a$  is odd and  $r \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ , then*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d} \equiv \binom{2r}{r+d} \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{for } d = 0, 1, \tag{1.7}$$

and also

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv C_r \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.8}$$

(ii) *Suppose that  $a$  is even. Then, for  $r = 1, \dots, p$  we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv 4^r \left(1 + \frac{p}{2} + r(2^{p-1} - 1)\right) - pR_p(r) \pmod{p^2}, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$R_p(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} \frac{\binom{2r+2s}{r+s}}{(2s+1)\binom{2s}{s}} & \text{if } 0 < r \leq \frac{p-1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, if  $r \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  then

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+1} \equiv 4^r \left(1 + \frac{p}{2} + (r+2)(2^{p-1} - 1)\right) + p \left(R_p(r) - \frac{R_p(r+1)}{2}\right) \pmod{p^2} \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 4^r(2 - 2^p) - p \left(2R_p(r) - \frac{R_p(r+1)}{2}\right) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.11}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 4^r(2 - 2^p) \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{for } r = \frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p-1. \tag{1.12}$$

**Remark 1.2.** If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is even, then by (1.11) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The author would like to see any combinatorial interpretation for this.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv \begin{cases} -2p - 1 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid a, \\ 2 - 2^p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid a; \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid a, \\ 4(2 - 2^p) + 2p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid a; \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2(2^p - p - 1) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid a, \\ 2 - 2^p + (-1)^{(p+1)/2} 2p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid a. \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

Now we pose some new conjectures.

**Conjecture 1.2.** Let  $p$  be any prime and let  $r$  be an integer. For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$S_r(p^a) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k.$$

Then, for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$S_r(p^{a+2}) \equiv S_r(p^a) \pmod{p^{(1+\delta_{p,2})(a+1)}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{S_r(p^{a+2}) - S_r(p^a)}{p^{(1+\delta_{p,2})(a+1)}} + p(\delta_{p^a,2} + \delta_{p^a,3}) \pmod{p^2}$$

does not depend on  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Conjecture 1.3.** Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $d \in \{0, \dots, p\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$T_r^{(d)}(p^a) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d}.$$

Then, for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$T_r^{(d)}(p^{a+2}) \equiv T_r^{(d)}(p^a) \pmod{p^a};$$

furthermore

$$\frac{T_r^{(d)}(p^{a+2}) - T_r^{(d)}(p^a)}{p^a} \pmod{p}$$

does not depend on  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d < p = 2$ , then

$$T_r^{(d)}(2^{a+2}) \equiv T_r^{(d)}(2^a) \pmod{2^{2a+2+\delta_{d,0}(1-\delta_{a,0})}}.$$

If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $d \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $p = 3$ , then

$$T_r^{(d)}(3^{a+2}) \equiv T_r^{(d)}(3^a) \pmod{3^{a+1+\delta_{d,1}(1-\delta_{a,1})}}.$$

Given a positive integer  $h$ , two kinds of Catalan numbers of order  $h$  are defined as follows:

$$C_k^{(h)} = \frac{1}{hk+1} \binom{(h+1)k}{k} = \binom{(h+1)k}{k} - h \binom{(h+1)k}{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\bar{C}_k^{(h)} = \frac{h}{k+1} \binom{(h+1)k}{k} = h \binom{(h+1)k}{k} - \binom{(h+1)k}{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In [15] and [11], the authors gave various congruences involving higher-order Catalan numbers. In particular, Sun [11] proved that for any prime  $p > 3$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $6 \mid a$  we have the congruence

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{3k}{k+d} \equiv 2^{d+3-2r} 3^{3r-2} \pmod{p},$$

for all  $d \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; consequently,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k^{(2)} \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \bar{C}_k^{(2)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Here is our conjecture involving Catalan numbers of order 2.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $p$  be any prime, and set*

$$C(p^a) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}}} C_k^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{C}(p^a) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}}} \bar{C}_k^{(2)} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Then we have

$$C(p^a) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \delta_{p,2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ -\frac{\binom{p}{3} + 1}{2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{\binom{p}{3} - 1}{2} + \delta_{p,2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{1 - \binom{p}{3}}{2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \delta_{p,2} - 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{C}(p^a) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ -2 + \delta_{p,2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}, \\ -1 - 2\binom{p}{3} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{6}, \\ 2\binom{p}{3} - 1 + \delta_{p,2} \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 in Section 2, and show Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.2 in Section 3.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a > 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k+1}}{m^k} + (m^{p-1} - 1) \equiv \frac{m-2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + p\delta_{p,2} \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k} + \binom{2k}{k+1}}{m^k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2(k+1)}{k+1}}{m^k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{k-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{k-1}} - m + \frac{\binom{2p^a}{p^a}}{m^{p^a-1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} - \frac{m}{2} + \frac{\binom{2p^a-1}{p^a-1}}{m^{p^a-1}}.$$

Clearly we have

$$\binom{2p^a-1}{p^a-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \left(1 + \frac{p^a}{k}\right) \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \left(\frac{p^a}{k} + \frac{p^a}{p^a-k}\right) \equiv 1 + p\delta_{p,2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

(See also [13, Lemma 2.2].) Note that

$$\frac{1}{m^{p^a-1}} \equiv \frac{1}{m^{p-1}} \equiv 2 - m^{p-1} \pmod{p^2}$$

since  $m^{p(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  and  $(m^{p-1}-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  by Euler's theorem and Fermat's little theorem. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k+1}}{m^k} &\equiv \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + 1 - m^{p-1} + p\delta_{p,2}(2 - m^{p-1}) \\ &\equiv \frac{m-2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + 1 - m^{p-1} + p\delta_{p,2} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p$  be any prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $m$  be an integer not divisible by  $p$ . Then*

$$\frac{m^{p-1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + \frac{u_{p^a}(m-2, 1)}{2} \equiv u_{p^a}(m, m) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* By [12, Theorem 2.1],

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k} m^{p^a-1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2p^a}{k} u_{p^a-k}(m-2, 1).$$

For  $k \in \{1, \dots, p^a-1\}$ , clearly

$$\binom{2p^a-1}{k-1} = \prod_{0 < j < k} \frac{2p^a-j}{j} \equiv \prod_{0 < j < k} \frac{p^a-j}{j} = \binom{p^a-1}{k-1} \pmod{p}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \binom{2p^a}{k} = \frac{p^a}{k} \binom{2p^a-1}{k-1} \equiv \frac{p^a}{k} \binom{p^a-1}{k-1} = \binom{p^a}{k} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m^{p^a-1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + \frac{u_{p^a}(m-2, 1)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \binom{2p^a}{k} u_{p^a-k}(m-2, 1) + u_{p^a}(m-2, 1) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{k} u_{p^a-k}(m-2, 1) + u_{p^a}(m-2, 1) \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{j} u_j(m-2, 1) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Delta = (m-2)^2 - 4 = m^2 - 4m \neq 0$ , then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{j} u_j(m-2, 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left( \left(\frac{m-2+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^j - \left(\frac{m-2-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}\right)^j \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left( \left( \frac{m + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right)^{p^a} - \left( \frac{m - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right)^{p^a} \right) = u_{p^a}(m, m).$$

In the case  $\Delta = 0$  (i.e.,  $m = 4$ ), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{j} u_j(2, 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{j} j = p^a \sum_{j=1}^{p^a} \binom{p^a-1}{j-1} = p^a 2^{p^a-1} = u_{p^a}(4, 4).$$

In view of the above, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{m^{p^a-1} - m^{p-1}}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

This follows from Euler’s theorem when  $p \neq 2$ . If  $p = 2$ , then (2.3) holds since  $2 \nmid m$  and  $m^p = m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^3}$ . We are done.

Now we need a lemma on Lucas sequences.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$v_{p^a}(A, B) \equiv v_{p^{a-1}}(A, B) \pmod{p^a}. \tag{2.4}$$

If  $p \neq 2$ , then

$$u_{p^a}(A, B) \equiv \left( \frac{\Delta}{p} \right) u_{p^{a-1}}(A, B) \pmod{p^a}, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\Delta = A^2 - 4B$ . When  $p \nmid 2B\Delta$ , we have

$$u_{p^a - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)}(A, B) \equiv \begin{cases} B^{\left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2} \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) u_{p^{a-1} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)}(A, B) \pmod{p^a}, \\ B^{\left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2} \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) u_{p - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)}(A, B) \pmod{p^2}, \\ 0 \pmod{p}, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$v_{p^a - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)}(A, B) \equiv \begin{cases} B^{\left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2} v_{p^{a-1} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)}(A, B) \pmod{p^a}, \\ B^{\left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2} v_{p - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)}(A, B) \pmod{p^2}, \\ 2B^{(1 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right))/2} \pmod{p}. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

*Proof.* For convenience we let  $u_n = u_n(A, B)$  and  $v_n = v_n(A, B)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We split our proof into several steps.

(i) By a known result of Jänichen [3] (see also [5] and [14]), if  $\prod_{j=1}^m (x - \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  then

$$\alpha_1^{p^a} + \cdots + \alpha_m^{p^a} \equiv \alpha_1^{p^{a-1}} + \cdots + \alpha_m^{p^{a-1}} \pmod{p^a}.$$

Thus

$$v_{p^a} = \alpha^{p^a} + \beta^{p^a} \equiv \alpha^{p^{a-1}} + \beta^{p^{a-1}} = v_{p^{a-1}} \pmod{p^a},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the two roots of the equation  $x^2 - Ax + B = 0$  in the complex field.

(ii) Now we prove that  $p^a \mid u_{p^a}$  under the condition  $p \mid \Delta$ .

If  $\Delta = 0$  (i.e.,  $\alpha = \beta$ ), then  $A$  is even and  $u_n = n(A/2)^{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , in particular  $u_{p^a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ .

Assume  $\Delta \neq 0$ . If  $p \neq 2$ , then

$$u_p \equiv u_p \left( A, \frac{A^2}{4} \right) = p \left( \frac{A}{2} \right)^{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

When  $p = 2$ , we have  $2 \mid A$  since  $p \mid \Delta$ , hence  $u_2 = A \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . So we always have  $p \mid u_p$ . Observe that

$$u_{p^{a+1}} = \frac{\alpha^{p^{a+1}} - \beta^{p^{a+1}}}{\alpha - \beta} = \frac{\alpha^{p^a} - \beta^{p^a}}{\alpha - \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha^{p^a})^k (\beta^{p^a})^{p-1-k} = u_{p^a} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha^k \beta^{p-1-k})^{p^a}$$



and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha^k \beta^{p-1-k})^{p^a} \equiv \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \alpha^k \beta^{p-1-k} \right)^{p^a} \equiv \left( \frac{\alpha^p - \beta^p}{\alpha - \beta} \right)^{p^a} = u_p^{p^a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, if  $p^a \mid u_{p^a}$  then  $p^{a+1} \mid u_{p^{a+1}}$ . This concludes our induction proof of the desired congruence  $u_{p^a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ .

(iii) Suppose  $p \neq 2$ . Now we show that  $u_{p^a} \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) \pmod{p}$ . By part (ii), this holds when  $p \mid \Delta$ . In the case  $p \nmid \Delta$ , since

$$\Delta u_{p^a} = (\alpha - \beta)^2 u_{p^a} = (\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{p^a} - \beta^{p^a}) \equiv (\alpha - \beta)^{p^a+1} = \Delta^{(p^a+1)/2} \pmod{p},$$

we have

$$u_{p^a} \equiv \Delta^{(p^a-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^{\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} p^i} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^a = \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

(iv) Assume that  $p \neq 2$ . By part (ii), (2.5) holds when  $p \mid \Delta$ . Suppose  $p \nmid \Delta$ . In view of part (iii),

$$u_{p^a} + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) u_{p^{a-1}} \equiv 2 \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$v_n^2 - \Delta u_n^2 = (\alpha^n + \beta^n)^2 - (\alpha^n - \beta^n)^2 = 4(\alpha\beta)^n = 4B^n.$$

Thus

$$\Delta(u_{p^a}^2 - u_{p^{a-1}}^2) = v_{p^a}^2 - 4B^{p^a} - (v_{p^{a-1}}^2 - 4B^{p^{a-1}})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left( u_{p^a} + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) u_{p^{a-1}} \right) \left( u_{p^a} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) u_{p^{a-1}} \right) &= (v_{p^a} + v_{p^{a-1}})(v_{p^a} - v_{p^{a-1}}) - 4(B^{p^a} - B^{p^{a-1}}) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^a} \quad (\text{by (2.4) and Euler's theorem}). \end{aligned}$$

So (2.5) follows, for,  $\Delta(u_{p^a} + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) u_{p^{a-1}})$  is relatively prime to  $p$ .

(v) By induction, for  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we have

$$Au_n + \varepsilon v_n = 2B^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} u_{n+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad Av_n + \varepsilon \Delta u_n = 2B^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} v_{n+\varepsilon}. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, if  $p \nmid 2B\Delta$  then

$$u_{p^a - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)} = \frac{Au_{p^a} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)v_{p^a}}{2B^{(1+\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right))/2}} \equiv \frac{A\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)u_{p^{a-1}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)v_{p^{a-1}}}{2B^{(1+\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right))/2}} \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) B^{(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2)} u_{p^{a-1} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)} \pmod{p^a}$$

and

$$v_{p^a - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)} = \frac{Av_{p^a} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\Delta u_{p^a}}{2B^{(1+\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right))/2}} \equiv \frac{Av_{p^{a-1}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)\Delta u_{p^{a-1}}}{2B^{(1+\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right))/2}} = B^{(\left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)\right)/2)} v_{p^{a-1} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right)} \pmod{p^a}.$$

Note that  $u_{p^0 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^0}\right)} = u_0 = 0$  and  $v_{p^0 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^0}\right)} = v_0 = 2$ . So both (2.6) and (2.7) hold when  $p \nmid 2B\Delta$ .

So far we have completed the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

Using Lemma 2.3 we can deduce the following result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a > 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ . Set  $\Delta = m^2 - 4m$ . Then*

$$2u_{p^a}(m, m) - u_{p^a}(m - 2, 1) \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) m^{p-1} + u_{p^a - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right)}(m - 2, 1) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3,

$$2u_{p^a}(m, m) - u_{p^a}(m - 2, 1) \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) (2u_p(m, m) - u_p(m - 2, 1)) \pmod{p^2}$$

and

$$u_{p^a - (\frac{\Delta}{p^a})}(m - 2, 1) \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) u_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \pmod{p^2}.$$

So, it suffices to prove (2.9) in the case  $a = 1$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the two roots of the equation  $x^2 - mx + m = 0$ . Clearly  $(\alpha - 1) + (\beta - 1) = m - 2$  and  $(\alpha - 1)(\beta - 1) = 1$ . Recall that  $\Delta = m^2 - 4m = (m - 2)^2 - 4$ . If  $\Delta \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and hence

$$u_n(m - 2, 1) = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^n - (\beta - 1)^n}{(\alpha - 1) - (\beta - 1)} = \frac{(\frac{\alpha^2}{m})^n - (\frac{\beta^2}{m})^n}{\alpha - \beta} = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \cdot \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{m^n} = \frac{u_n(m, m)v_n(m, m)}{m^n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the case  $\Delta = 0$  (i.e.,  $m = 4$ ), as

$$u_n(2, 1) = n, \quad u_n(4, 4) = n2^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(4, 4) = 2^{n+1},$$

we also have

$$u_n(m - 2, 1) = n = \frac{n2^{n-1}2^{n+1}}{4^n} = \frac{u_n(m, m)v_n(m, m)}{m^n}.$$

So, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we always have

$$u_n(m - 2, 1) = \frac{u_n(m, m)v_n(m, m)}{m^n}. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that  $v_p(m, m) \equiv v_{p^0}(m, m) = m \pmod{p}$  by (2.4). In view of (2.10) and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_p(m, m) - u_p(m - 2, 1) &= \frac{u_p(m, m)}{m^p}(m^p - v_p(m, m)) + u_p(m, m) \\ &\equiv \frac{(\frac{\Delta}{p})}{m}(m^p - v_p(m, m)) + u_p(m, m) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)m^{p-1} + u_p(m, m) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\frac{v_p(m, m)}{m} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the above, it suffices to prove the congruence

$$u_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m) \frac{v_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m)}{m^{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}} \equiv u_p(m, m) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\frac{v_p(m, m)}{m} \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Clearly,  $u_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by Lemma 2.3. If  $p \mid \Delta$  then

$$v_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m) = v_p(m, m) \equiv m \equiv m^p = m^{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})} \pmod{p}$$

and hence (2.11) holds.

Now assume that  $p \nmid \Delta$ . Obviously,

$$\frac{v_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m)}{m^{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}} \equiv \frac{2m^{(1 - (\frac{\Delta}{p}))/2}}{m^{1 - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}} = 2m^{((\frac{\Delta}{p}) - 1)/2} \pmod{p}$$

by (2.7), and

$$u_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m, m) = \frac{mu_p(m, m) - (\frac{\Delta}{p})v_p(m, m)}{2m^{(1 + (\frac{\Delta}{p}))/2}}$$

by (2.8). Therefore the left-hand side of (2.11) is congruent to

$$\frac{mu_p(m, m) - (\frac{\Delta}{p})v_p(m, m)}{m} = u_p(m, m) - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\frac{v_p(m, m)}{m}$$

modulo  $p^2$ . So (2.11) is valid and we are done. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Clearly (1.3) plus or minus (1.4) yields (1.5) or (1.6). Also, (1.4) follows from (1.3) by Lemma 2.1. So, it suffices to prove (1.3).

Combining Lemmas 2.2–2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} &\equiv 2u_{p^a}(m, m) - u_{p^a}(m - 2, 1) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^a}\right) m^{p-1} + u_{p^a - (\frac{\Delta}{p^a})}(m - 2, 1) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) m^{p-1} \left( \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) + u_{p - (\frac{\Delta}{p})}(m - 2, 1) \right) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (1.3) holds. This concludes the proof. □

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* By induction,  $u_{2n}(0, 1) = 0$  and  $u_n(2, 1) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note also that

$$(-1)^{n-1}u_n(1, 1) = u_n(-1, 1) = \left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{n-1}u_n(-3, 1) = u_n(3, 1) = F_{2n} = F_n L_n,$$

where  $L_n = v_n(1, -1)$ . By [7, Corollary 1] (or the proof of Corollary 1.3 of [12]), if  $p \neq 2, 5$  then  $L_{p - (\frac{p}{5})} \equiv 2(\frac{p}{5}) \pmod{p^2}$ .

In view of the above, we can easily deduce the congruences in Corollary 1.1 by applying Theorem 1.1.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pk} \equiv \begin{cases} p - 1 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p - 1 \mid k, \\ 0 \pmod{p^2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  clearly  $(b + cp)^p \equiv b^p \pmod{p^2}$ . If  $p - 1 \mid k$ , then  $m^{pk} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  by Euler’s theorem, and hence  $\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pk} \equiv p - 1 \pmod{p^2}$ .

Now suppose that  $p - 1 \nmid k$  and let  $g$  be a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Then

$$g^{pk} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pk} = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} (gm)^{pk} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r^{pk} \pmod{p^2}$$

and hence

$$(g^{pk} - 1) \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pk} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Since  $g^{pk} - 1$  is not divisible by  $p$ , we must have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pk} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then, for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+1} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r+1 \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} - \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{p^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 2 \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r+1 \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\binom{2k}{k+1} + \binom{2k}{k} = \binom{2k+1}{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2(k+1)}{k+1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+1} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2(k+1)}{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p^a \\ k \equiv r+1 \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r+1 \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} + R \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \binom{2p^a}{p^a} = \binom{2p^a-1}{p^a-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p-1 \mid r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the first congruence in Lemma 3.2 holds. This implies the second congruence in Lemma 3.2. We are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{m}{k} (-4)^k \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} = 4^n \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2m+1}{2k}\right).$$

*Proof.* For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , clearly

$$\binom{2k}{k} = (-4)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{m}{k} (-4)^k \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} = (-4)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{m}{k} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n-k} = (-4)^n \binom{m-\frac{1}{2}}{n} = (-2)^n \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2m-2k+1}{k}.$$

Therefore the desired congruence holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $r \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{j=r}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \binom{2(p-1+r-j)}{p-1+r-j} \equiv -p \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} \frac{\binom{2r+2s}{r+s}}{(2s+1) \binom{2s}{s}} \pmod{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* If  $r \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ , then  $0 \leq j-r < \frac{p-1}{2}$ . When  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s < \frac{p-1}{2}$ , clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{2(p-1-s)}{p-1-s} &= \prod_{0 < t < p-s} \frac{p-1-s+t}{t} = \frac{p}{s+1} \prod_{0 < t \leq s} \frac{p+t-s-1}{t} \prod_{s+1 < t < p-s} \frac{p+t-s-1}{t} \\ &\equiv \frac{p}{s+1} (-1)^s \prod_{0 < t \leq s} \frac{s-t+1}{t} \times \frac{(p-2(s+1))!}{(p-1-s)!/(s+1)!} \\ &\equiv \frac{p}{s+1} (-1)^s \frac{(s+1)!}{\prod_{k=s+1}^{2s+1} (p-k)} \equiv \frac{p(-1)^s s!}{(-1)^{s+1} \prod_{k=s+1}^{2s+1} k} = -\frac{p}{(2s+1) \binom{2s}{s}} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{r \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \binom{2(p-1+r-j)}{p-1+r-j}$$

$$\equiv -p \sum_{r \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j}{(2(j-r)+1) \binom{2(j-r)}{j-r}} = -p \sum_{r \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\binom{2j}{j}}{(2(r-j)+1) \binom{2(j-r)}{j-r}} \pmod{p^2}$$

and hence the desired result follows. □

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be even. Let  $m$  be an integer not divisible by  $p$  and set  $\Delta = m(m-4)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} \equiv \Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} + \frac{\delta_m - \Delta^{p-1}}{2} \pmod{p^2},$$

where  $\delta_m$  takes 0 or 1 according as  $m \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$  or not.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1,  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} \equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) \pmod{p}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} &\equiv \left(\frac{\Delta}{p^{a-1}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^2 \\ &\equiv \Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^k} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) \left(\Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\right) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Delta^{p-1} - \delta_m = \left(\Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\right) \left(\Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\right) \equiv 2 \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right) \left(\Delta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)\right) \pmod{p^2},$$

the desired congruence follows from the above. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $d \in \{0, 1\}$ . In view of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(p-1) \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k+d} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{p(r-k)} = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} \sum_{k=1}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k+d}}{m^{pk}} \pmod{p^2}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d} \pmod{p^2}$$

only depends on the parity of  $a$  by Theorem 1.1.

(i) If  $a$  is odd and  $r \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ , then by the above we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d} \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 < k < p \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k+d} = \binom{2r}{r+d} \pmod{p^2}$$

for  $d = 0, 1$ , therefore both (1.7) and (1.8) are valid.

(ii) Now we handle the case  $2 \mid a$ . By Lemma 3.2 it suffices to prove (1.9) for any given  $r \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ .

In light of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{p(r-k)} = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} \sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{pk}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} (m^p(m^p-4))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{pk}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} \frac{\delta_m - (m^p(m^p-4))^{p-1}}{2} \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_m$  is as in Lemma 3.5. (Note that  $\delta_{m^p} = \delta_m$  since  $m^p \equiv m \pmod{p}$ .)

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} (m^p(m^p - 4))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{pk}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{p(\frac{p-1}{2}+r-k)} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j m^{p(\frac{p-1}{2}-j)} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{p(r-j-k)} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, with the help of Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} (m^p(m^p - 4))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{m^{pk}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ p-1|k+j-r}}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \binom{2(r-j)}{r-j} + \delta_{r,p-1} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{0} (-4)^0 + \delta_{r,p} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{1} (-4) \\ &\quad + \delta_{r,p} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{0} \left( \binom{2 \times 1}{1} - \binom{2p}{p} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^j \binom{2(p-1+r-j)}{p-1+r-j} \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\binom{2}{1} - \binom{2p}{p} \equiv 2 - 2 \binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (-4)^r \binom{2(r-j)}{r-j} \\ &= 4^r \prod_{0 < k \leq r} \left( 1 - \frac{p}{2k} \right) \equiv \begin{cases} 4^r (1 - pH_r/2) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 1 \leq r < p-1, \\ 4^r \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p-1, \\ 4^r (1 - pH_{p-1}/2)/2 \equiv 4^r/2 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_r$  denotes the harmonic sum  $\sum_{0 < k \leq r} \frac{1}{k}$  and we note that

$$H_{p-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{p-k} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{p}{k(p-k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Combining the above and Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} (m^p(m^p - 4))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k+d}}{m^{pk}} \\ &\equiv -pR_p(r) + \begin{cases} 4^r (1 - pH_r/2) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 1 \leq r < p-1, \\ 4^r + 1 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p-1, \\ 4^r/2 - 2p + 2 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} (\delta_m - (m^p(m^p - 4))^{p-1}) \\ & \equiv \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{pr} - \frac{4^{pr}}{p-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (-4)^k \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} m^{p(p-1-k+r)} \\ & \equiv \delta_{r,p-1} + (p+1)4^{pr} - \binom{p-1}{r} (-4)^r - \delta_{r,p} \binom{p-1}{1} (-4) - \delta_{r,p-1} \binom{p-1}{0} \\ & \equiv 4^{pr} + p4^r + 4(p-1)\delta_{r,p} - \begin{cases} 4^r(1-pH_r) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 1 \leq r < p-1, \\ 4^r \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p-1, \\ 0 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } r = p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So, from the above, we finally obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \frac{(p+1)4^r + 4^{pr}}{2} - pR_p(r) + \delta_{r,p-1} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < p^a \\ k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}}} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \frac{(p+1)4^r + 4^{pr}}{2} - pR_p(r) \pmod{p^2},$$

which is equivalent to (1.9) since

$$4^{pr} - 4^r = 4^r((1 + (2^{p-1} - 1))^{2r} - 1) \equiv 4^r \times 2r(2^{p-1} - 1) \pmod{p^2}.$$

So far we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* Recall that  $H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . As observed by Eisenstein,

$$\frac{2^p - 2}{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{p-1}{k-1} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} - 1}{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{-2}{2j} = -H_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$C_{p-1} = \frac{1}{p-1} \binom{2p-2}{p-2} = \frac{1}{2p-1} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{p}{k}\right) \equiv -(1+2p)(1+pH_{p-1}) \equiv -1 - 2p \pmod{p^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= \frac{2}{p+1} \binom{p-1}{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \frac{2}{p+1} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{p}{k}\right) \\ &\equiv 2(1-p)(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 - pH_{\frac{p-1}{2}}) \equiv 2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 - p - pH_{\frac{p-1}{2}}) \\ &\equiv 2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (2^p - p - 1) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 1.2(i), (1.13)–(1.15) hold in the case  $2 \nmid a$ .

From now on we assume that  $a$  is even.

Applying (1.12) with  $r = p - 1$  we immediately get (1.13). As

$$R_p\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) = \binom{p-1}{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$$

and  $R_p(\frac{p+1}{2}) = 0$ , by (1.11) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 4^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (2 - 2^p) - p2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 2 - 2^p + (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} 2p \pmod{p^2}.$$

This proves (1.15).

To obtain (1.14) we need to compute  $R_p(1)$  and  $R_p(2)$  modulo  $p$ . Observe that

$$R_p(1) = \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-1} \frac{2 \binom{2s+1}{s}}{(2s+1) \binom{2s}{s}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \frac{2}{s+1} = 2H_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 2 \times \frac{2-2^p}{p} \pmod{p}.$$

When  $p \geq 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_p(2) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-2} \frac{2 \binom{2s+3}{s+1}}{(2s+1) \binom{2s}{s}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-5}{2}} \frac{4(2s+3)}{(s+1)(s+2)} \\ &= 4 \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{p-5}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{s+1} + \frac{1}{s+2} \right) = 4(H_{\frac{p-3}{2}} + H_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1) \\ &= 8H_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 4 \left( \frac{2}{p-1} + 1 \right) \equiv 8 \times \frac{2-2^p}{p} + 4 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $p = 3$ , as  $R_3(2) = 0$  we also have  $R_p(2) \equiv 8(2-2^p)/p + 4 \pmod{p}$ . Applying (1.11) with  $r = 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < k < p^a \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}}} C_k \equiv 4(2-2^p) - p \left( 2R_p(1) - \frac{R_p(2)}{2} \right) \equiv 4(2-2^p) - p(-2) \pmod{p^2}.$$

So (1.14) follows.

The proof of Corollary 1.2 is now complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10871087) and the Overseas Cooperation Fund of China (Grant No. 10928101).

## References

- 1 Crandall R, Pomerance C. Prime Numbers: A Computational Perspective, 2nd ed. New York: Springer, 2005
- 2 Graham R L, Knuth D E, Patashnik O. Concrete Mathematics, 2nd ed. New York: Addison-Wesley, 1994
- 3 Jänichen W. Über die Verallgemeinerung einer Gauss'schen Formel aus der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen. Sitzungsber Berl Math Ges, 1921, 20: 23–29
- 4 Pan H, Sun Z W. A combinatorial identity with application to Catalan numbers. Discrete Math, 2006, 306: 1921–1940
- 5 Smyth C J. A coloring proof of a generalization of Fermat's little theorem. Amer Math Monthly, 1986, 93: 469–471
- 6 Stanley R P. Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999
- 7 Sun Z H, Sun Z W. Fibonacci numbers and Fermat's last theorem. Acta Arith, 1992, 60: 371–388
- 8 Sun Z W. Reduction of unknowns in Diophantine representations. Sci China Ser A, 1992, 35: 257–269
- 9 Sun Z W. On the sum  $\sum_{k \equiv r \pmod{m}} \binom{n}{k}$  and related congruences. Israel J Math, 2002, 128: 135–156
- 10 Sun Z W. Binomial coefficients and quadratic fields. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2006, 134: 2213–2222
- 11 Sun Z W. Various congruences involving binomial coefficients and higher-order Catalan numbers. Preprint, arXiv: 0909.3808. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.3808>
- 12 Sun Z W, Tauraso R. New congruences for central binomial coefficients. Adv Appl Math, 2010, 45: 125–148
- 13 Sun Z W, Tauraso R. On some new congruences for binomial coefficients. Int J Number Theory, to appear. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1665>
- 14 Vinberg E B. On some number-theoretic conjectures of V. Arnold. Japan J Math, 2007, 2: 297–302
- 15 Zhao L L, Pan H, Sun Z W. Some congruences for the second-order Catalan numbers. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2010, 138: 37–46