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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ be Lucas sequences satisfying the same second-order recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n+2}=r w_{n+1}+s w_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and having initial terms $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=1, v_{0}=2, v_{1}=r$, respectively, where $r$ and $s$ are integers. We note that $\left\{F_{n}\right\}=u(1,1)$ and $\left\{L_{n}\right\}=v(1,1)$. Associated with the sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ is the characteristic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{2}-r x-s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with characteristic roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $D=(\alpha-\beta)^{2}=r^{2}+4 s$ be the discriminant of both $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. By the Binet formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\left(\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}\right) /(\alpha-\beta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the recurrences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ are degenerate if $\alpha \beta=-s=0$ or $\alpha / \beta$ is a root of unity. Since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the zeros of a quadratic polynomial with integer coefficients, it follows that $\alpha / \beta$ can be an $n^{\text {th }}$ root of unity only if $n=1,2,3,4$, or 6 . Thus, $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ can be degenerate only if $r=0, s=0$, or $D \leq 0$.

We say that the integer $m$ is a divisor of the recurrence $w(r, s)$ satisfying the relation (1) if $m \mid w_{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Carmichael [2, pp. 344-45], showed that, if $(m, s)=1$, then $m$ is a divisor of $u(r, s)$. Carmichael [1, pp. 47, 61, and 62], also showed that if $(r, s)=1$, then there are infinitely many primes which are not divisors of $v(r, s)$. In particular, Lagarias [4] proved that the set of primes which are divisors of $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ has density $2 / 3$. Given the Lucas sequence $v(r, s)$, we say that the integer $m$ is Lucasian if $m$ is a divisor of $v(r, s)$. In Theorems 1 and 2, we will show that, if $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ are nondegenerate, then $u_{n}$ is not Lucasian for all but finitely many positive integers $n$. We will obtain stronger results in the case for which $(r, s)=1$ and $D>0$.

A related question is to determine all $a$ and $b$ such that $v_{a}$ divides $u_{b}$. Using the identity $u_{a} v_{a}=u_{2 a}$, one sees that $v_{a}$ always divides $u_{2 a}$. Since $u_{2 a} \mid u_{b}$ if $2 a \mid b$, we have that $v_{a} \mid u_{b}$ if $2 a \mid b$. We will show later that if $r s \neq 0,(r, s)=1,\left|v_{a}\right| \geq 3$, and $v_{a} \mid u_{b}$, then $2 a \mid b$.

Theorem 1: Consider the Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. Suppose that $r \boldsymbol{r} \neq 0,(r, s)=1$, and $D>0$. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers. Then $u_{a} \mid v_{b}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $a=1$;
(ii) $|r|=1$ or 2 and $a=2$;
(iii) $|r| \geq 3, a=2$, and $b$ is odd;
(iv) $|r|=1, s=1, a=3$, and $3 \mid b$;
(v) $|r|=1, a=4$, and $2 \mid b$ oddly, where $m \mid n$ oddly if $n / m$ is an odd integer.

In particular, $u_{n}, n \geq 5$, is not Lucasian.
Theorem 2: Consider the nondegenerate Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. If $(r, s)=1$ and $D<0$, then $u_{n}$ is not Lucasian for $n>e^{452} 2^{68}$. If $(r, s)>1$, then there exists a constant $N(r, s)$ dependent on $r$ and $s$ such that $u_{n}$ is not Lucasian for $n \geq N(r, s)$.

## 2. NECESSARY LEMMAS AND THEOREMS

The following lemmas and theorems will be needed for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

## Lemma 1: $u_{2 n}=u_{n} v_{n}$.

Proof: This follows from the Binet formulas (3) and (4) and is proved in [6, p. 185] and [3, Section 5].

Lemma 2:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n}(-r, s)=(-1)^{n+1} u_{n}(r, s) .  \tag{5}\\
v_{n}(-r, s)=(-1)^{n} v_{n}(r, s) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: Equations (5) and (6) follow from the Binet formulas (3) and (4) and can be proved by induction.
Lemma 3: Let $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ be Lucas sequences such that $r \neq 0$ and $D=r^{2}+4 s>0$. Then $\left|u_{n}\right|$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 2$. Moreover, if $|r| \geq 2$, then $\left|u_{n}\right|$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, $\left|v_{n}\right|$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$.

Proof: By Lemma 2, we can assume that $r \geq 1$. The results for $\left|u_{n}\right|$ and $\left|v_{n}\right|$ clearly hold if $s \geq 1$. We now assume that $r \geq 1$ and $s \leq-1$. Since $D>0$, we must have that $-r^{2} / 4<s \leq-1$, which implies that $r \geq 3$. We will show by induction that, if $w(r, s)$ is any recurrence satisfying the recursion relation (1) for which $w_{0} \geq 0, w_{1} \geq 1$, and $w_{1} \geq(r / 2) w_{0}$, then $w_{n} \geq 1$ and $w_{n} \geq(r / 2) w_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Our results for $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ will then follow. Assume that $n \geq 1$, and that $w_{n} \geq 1, w_{n-1} \geq 0, w_{n} \geq(r / 2) w_{n-1}$. Then $w_{n-1} \leq(2 / r) w_{n}$. By the recursion relation defining $w(r, s)$, we now have

$$
w_{n+1}=r w_{n}+s w_{n-1}>r w_{n}-\left(r^{2} / 4\right)(2 / r) w_{n}=(r / 2) w_{n},
$$

so that $w_{n+1} \geq 1$ and the lemma follows.
Lemma 4: Consider the Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. Then $u_{n} \mid u_{i n}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $v_{n} \mid v_{(2 j+1) n}$ for all $j \geq 0$.

Proof: These results follow from the Binet formulas (3) and (4).
Lemma 5: Consider the Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ for which $(r, s)=1$ and $r$ and $s$ are both odd. Then $u_{n}$ even $\Leftrightarrow v_{n}$ even $\Leftrightarrow 3 \mid n$.

Proof: Both sequences are congruent modulo 2 to the Fibonacci sequence, for which the result is trivial.

For the Lucas sequence $u(r, s)$, the rank of apparition ${ }^{*}$ of the positive integer $m$, denoted by $\omega(m)$, is the least positive integer $n$, if it exists, such that $m \mid u_{n}$. The rank of apparition of $m$ in $v(r, s)$, denoted by $\bar{\omega}(m)$, is defined similarly.

Lemma 6: Consider the Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. Let $p$ be an odd prime such that $p \nmid(r, s)$. If $\omega(p)$ is odd, then $\bar{\omega}(p)$ does not exist and $p$ is not Lucasian.

Proof: This was proved by Carmichael [1, p. 47] for the case in which $(r, s)=1$. The proof extends to the case in which $p \nmid(r, s)$.

Lemma 7: Consider the Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \nmid(r, s)$ and $\omega(p)=2 n$. Then $\bar{\omega}(p)=n$.

Proof: This is proved in Proposition 2(iv) of [10].
We let $[n]_{2}$ denote the 2 -valuation of the integer $n$, that is, the largest integer $k$ such that $2^{k} \mid n$.

Lemma 8: Consider the Lucas sequence $v(r, s)$. Suppose that $m$ is Lucasian and that $p$ and $q$ are distinct odd prime divisors of $m$ such that $p q \nmid(r, s)$. Then $[\bar{\omega}(p)]_{2}=[\bar{\omega}(q)]_{2}$.

Proof: This is proved in Proposition 2(ix) of [10].
Theorem 3: Let $u(r, s)$ and $v(r, s)$ be Lucas sequences such that $r \neq 0$ and $(r, s)=1$. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers and let $d=(a, b)$.
(i) $\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)=u_{d}$;
(ii) $\left(v_{a}, v_{b}\right)= \begin{cases}v_{d} & \text { if }[a]_{2}=[b]_{2}, \\ 1 \text { or } 2 & \text { otherwise; }\end{cases}$
(iii) $\left(u_{a}, v_{b}\right)= \begin{cases}v_{d} & \text { if }[a]_{2}>[b]_{2}, \\ 1 \text { or } 2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

Proof: This is proved in [7] and [3, Section 5].
Remark: It immediately follows from the formula for $\left(v_{a}, u_{b}\right)$ that if $r \boldsymbol{r} \neq 0,(r, s)=1$, and $\left|v_{a}\right| \geq 3$, then $v_{a} \mid u_{b}$ if and only if $2 a \mid b$. Noting that $v_{2}=r^{2}+2 s$, we see by Lemma 3 that if $r s \neq 0$ and $D=r^{2}+4 s>0$, then $\left|v_{a}\right| \geq 3$ for $a \geq 2$.

We say that the prime $p$ is a primitive prime divisor of $u_{n}$ if $p \mid u_{n}$ but $p \nmid u_{i}$ for $1 \leq i<n$.

[^0]Theorem 4 (Schinzel and Stewart): Let the Lucas sequence $u(r, s)$ be nondegenerate. Then there exists a constant $N_{1}(r, s)$ dependent on $r$ and $s$ such that $u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor for all $n \geq N_{1}(r, s)$. Moreover, if $(r, s)=1$, then $u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor for all $n>e^{452} 2^{67}$.

Proof: The fact that the constant $N_{1}(r, s)$ exists for all nondegenerate Lucas sequences $u(r, s)$ was proved by Lekkerkerker [5] for the case in which $D>0$ and by Schinzel [8] for the case in which $D<0$. The fact that if $u(r, s)$ is a nondegenerate Lucas sequence for which $(r, s)=1$, then an absolute constant $N$, independent of $r$ and $s$, exists such that $u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisci if $n>N$ was proved by Schinzel [9]. Stewart [11] showed that $N$ can be taken to be $e^{452} 2^{67}$.

## 3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

We are now ready for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

## Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 4 and inspection, it is evident that any of conditions (i)-(iv) implies that $u_{a} \mid \nu_{b}$. Now suppose that $|r| \geq 3, a=2$, and $u_{a} \mid v_{b}$. Then $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{1}\right|=|r| \geq 3$. By Theorem 3(ii), we see that $b$ is odd. By Lemma 5, if $r= \pm 1, s=1, u_{a} \mid v_{b}$, and $a=3$, then $3 \mid b$. Suppose next that $|r|=1$, $a=4$, and $u_{a} \mid v_{b}$. Since $D=r^{2}+4 s>0$, we must have that $s \geq 1$. Then, by Lemma $1,\left|u_{a}\right|=$ $\left|v_{2}\right|=2 s+1 \geq 3$. By Theorem 3(ii), it follows that $2 \mid b$ oddly.

We now note that if $D>0$ and $r s \neq 0$, then $\left|u_{a}\right| \leq 2$ if and only if $a=1$, or $|r| \leq 2$ and $a=2$, or $|r|=1, s=1$, and $a=3$. Thus it remains to prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { if } u_{a} \mid v_{b} \text { and }\left|u_{a}\right| \geq 3 \text {, then either } \\
& |r| \geq 3 \text { and } a=2, \text { or }  \tag{7}\\
& |r|=1 \text { and } a=4 .
\end{align*}
$$

We prove (7) by first proving a lemma which is, in fact, a weaker statement, namely,
Lemma 9: If $D>0, r \boldsymbol{r} \neq 0,(r, s)=1,\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right|$, and $\left|u_{a}\right| \geq 3$, then either $|r| \geq 3$ and $a=2$, or $|r|=1$ and $a=4$.

Proof of Lemma 9: Since $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right| \geq 3,\left(u_{a}, v_{b}\right)=\left|v_{b}\right| \geq 3$. Thus, by Theorem 3(iii), we conclude that $[a]_{2}>[b]_{2}$; hence, $\left(u_{a}, v_{b}\right)=\left|v_{d}\right|$, where $d=(a, b)$. Thus, $\left|v_{b}\right|=\left|v_{d}\right|$; but by Lemma 3, $\left|v_{n}\right|$ is an increasing function of $n$ for $n$ positive. Therefore, $b=d$ and $b \mid a$. Since $[a]_{2}>[b]_{2}$, we have that $2 b \mid a$ and so, by Lemmas 1 and $4, v_{b}\left|u_{2 b}\right| u_{a}$. But $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right|$. Hence, by Lemma 1, $\left|u_{2 b}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right|=\left|v_{b} u_{b}\right|$, and so $\left|u_{b}\right|=1$. Since $\left|u_{n}\right|$ is an increasing function of $n$ for $n \geq 2$ by Lemma 3, we see that $b=1$ or 2 . We can only have that $b=2$ if $|r|=1$. However, $\left|v_{b}\right| \geq 3$, so either $b=1$ and $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right|=|r| \geq 3$, implying that $a=2$, or $b=2,|r|=1, s \geq 1$, and $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{b}\right|=2 s+1 \geq 3$, which implies that $a=4$.

Proof of (7): Since $u_{a} \mid v_{b}$ and $\left|u_{a}\right| \geq 3$, we have that $\left(u_{a}, v_{b}\right)=\left|u_{a}\right| \geq 3$. Using Theorem 3(iii), we infer as in the proof of Lemma 9 that $\left|u_{a}\right|=\left|v_{d}\right|$, where $d=(a, b)$. Hence, by Lemma 9 , either $|r| \geq 3$ and $a=2$, or $|r|=1$ and $a=4$.

## Proof of Theorem 2

First, suppose that $(r, s)=1$. Now suppose that $n>3^{452} 2^{68}$ and $n$ is odd. By Theorem $4, u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor $p$. By Lemma $6, p$ is not Lucasian and hence $u_{n}$ is not Lucasian. Now suppose that $n>3^{452} 2^{68}$ and $n$ is even. Then, by Theorem $4, u_{n / 2}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor $p_{1}$, and $u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor $p_{2}$. By Lemma 8, $p_{1} p_{2}$ is not Lucasian. Since $u_{n / 2} \mid u_{n}$ by Lemma 4 , we see that $u_{n}$ is not Lucasian.

Now suppose that $(r, s)>1$. By Theorem 4, there exists a constant $N_{1}(r, s)>2$, dependent on $r$ and $s$, such that if $n>N_{1}(r, s)$, then $u_{n}$ has a primitive odd prime divisor. We note that if $p$ is a prime and $p \mid(r, s)$, then $\omega(p)=2$. Taking $N(r, s)=2 N_{1}(r, s)$, we complete our proof by using a completely similar argument to the one above.
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[^0]:    * Plainly, "apparition" is an intended English translation of the French "apparition." Thus, "appearance" would have been a better term, since no ghostly connotation was intended!

