

GENERALIZED LUCAS NUMBERS AND RELATIONS WITH GENERALIZED FIBONACCI NUMBERS

KENAN KAYGISIZ AND ADEM SAHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a new generalization of the Lucas numbers by matrix representation using Generalized Lucas Polynomials. We give some properties of this new generalization and some relations between the generalized order- k Lucas numbers and generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers. In addition, we obtain Binet formula and combinatorial representation for generalized order- k Lucas numbers by using properties of generalized Fibonacci numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are various types of generalization of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. For example Er[1] defined the generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers(GOkF), Kılıç[6] defined the generalized order- k Pell numbers(GOkP) and Taşçı[3] defined the generalized order- k Lucas numbers (GOkL). MacHenry[7] defined Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials and MacHenry[8] defined matrices $A_{(k)}^\infty$ and $D_{(k)}^\infty$ depending on these polynomials. $A_{(k)}^\infty$ is reduced to GOkF when $t_i = 1$ and $A_{(k)}^\infty$ is reduced to GOkP when $t_1 = 2$ and $t_i = 1$ (for $2 \leq i \leq k$). This analogy shows the importance of the matrix $A_{(k)}^\infty$ and Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials in generalizations. However, Lucas generalization of Taşçı[3] is not compatible with the matrix $A_{(k)}^\infty$ and Generalization Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, we studied on generalized order- k Lucas numbers $l_{k,n}$ (GOkL) and k sequences of the generalized order- k Lucas numbers $l_{k,n}^i$ (k SOkL) with the help of Lucas Polynomials $G_{k,n}$ and the matrix $D_{(k)}^\infty$. In this paper, after presenting a matrix representation of $l_{k,n}^i$, we derived a relations between generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers(GOkF) and GOkL, as well as relation between k SOkL and k sequences of the generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers $f_{k,n}^i$ (k SOkF). Since many properties of Fibonacci numbers and it's generalizations are known, these relations are very important. Using these relations, properties of Lucas numbers and properties of it's generalizations can be obtained. In addition to obtaining these relations, we give a generalized Binet formula and combinatorial representation for k SOkL with the help of properties of generalized Fibonacci numbers.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B39, 05E05, Secondary 05A17.

Key words and phrases. Fibonacci numbers, order- k Fibonacci numbers, k sequences of the generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers, Lucas numbers, order- k Lucas numbers, k sequences of the generalized order- k Lucas numbers, core polynomial.

1.1. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers and Properties of Fibonacci Generalization.

The well-known Fibonacci sequence $\{f_n\}$ is defined recursively by the equation,

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}, \text{ for } n \geq 3$$

where $f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1$ and Lucas sequence $\{l_n\}$ is defined recursively by the equation,

$$l_n = l_{n-1} + l_{n-2}, \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

where $l_0 = 2, l_1 = 1$.

Miles [10] defined generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers(GOkF) as,

$$(1.1) \quad f_{k,n} = \sum_{j=1}^k f_{k,n-j}$$

for $n > k \geq 2$, with boundary conditions: $f_{k,1} = f_{k,2} = f_{k,3} = \dots = f_{k,k-2} = 0$, $f_{k,k-1} = f_{k,k} = 1$.

Er [1] defined k SOkF as; for $n > 0, 1 \leq i \leq k$

$$(1.2) \quad f_{k,n}^i = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j f_{k,n-j}^i$$

with boundary conditions for $1 - k \leq n \leq 0$,

$$f_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1 - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where c_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) are constant coefficients, $f_{k,n}^i$ is the n -th term of i -th sequence of order k generalization. k -th column of this generalization involves the Miles generalization for $i = k$, i.e. $f_{k,n}^k = f_{k,k+n-2}$.

Er [1] showed

$$F_{n+1}^{\sim} = A F_n^{\sim}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{k-1} & c_k \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is $k \times k$ companion matrix and

$$(1.3) \quad F_n^{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{k,n}^1 & f_{k,n}^2 & \dots & f_{k,n}^k \\ f_{k,n-1}^1 & f_{k,n-1}^2 & \dots & f_{k,n-1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{k,n-k+1}^1 & f_{k,n-k+1}^2 & \dots & f_{k,n-k+1}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

is $k \times k$ matrix.

Karaduman [5] showed $F_1^{\sim} = A$ and $F_n^{\sim} = A^n$ for $c_j = 1, (1 \leq j \leq k)$.

Kalman [2] derived the Binet formula by using Vandermonde matrix, for λ_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are roots of the polynomial

$$(1.4) \quad P(x; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = x^k - t_1 x^{k-1} - \dots - t_k$$

(t_1, \dots, t_k are constants)

$$(1.5) \quad f_{k,n}^k = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda_i)^n}{P'(\lambda_i)}$$

where $f_{k,n}^k$ is (for $c_j = 1, 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $i = k$) k -th sequences of $kSOkF$ and $P(x)$ is derivative of the polynomial (1.4).

Kılıç [5] studied F_n^\sim and $f_{k,n}^k$ and gave some formulas and properties concerning $kSOkF$. One of these is Binet formula for $kSOkF$. For roots of (1.4) named as λ_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$),

$$(1.6) \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{k-1} & \lambda_2^{k-1} & \dots & \lambda_k^{k-1} \\ \lambda_1^{k-2} & \lambda_2^{k-2} & \dots & \lambda_k^{k-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_k \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } d_k^i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{k-i+n} \\ \lambda_2^{k-i+n} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k^{k-i+n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where V is a $k \times k$ Vandermonde matrix and $V_j^{(i)}$ is a $k \times k$ matrix obtained from V by replacing j -th column of V by d_k^i , Binet formula of $f_{k,n}^k$ is;

$$(1.7) \quad f_{k,n}^k = t_{1k} = \frac{\det(V_k^{(1)})}{\det(V)}.$$

1.2. Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials. MacHenry [7] defined generalized Fibonacci polynomials ($F_{k,n}(t)$), Lucas polynomials ($G_{k,n}(t)$) and obtained important relations between generalized Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, where t_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are constant coefficients of the core polynomial (1.4). $F_{k,n}(t)$ defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,n}(t) &= 0, \quad n < 0 \\ F_{k,0}(t) &= 1 \\ F_{k,1}(t) &= t_1 \\ F_{k,n+1}(t) &= t_1 F_{k,n}(t) + \dots + t_k F_{k,n-k+1}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, n is an integer and $G_{k,n}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{k,n}(t) &= 0, \quad n < 0 \\ G_{k,0}(t) &= k \\ G_{k,1}(t) &= t_1 \\ G_{k,n+1}(t) &= t_1 G_{k,n}(t) + \dots + t_k G_{k,n-k+1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, in [9] authors obtained $F_{k,n}(t)$ and $G_{k,n}(t)$ ($n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$) as

$$(1.9) \quad F_{k,n}(t) = \sum_{a=n}^{\infty} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} t_1^{a_1} \dots t_k^{a_k}$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad G_{k,n}(t) = \sum_{a \vdash n} \frac{n}{|a|} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} t_1^{a_1} \dots t_k^{a_k}$$

where a_i are nonnegative integers for all i ($1 \leq i \leq k$), with initial conditions given by

$$F_{k,0}(t) = 1, F_{k,-1}(t) = 0, \dots, F_{k,-k+1}(t) = 0$$

and

$$G_{k,0}(t) = k, G_{k,-1}(t) = 0, \dots, G_{k,-k+1}(t) = 0.$$

In this paper, the notations $a \vdash n$ and $|a|$ are used instead of $\sum_{j=1}^k j a_j = n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j$, respectively. A combinatorial representation for Fibonacci polynomials is given in [9] as

$$(1.11) \quad F_{2,n}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{j} F_1^{n-2j} (-t_2)^j$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = k$, either $n = 2k$ or $n = 2k - 1$.

In [8], matrices $A_{(k)}^\infty$ and $D_{(k)}^\infty$ are defined by using the following matrix,

$$A_{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ t_k & t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & \dots & t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

They also record the orbit of the k -th row vector of $A_{(k)}$ under the action of $A_{(k)}$, below $A_{(k)}$, and the orbit of the first row of $A_{(k)}$ under the action of $A_{(k)}^{-1}$ on the first row of $A_{(k)}$ is recorded above $A_{(k)}$, and consider the $\infty \times k$ matrix whose row vectors are the elements of the doubly infinite orbit of $A_{(k)}$ acting on any one of them. For $k = 3$, $A_{(3)}^\infty$ looks like this

$$A_{(3)}^\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_{(-n,1^2)} & -S_{(-n,1)} & S_{(-n)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_{(-3,1^2)} & -S_{(-3,1)} & S_{(-3)} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ t_3 & t_2 & t_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_{(n-1,1^2)} & -S_{(n-1,1)} & S_{(n-1)} \\ S_{(n,1^2)} & -S_{(n,1)} & S_{(n)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A_{(k)}^n = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k-1}S_{(n-k+1,1^{k-1})} & \cdots & (-1)^{k-j}S_{(n-k+1,1^{k-j})} & \cdots & S_{(n-k+1)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (-1)^{k-1}S_{(n,1^{k-1})} & \cdots & (-1)^{k-j}S_{(n,1^{k-j})} & \cdots & S_{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad S_{(n-r,1^r)} = (-1)^r \sum_{j=r+1}^n t_j S_{(n-j)}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n.$$

Derivative of the core polynomial $P(x; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = x^k - t_1 x^{k-1} - \dots - t_k$ is $P'(x) = kx^{k-1} - t_1(k-1)x^{k-2} - \dots - t_{k-1}$, which is represented by the vector $(-t_{k-1}, \dots, -t_1(k-1), k)$ and the orbit of this vector under the action of $A_{(k)}$ gives the standard matrix representation $D_{(k)}^\infty$.

Right hand column of $A_{(k)}^\infty$ contains sequence of the generalized Fibonacci polynomials $F_{k,n}(t)$ and $tr(A_{(k)}^n) = G_{k,n}(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $G_{k,n}(t)$ is the sequence of the generalized Lucas polynomials, which is also a t -linear recursion. In addition, the right hand column of $D_{(k)}^\infty$ contains sequence of the generalized Lucas polynomials $G_{k,n}(t)$.

It is clear that, for $t_i = 1$ and $c_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) $S_{(n)} = f_{k,n}^1$ where $f_{k,n}^1$ is the n -th term of the first sequence of $kSOkF$. Moreover, the matrix $A_{(k)}^\infty$ involves the generalization (1.2).

Example 1.1. We give matrix $A_{(3)}^\infty$ for $k=3$ and the matrix $D_{(4)}^\infty$ for $k=4$, while $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_k = 1$

$$A_{(3)}^\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{(4)}^\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 7 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 6 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & 4 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

2. GENERALIZATIONS OF LUCAS NUMBERS

For $t_s = 1$, $1 \leq s \leq k$, the Lucas polynomials $G_{k,n}(t)$ and $D_{(k)}^\infty$ together are reduced to

$$(2.1) \quad l_{k,n} = \sum_{j=1}^k l_{k,n-j}$$

with boundary conditions

$$l_{k,1-k} = l_{k,2-k} = \dots = l_{k,-1} = -1 \text{ and } l_{k,0} = k,$$

which is called generalized order- k Lucas numbers(GOkL). When $k=2$, it is reduced to ordinary Lucas numbers.

In this paper, we study on positive direction of $D_{(k)}^\infty$ for $t_s = 1$, $1 \leq s \leq k$, which can be written explicitly as

$$(2.2) \quad l_{k,n}^i = \sum_{j=1}^k l_{k,n-j}^i$$

for $n > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, with boundary conditions

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} -i & \text{if } i - n < k, \\ -2n + i & \text{if } i - n = k, \\ k - i - 1 & \text{if } i - n > k \end{cases}$$

for $1 - k \leq n \leq 0$, where $l_{k,n}^i$ is the n -th term of i -th sequence. This generalization is called k sequences of the generalized order- k Lucas numbers (k SO k L).

Although names are the same, the initial conditions of this generalization are different from the generalizations in [3]. These initial conditions arise from Lucas Polynomials and $D_{(k)}^\infty$.

When $i = k = 2$, we obtain ordinary Lucas numbers and $l_{k,n}^k = l_{k,n}$.

Example 2.1. Substituting $k = 3$ and $i = 2$ we obtain the generalized order-3 Lucas sequence as;

$$l_{3,-2}^2 = 0, \quad l_{3,-1}^2 = 4, \quad l_{3,0}^2 = -2, \quad l_{3,1}^2 = 2, \quad l_{3,2}^2 = 4, \quad l_{3,3}^2 = 4, \quad \dots$$

Lemma 2.2. Matrix multiplication and (2.2) can be used to obtain

$$L_{n+1}^\sim = A_1 L_n^\sim$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \\ & I & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

where I is $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ identity matrix and we define a $k \times k$ matrix L_n^\sim as;

$$(2.4) \quad L_n^\sim = \begin{bmatrix} l_{k,n}^1 & l_{k,n}^2 & \dots & l_{k,n}^k \\ l_{k,n-1}^1 & l_{k,n-1}^2 & \dots & l_{k,n-1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{k,n-k+1}^1 & l_{k,n-k+1}^2 & \dots & l_{k,n-k+1}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

which is contained by $k \times k$ block of $D_{(k)}^\infty$ for $t_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Lemma 2.3. Let A_1 and L_n^\sim be as in (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. Then $L_{n+1}^\sim = A_1^{n+1} L_0^\sim$, where

$$L_0^\sim = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 & \dots & -(k-2) & -(k-1) & k \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & \dots & -(k-2) & k+1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & k+2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2k-3 & \dots & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2k-2 & k-4 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2k-1 & k-3 & k-4 & \dots & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

Proof. It is clear that $L_1^\sim = A_1 L_0^\sim$ and $L_{n+1}^\sim = A_1 L_n^\sim$. So by induction and properties of matrix multiplication, we have $L_{n+1}^\sim = A^{n+1} L_0^\sim$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let F_n^\sim and L_n^\sim be as in (1.3) and (2.4), respectively. Then*

$$L_n^\sim = F_n^\sim L_0^\sim.$$

Proof. Proof is trivial from $F_n^\sim = A_1^n$ (see [4]) and Lemma 2.3. \square

Example 2.5. *From Lemma 2.4 for $k = 2$, we have*

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_{2,n}^1 & l_{2,n}^2 \\ l_{2,n-1}^1 & l_{2,n-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{2,n}^1 & f_{2,n}^2 \\ f_{2,n-1}^1 & f_{2,n-1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $l_{2,n}^2 = 2f_{2,n}^1 - f_{2,n}^2$. Since $f_{2,n}^1 = f_{2,n+1}^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$l_{2,n}^2 = 2f_{2,n+1}^2 - f_{2,n}^2$$

where $l_{2,n}^2$ and $f_{2,n}^2$ are ordinary Lucas and Fibonacci numbers, respectively. For $k = 3$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_{3,n}^1 & l_{3,n}^2 & l_{3,n}^3 \\ l_{3,n-1}^1 & l_{3,n-1}^2 & l_{3,n-1}^3 \\ l_{3,n-2}^1 & l_{3,n-2}^2 & l_{3,n-2}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{3,n}^1 & f_{3,n}^2 & f_{3,n}^3 \\ f_{3,n-1}^1 & f_{3,n-1}^2 & f_{3,n-1}^3 \\ f_{3,n-2}^1 & f_{3,n-2}^2 & f_{3,n-2}^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 3 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 5 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $l_{3,n}^3 = 3f_{3,n}^1 - f_{3,n}^2 - f_{3,n}^3$. Since for $k=3$, $f_{3,n}^1 = f_{3,n+1}^3$ and $f_{3,n}^2 = f_{3,n-1}^1 + f_{3,n-1}^3 = f_{3,n}^3 + f_{3,n-1}^3$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$l_{3,n}^3 = 3f_{3,n+1}^3 - 2f_{3,n}^3 - f_{3,n-1}^3.$$

Theorem 2.6. *For $i = k$, $n \geq 0$ and $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 1$,*

$$(2.5) \quad l_{k,n}^k = k f_{k,n+1}^k - (k-1) f_{k,n}^k - \dots - f_{k,n-k+2}^k = k f_{k,n+1}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+2-j}^k$$

where $l_{k,n}^i$ and $f_{k,n}^i$ *kSOkL* and *kSOkF*, respectively.

Proof. We use mathematical induction to prove the following equality

$$l_{k,n}^k = k f_{k,n+1}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+2-j}^k.$$

It is easy to obtain $l_{k,0}^k = k$, $f_{k,0}^k = 0$ and $f_{k,1}^k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k \geq 2$, from the definition of *kSOkL* and *kSOkF*. So, the equation (2.5) is true for $n = 0$, i.e.,

$$l_{k,0}^k = k f_{k,1}^k - (k-1) f_{k,0}^k - \dots - f_{k,-k+2}^k = k \cdot 1 + 0 = k.$$

Suppose that the equation holds for all positive integers less than or equal to n i.e., for integer n

$$l_{k,n}^k = k f_{k,n+1}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+2-j}^k$$

then from (1.2) and (2.2), for $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 1$, we get;

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{k,n+1}^k &= l_{k,n}^k + l_{k,n-1}^k + l_{k,n-2}^k + \cdots + l_{k,n-k+1}^k \\
&= (k f_{k,n+1}^k - (k-1) f_{k,n}^k - \cdots - f_{k,n-k+2}^k) + \\
&\quad (k f_{k,n}^k - (k-1) f_{k,n-1}^k - \cdots - f_{k,n-k+1}^k) + \\
&\quad \cdots + (k f_{k,n-k+2}^k - (k-1) f_{k,n-k+1}^k - \cdots - f_{k,n-2k+3}^k) \\
&= k f_{k,n+2}^k - (k-1) f_{k,n+1}^k - \cdots - f_{k,n-k+3}^k \\
&= k f_{k,n+2}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+3-j}^k.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the equation holds for $(n+1)$ and proof is complete. \square

Since $f_{k,n}^k = f_{k,n+k-2}$ and $l_{k,n}^k = l_{k,n}$ the following relation is obvious

$$l_{k,n}^k = k f_{k,n+k-1} - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+k-j}$$

where $f_{k,n}$ is the n -th GOkF as in (1.1), $l_{k,n}$ is GOkL as in (2.1) and $l_{k,n}^k$ is the n -th term of k -th sequences of the $k\text{SOkL}$ as in (2.2).

The following theorem shows that equation (2.5) is valid for Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials as well.

Theorem 2.7. For $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$G_{k,n}(t) = k F_{k,n}(t) - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) t_{j-1} F_{k,n+1-j}(t)$$

where $F_{k,n}(t)$ and $G_{k,n}(t)$ are the Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials, respectively.

Proof. Proof is by induction as Theorem 2.6. \square

Theorem 2.8. For $i = k$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$(2.6) \quad l_{k,n}^k = \sum_{j=1}^k j f_{k,n+1-j}^k$$

where $l_{k,n}^i$ and $f_{k,n}^i$ are the $k\text{SOkL}$ and $k\text{SOkF}$ respectively.

Proof. Proof is by induction as Theorem 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.9. For $k \geq 2$, i -th sequences of $k\text{SOkL}$ in terms of k -th sequences of $k\text{SOkL}$ is

$$(2.7) \quad l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} l_{k,n-1}^k & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i l_{k,n-m}^k & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ l_{k,n}^k & \text{if } i = k \end{cases} .$$

Theorem 2.10. i -th sequences of $k\text{SOkL}$ can be written in terms of k -th sequences of $k\text{SOkF}$ (which is GOkF with index iteration) in different ways;

i) For $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$l_{k,n}^i = \sum_{j=1}^{k+i-1} d_j f_{k,n-j}^k$$

where $1 \leq i \leq k$, $n \geq 0$ and constant coefficient

$$d_j = \begin{cases} \frac{j(j+1)}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq i \\ \frac{j(j+1)}{2} - \frac{(j-i)(j-i+1)}{2} & \text{if } i+1 \leq j \leq k-1 \\ \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{(j-i)(j-i+1)}{2} & \text{if } k \leq j \leq k+i-1 \end{cases}$$

ii) For $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} k f_{k,n}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+1-j}^k & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i k f_{k,n-m+1}^k - \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n-m-j+2}^k & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ k f_{k,n+1}^k - \sum_{j=2}^k (k-j+1) f_{k,n+2-j}^k & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

iii) For $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k j f_{k,n-j}^k & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^k j f_{k,n-m-j+1}^k & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{j=1}^k j f_{k,n+1-j}^k & \text{if } i = k \end{cases} .$$

Proof. i) Proof is from Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.9.

ii) Proof is from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.9.

iii) Proof is from Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.9. \square

Example 2.11. Let us obtain $l_{k,n}^i$ for $k = 4$, $n = 4$ and $i = 3$ by using Theorem (2.10 iii).

$$\begin{aligned} l_{4,4}^3 &= \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 j \cdot f_{4,4-m-j+1}^4 = \sum_{m=1}^3 (f_{4,4-m}^4 + 2f_{4,3-m}^4 + 3f_{4,2-m}^4 + 4f_{4,1-m}^4) \\ &= f_{4,3}^4 + 2f_{4,2}^4 + 3f_{4,1}^4 + 4f_{4,0}^4 + f_{4,2}^4 + 2f_{4,1}^4 + f_{4,1}^4 = 11 \end{aligned}$$

since $f_{4,0}^4 = 0$, $f_{4,1}^4 = f_{4,2}^4 = 1$ and $f_{4,3}^4 = 2$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $l_{k,n}^i$ and $f_{k,n}^i$ be the $kSOkL$ and $kSOkF$, respectively. Then, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$l_{n+m}^i = \sum_{j=1}^i (l_{m-j}^k \sum_{s=1}^j f_n^s) + \sum_{j=i+1}^k (l_{m-j}^k \sum_{s=j-i+1}^j f_n^s) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+i-1} (l_{m-j}^k \sum_{s=j-i+1}^k f_n^s)$$

where we assume that, the sum is equal to zero, if the subscript is greater than the superscript in the sum.

Proof. We know that $L_n^\sim = F_n^\sim L_0^\sim$ (Lemma 2.4), so we can write that

$$L_{n+m}^\sim = F_{n+m}^\sim L_0^\sim = A_1^{n+m} L_0^\sim = A_1^n A_1^m L_0^\sim = A_1^n L_m^\sim = F_n^\sim L_m^\sim.$$

From this matrix product and Lemma 2.9 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_{k,n+m}^i &= f_{k,n}^1 l_{k,m}^i + \cdots + f_{k,n}^k l_{k,m-k+1}^i \\ &= f_{k,n}^1 (l_{k,m-1}^k + \cdots + l_{k,m-i}^k) + \cdots + f_{k,n}^k (l_{k,m-k}^k + \cdots + l_{k,m-k-i-1}^k) \\ &= l_{k,m-1}^k f_n^1 + l_{k,m-2}^k (f_{k,n}^1 + f_{k,n}^2) + \cdots + l_{k,m-i}^k (f_{k,n}^1 + f_{k,n}^2 + \cdots + f_{k,n}^i) + \\ &\quad l_{k,m-i-1}^k (f_{k,n}^2 + f_{k,n}^3 + \cdots + f_{k,n}^{i+1}) + \cdots + l_{k,m-k}^k (f_{k,n}^{k-i+1} + \cdots + f_{k,n}^k) + \\ &\quad l_{k,m-k-1}^k (f_{k,n}^{k-i+2} + \cdots + f_{k,n}^k) + \cdots + l_{k,m-k-i-1}^k f_{k,n}^k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i (l_{k,m-j}^k \sum_{t=1}^j f_{k,n}^t) + \sum_{j=i+1}^k (l_{k,m-j}^k \sum_{t=j-i+1}^j f_{k,n}^t) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+i-1} (l_{k,m-j}^k \sum_{t=j-i+1}^k f_{k,n}^t). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.13. Let us obtain $l_{k,n+m}^i$ for $k = 5$, $i = 3$, $n = 3$ and $m = 4$ by using Theorem 2.12;

$$\begin{aligned} l_{5,3+4}^3 &= l_7^3 = \sum_{j=1}^3 (l_{5,4-j}^5 \sum_{t=1}^j f_{5,3}^t) + \sum_{j=4}^5 (l_{5,4-j}^5 \sum_{t=j-2}^j f_{5,3}^t) + \sum_{j=6}^7 (l_{5,4-j}^5 \sum_{t=j-2}^5 f_{5,3}^t) \\ &= l_{5,3}^5 f_{5,3}^1 + l_{5,2}^5 (f_{5,3}^1 + f_{5,3}^2) + l_{5,1}^5 (f_{5,3}^1 + f_{5,3}^2 + f_{5,3}^3) + l_{5,0}^5 (f_{5,3}^2 + f_{5,3}^3 + f_{5,3}^4) \\ &\quad + l_{5,-1}^5 (f_{5,3}^3 + f_{5,3}^4 + f_{5,3}^5) + l_{5,-2}^5 (f_{5,3}^4 + f_{5,3}^5) + l_{5,-3}^5 f_{5,3}^5 \\ &= 28 + 24 + 12 + 55 - 9 - 5 - 2 = 103. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.0.1. *Binet Formula.* We have the following corollary by (1.5) and (Theorem 2.10 *iii*).

Corollary 2.14. For $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda_i)^{n-j}}{P(\lambda_i)} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda_i)^{n-m-j+1}}{P(\lambda_i)} & \text{for } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda_i)^{n-j+1}}{P(\lambda_i)} & \text{for } i = k \end{cases}.$$

where $l_{k,n}^i$ is the $kSOkL$.

We have the following corollary by (1.7) and (Theorem 2.10 *iii*).

Corollary 2.15. Let $l_{k,n}^i$ be the $kSOkL$. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k j \frac{\det(V_{k,n-j}^{(1)})}{\det(V)} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^k j \frac{\det(V_{k,n-m-j+1}^{(1)})}{\det(V)} & \text{for } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{j=1}^k j \frac{\det(V_{k,n-j+1}^{(1)})}{\det(V)} & \text{for } i = k \end{cases}$$

where $V_{k,n-s}^{(1)}$ is a new notation for (1.6) which depends on n , i.e., $V_{k,n-s}^{(1)}$ is a $k \times k$ matrix obtained from V by replacing k -th column of V by

$$d_{k,n-s}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{k-1+n-s} \\ \lambda_2^{k-1+n-s} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k^{k-1+n-s} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.1. Combinatorial Representation of the Generalized Order- k Fibonacci

and Lucas Numbers. In this subsection, we obtain some combinatorial representations of i -th sequences of $kSOkF$ and $kSOkL$ with the help of combinatorial representations of Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials.

i -th sequences of $kSOkF$ can be stated in terms of k -th sequences of $kSOkF$ as follows. For $c_i = 1$ ($1 < i < k$),

$$f_{k,n}^i = \sum_{m=1}^{k-i+1} f_{k,n-m+1}^k.$$

For $t_i = 1$ ($1 < i < k$), $F_{k,n-1}(t)$ is reduced to sequence $f_{k,n}^k$. So for $t_i = 1$

($1 < i < k$), $f_{k,n}^i = \sum_{m=1}^{k-i+1} F_{k,n-m}(t)$ and using (1.9) we have

$$f_{k,n}^i = \sum_{m=1}^{k-i+1} \sum_{a \vdash (n-m)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k}.$$

It is obvious that, for $t_i = 1$ ($1 < i < k$), $F_{k,n}(t) = f_{k,n}^1$ and $F_{k,n}(t) = f_{k,n+1}^k$, respectively. Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$(2.8) \quad f_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{a \vdash n} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^{k-i+1} \sum_{a \vdash (n-m)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{a \vdash (n-1)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 2.16. [5] Let $f_{k,n}^k$ be the k -th sequences of $kSOkF$, then,

$$f_{k,n}^k = \sum_{m \vdash (n-1+k)} \frac{m_k}{|m|} \times \binom{|m|}{m_1, \dots, m_k}$$

where $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$ nonnegative integers satisfying $m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = n - 1 + k$. In addition for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$

$$f_{k,n-i}^k = \sum_{m \vdash (n-i+k-1)} \frac{m_k}{|m|} \times \binom{|m|}{m_1, \dots, m_k}$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = n - 1 - i + k$.

Then we have the following corollary using (Theorem 2.10. *iii*).

Corollary 2.17. Let $l_{k,n}^i$ be the $kSOkL$, then, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k j \sum_{m \vdash (n-j+k-1)} \frac{m_{jk}}{|m|} \times \binom{|m|}{m_{j1}, \dots, m_{jk}} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{t \vdash (n-m-j+k)} \frac{t_{mjk}}{|t|} \times \binom{|t|}{t_{m_{j1}}, \dots, t_{m_{jk}}} & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{i=1}^k j \sum_{m \vdash (n-j+k)} \frac{m_{jk}}{|m|} \times \binom{|m|}{m_{j1}, \dots, m_{jk}} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

where $t = (t_{mj1}, \dots, t_{mjk})$ and $m = (m_{j1}, m_{j2}, \dots, m_{jk})$.

Corollary 2.18. Let $l_{k,n}^i$ be the $kSOkL$, then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{a \vdash (n-1)} \frac{n-1}{|a|} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{a \vdash (n-m)} \frac{n-m}{|a|} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{a \vdash n} \frac{n}{|a|} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For $t_i = 1 (1 \leq i \leq k)$, $G_{k,n}$ is reduced to $l_{k,n}^k$. Since $l_{k,n}^k = \sum_{a \vdash n} \frac{n}{|a|} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k}$ from (1.10) and by using (2.7) the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 2.19. Let $l_{k,n}^i$ be the $kSOkL$, then, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$l_{k,n}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{a \vdash (n-1-j)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{a \vdash (n-m-j)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } 1 < i < k \\ \sum_{j=1}^k j \sum_{a \vdash (n-j)} \binom{|a|}{a_1, \dots, a_k} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Proof is trivial from (1.9), (2.7). \square

Corollary 2.20. Let $l_{2,n}^2$ be the second sequence of the $2SO2L$, then,

$$l_{2,n}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 j \sum_{s=0}^{\lceil \frac{n-j}{2} \rceil} \binom{n-j-s}{s}$$

where $\binom{n}{s}$ is combinations s of n objects, such that $\binom{n}{s} = 0$ if $n < s$.

Proof. In (1.11), $F_{2,n}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{j} F_1^{n-2j}(t) (-t_2)^j$ and for $t_i = 1$ and $c_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), $F_{2,n-1}(t)$ is reduced to sequence $f_{k,n}^2$. Proof is completed by using $f_{k,n}^2 (c_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k)$ and (2.10. iii). \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful for financial support from the Research Fund of Gaziosmanpaşa University under grand no:2009/46.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. C. Er, Sums of Fibonacci Numbers by Matrix Method. *Fibonacci Quarterly*. 22(1984), no. 3, 204-207.
- [2] D. Kalman, Generalized Fibonacci Numbers by Matrix Method. *Fibonacci Quarterly*. 20(1982), no. 1, 73-76.
- [3] D. Taşci and E. Kılıç, On the Order- k Generalized Lucas Numbers. *Appl. Math. Comput.*. 155(2004), no. 3, 637-641.
- [4] E. Karaduman, An Application of Fibonacci Numbers in Matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 147(2004) 903-908.
- [5] E. Kılıç and D. Taşci, On the Generalized Order- k Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers. *Rocky Mount. Jour. of Math*. 36(2006), 1915-1925.
- [6] E. Kılıç and D. Taşci, The Generalized Binet Formula, Representation and Sums of The Generalized Order- k Pell Numbers. *Taiwanese Jour. of Math*. 10(2006), no. 6, 1661-1670.
- [7] T. MacHenry, Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials and Multiplicative Arithmetic Functions. *Fibonacci Quarterly*. 38(2000), 17-24.
- [8] T. MacHenry and K. Wong, Degree k Linear Recursions mod(p) and Number Fields. *Rocky Mount. Jour. of Math. to appear*.
- [9] T. MacHenry and K. Wong, A Correspondence Between the Isobaric Ring and Multiplicative Arithmetic Functions. *Submitted*.
- [10] E.P. Miles, Generalized Fibonacci Numbers and Associated Matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly 67 (1960), 745-752.

E-mail address: `kkaygisiz@gop.edu.tr, ademsahin@gop.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ART AND SCIENCE FACULTY, GAZIOSMANPASA UNIVERSITY,
60240, TOKAT, TURKEY