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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us define a generalized Lucas sequence $\left\{H_{n}(m)\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(m)=H_{n-1}(m)+m H_{n-2}(m), H_{0}(m)=2, H_{1}(m)=1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number.
In a communication that appeared in a recent issue of this journal [1], P. Filipponi showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s}}(p) \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is an odd prime, and he proposed also the following Conjecture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s}}(p-1) \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \geq 5$ is a prime number.
Following a method introduced by Lucas ([2], p. 209; [3]), we shall prove here generalizations of (2) and (3), namely,

Theorem 1: If $p \geq 1$ is a natural number, and if $m \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then

$$
H_{p^{s}}(m) \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right), s \geq 0 .
$$

Theorem 2: If $p \geq 5$ is a prime number and if $m \equiv-1(\bmod p)$, then

$$
H_{p^{s}}(m) \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right), s \geq 0 .
$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall Waring's formula

$$
x^{p}+y^{p}=(x+y)^{p}+p \sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]}(-1)^{k} C_{p, k}(x y)^{k}(x+y)^{p-2 k},
$$

where $p$ is a natural integer, and

$$
C_{p, k}=\frac{1}{p-k}(p-k)=\frac{1}{k}\binom{p-k-1}{k-1}, \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq[p / 2] .
$$

In our proofs, we shall need the following three lemmas.
Lemma 1: (i) If $p$ is a natural integer, then $p, C_{p, k}$ is integral;
(ii) If $p$ is a prime, then $C_{p, k}$ is integral.

Proof: (i) The result follows from the relation

$$
p C_{p, k}=\binom{p-k}{k}+\binom{p-k-1}{k-1} .
$$

(ii) From the relation

$$
k\binom{p-k}{k}=(p-k)\binom{p-k-1}{k-1}
$$

and since $\operatorname{gcd}(k, p-k)=1$, it is clear that $k$ divides $\binom{p-k-1}{k-1}$.
Lemma 2: If $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$ is a natural number, then $\sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]}(-1)^{k} C_{p, k}=0$.
Proof: Let us put $x=e^{i \pi / 3}$ and $y=e^{-i \pi / 3}$ in Waring's formula to get

$$
2 \cos p \pi / 3=1+p \sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]}(-1)^{k} C_{p, k}
$$

and the conclusion follows from this, since $2 \cos p \pi / 3=1$, when $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$.
Lemma 3: If $p$ is an odd integer, then $(\ell p-1)^{p^{s}} \equiv-1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right), \ell \geq 0$.
Proof: The statement clearly holds for $s=0$. Supposing that $(\ell p-1)^{p^{s}}=-1+A p^{s+1}$, where $A$ is an integer, one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\ell p-1)^{p^{s+1}} & =\left(-1+A p^{s+1}\right)^{p} \\
& =(-1)^{p}+\binom{p}{1}(-1)^{p-1} A p^{s+1}+\binom{p}{2}(-1)^{p-2} A^{2} p^{2 s+2}+\cdots+A^{p} p^{p(s+1)} \equiv-1\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $p$ is odd and $\binom{p}{1}=p$.
Let us return to the recurrence relation (1). We have $H_{n}(m)=\alpha_{m}^{n}+\beta_{m}^{n}$, where $\alpha_{m}$ and $\beta_{m}$ are the real numbers such that $\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m}=1$ and $\alpha_{m} \beta_{m}=-m$. Following Lucas ([2], p. 212), we replace $x$ (resp. $y$ ) by $\alpha_{m}^{p^{s}}$ (resp. $\beta_{m}^{p^{s}}$ ) in Waring's formula to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s+1}}(m)=H_{p^{s}}^{p}(m)+p \sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]}(-1)^{k\left(1+p^{s}\right)} C_{p, k} m^{k p^{s}} H_{p^{s}}^{p-2 k}(m), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a natural number.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The case $p=1$ needs no comment, since $H_{1}=1$, so we suppose in the sequel that $p \geq 2$, and thus that $[p / 2] \geq 1$.

Let us write $H_{n}$ instead of $H_{n}(m)$ in (4), to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s+1}}=H_{p^{s}}^{p}+(-1)^{1+p^{s}} p m^{p^{s}} H_{p^{s}}^{p-2}+\sum_{k=2}^{\mid p / 2]}(-1)^{k\left(1+p^{s}\right)} p C_{p, k} m^{k p^{s}} H_{p^{s}}^{p-2 k}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $C_{p, 1}=1$. Notice that the last sum is empty for $p=2$ and $p=3$ and that $p C_{p, k}$ is an integer, by Lemma 1 (i).

We proceed by induction upon $s$. The statement clearly holds for $s=0$ since $H_{1}=1$.
Now, let us suppose that

$$
H_{p^{s}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) .
$$

By using an argument similar to the one used in Lemma 3, one can easily deduce from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s}}^{p} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we have, for every $s \geq 0$ and every $p \geq 2, p^{s} \geq 2^{s} \geq s+1$, and thus
(a) $p m^{p^{s}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right)$.

On the other hand we have, for every $k \geq 2, k p^{s} \geq 22^{s}=2^{s+1} \geq s+2$, and thus
(b) $m^{k p^{s}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right)$.

Now, by using (6), (a), and (b) in (5), we have

$$
H_{p^{s+1}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We suppose now that $p \geq 5$ is a prime number, and thus that $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$. Let us put $m=\ell p-1$ in (4) and write $H_{n}$ instead of $H_{n}(\ell p-1)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s+1}}=H_{p^{s}}^{p}+p \sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]} C_{p, k}(\ell p-1)^{k p^{s}} H_{p^{s}}^{p-2 k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed by induction on $s$. The statement clearly holds for $s=0$, since $H_{1}=1$. Supposing that $H_{p^{s}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s}}^{p-2 k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right), \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq[p / 2] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p^{s}}^{p} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have, by Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\ell p-1)^{k p^{s}} \equiv(-1)^{k}\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1(ii), $C_{p, k}$ is an integer, and by (8), (10), and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]} C_{p, k}(\ell p-1)^{k p^{s}} H_{p^{s}}^{p-2 k} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{[p / 2]} C_{p, k}(-1)^{k} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (7), (9), and (11), it is clear that $H_{p^{s+1}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{s+2}\right)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.
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