

CONSTRAINT PROGRAMMING & PLANNING

Agostino Dovier

Università di Udine
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica

Udine, GENNAIO 2013

- ▶ Prima di tutto si fa il grounding
- ▶ Poi si fanno le possibili attività polinomiali (modello well founded)
- ▶ A questo punto parte la computazione non deterministica
- ▶ Vediamo una descrizione ad alto livello dovuta a Yuliya Lierler (Univ. Nebraska at Omaha):



For a set σ of atoms, a *record* relative to σ is an ordered set M of literals over σ , some possibly annotated by Δ , which marks them as *decision* literals. A *state* relative to σ is a record relative to σ possibly preceding symbol \perp . For instance, some states relative to a singleton set $\{a\}$ of atoms are

$$\emptyset, \quad a, \quad \neg a, \quad a^\Delta, \quad a \neg a, \quad \perp, \quad a\perp, \quad \neg a\perp, \quad a^\Delta\perp, \quad a \neg a\perp.$$

We say that a state is inconsistent if either \perp or two complementary literals occur in it. For example, states $a \neg a$ and $a\perp$ are inconsistent.

By $Bodies(\Pi, a)$ we denote the set of the bodies of all rules of a regular program Π with the head a . We recall that a set U of atoms occurring in a regular program Π is *unfounded* [18, 19] on a consistent set M of literals with respect to Π if for every $a \in U$ and every $B \in Bodies(\Pi, a)$, $M \models \overline{B}$ (where B is identified with the conjunction of its elements), or $U \cap B^{pos} \neq \emptyset$.

For a set σ of atoms, a *record* relative to σ is an ordered set M of literals over σ , some possibly annotated by Δ , which marks them as *decision* literals. A *state* relative to σ is a record relative to σ possibly preceding symbol \perp . For instance, some states relative to a singleton set $\{a\}$ of atoms are

$$\emptyset, \quad a, \quad \neg a, \quad a^\Delta, \quad a \neg a, \quad \perp, \quad a\perp, \quad \neg a\perp, \quad a^\Delta\perp, \quad a \neg a\perp.$$

We say that a state is inconsistent if either \perp or two complementary literals occur in it. For example, states $a \neg a$ and $a\perp$ are inconsistent.

By $Bodies(\Pi, a)$ we denote the set of the bodies of all rules of a regular program Π with the head a . We recall that a set U of atoms occurring in a regular program Π is *unfounded* [18, 19] on a consistent set M of literals with respect to Π if for every $a \in U$ and every $B \in Bodies(\Pi, a)$, $M \models \overline{B}$ (where B is identified with the conjunction of its elements), or $U \cap B^{pos} \neq \emptyset$.

Unit Propagate:

$$M \Longrightarrow M \ l \text{ if } C \vee l \in \Pi^{cl} \text{ and } \overline{C} \subseteq M$$

Decide:

$$M \Longrightarrow M \ l^\Delta \text{ if } l \text{ is unassigned by } M$$

Fail:

$$M \Longrightarrow \perp \text{ if } \begin{cases} M \text{ is inconsistent and different from } \perp, \\ M \text{ contains no decision literals} \end{cases}$$

Backtrack:

$$P \ l^\Delta \ Q \Longrightarrow P \ \bar{l} \text{ if } \begin{cases} P \ l^\Delta \ Q \text{ is inconsistent, and} \\ Q \text{ contains no decision literals} \end{cases}$$

Unfounded:

$$M \Longrightarrow M \ \neg a \text{ if } a \in U \text{ for a set } U \text{ unfounded on } M \text{ w.r.t. } \Pi$$