Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae 38 (2011) pp. 123-126 http://ami.ektf.hu

A new recursion relationship for Bernoulli Numbers

Abdelmoumène Zékiri, Farid Bencherif

Faculty of Mathematics, USTHB, Algiers, Algeria e-mail: azekiri@usthb.dz;czekiri@gmail.com, fbencherif@usthb.dz;fbencherif@yahoo.fr

Submitted March 01, 2011 Accepted September 14, 2011

Abstract

We give an elementary proof of a generalization of the Seidel-Kaneko and Chen-Sun formula involving the Bernoulli numbers.

Keywords: Bernoulli numbers, Bernoulli polynomials, Integer sequences. *MSC:* 11B68, 11B83

1. Introduction

The Bernoulli Numbers B_n , n = 0, 1, 2, ... are defined by the exponential generating function:

$$B(z) = \frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$
(1.1)

As (1.1) implies that B(-z) = z + B(z), we have:

$$(-1)^n B_n = B_n + \delta_1^n, \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$
 (1.2)

where the notation δ_i^n is the classical Kronecker symbol which equals 1 if n = iand 0 otherwise. Consequently, we have $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $B_n = 0$, when n is odd and $n \ge 3$. Let us define $\epsilon_n := \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2}$, thus:

$$\epsilon_n B_n = B_n + \frac{1}{2} \delta_1^n, \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$
(1.3)

Note that the Bernoulli polynomials can be defined by the following function:

$$B(x,z) := \frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{z^n}{n!}\right)$$

Therefore the polynomial $B_n(x)$ satisfies the following equality:

$$B_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} B_{k}.$$
 (1.4)

We note also that:

$$B(x+1,z) - B(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(B_n(x+1) - B_n(x) \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = z e^{xz}.$$

Consequently, we deduce the following property of $B_n(x)$:

$$B_n(x+1) - B_n(x) = nx^{n-1}, \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$
 (1.5)

In this paper, we are extending the well-known following formulae involving Bernoulli Numbers. First, the Seidel formula (1877) [4], re-discovered later by Kaneko [3] (1995):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} (n+k+1) B_{n+k} = 0, \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$

And secondly, the Chen-Sun formula [1] (2009):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+3} \binom{n+3}{k} (n+k+3) (n+k+2)(n+k+1)B_{n+k} = 0.$$
(1.6)

Our main result consists on the following:

Theorem 1.1. For given odd natural q and for natural number $n \ge 0$, we have the equality:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \binom{n+q}{k} (n+k+q) (n+k+q-1) \cdots (n+k+1) B_{n+k} = 0.$$
(1.7)

Obviously, this result gives the Seidel-Kaneko formula when q = 1, and the Chen-Sun formula when q = 3.

2. Proof of the main result

For a given odd number q and for an integer number $n \ge 0$, we consider the polynomials:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{n+q}(x-1)^{n+q},$$

and

$$K(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \frac{\epsilon_{n+k}}{(n+q+k+1)} \binom{n+q}{k} \left(B_{n+q+k+1}(x) - B_{n+q+k+1} \right).$$
(2.1)

By the binomial theorem, we deduce:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+q} (-1)^{n+k+1} \binom{n+q}{k} x^{n+q+k},$$
(2.2)

and

$$H(x+1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \binom{n+q}{k} x^{n+q+k}.$$
 (2.3)

Thus, by using the equality property (1.5), we verify that:

$$K(x+1) - K(x) = H(x+1) - H(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \epsilon_{n+k} \binom{n+q}{k} x^{n+q+k}.$$
 (2.4)

Moreover

$$K(0) = H(0) = 0. (2.5)$$

Then, (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) imply:

$$K(x) = H(x).$$

If $[x^n]P(x)$ denotes the coefficient of x^n in the polynomial P(x), we can write:

$$[x^{q+1}]K(x) = [x^{q+1}]H(x).$$
(2.6)

So, from (1.4)

$$[x^{q+1}]K(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\epsilon_{n+k} B_{n+k}}{(n+q+k+1)} \binom{n+q}{k} \binom{n+q+k+1}{q+1},$$
 (2.7)

and from (2.2), we have:

$$[x^{q+1}]H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n+q}{1-n}.$$
(2.8)

From (1.3), we know that:

$$\epsilon_{n+k}B_{n+k} = B_{n+k} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{1-n}^k.$$
(2.9)

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \frac{\delta_{1-n}^k}{2(n+q+k+1)} \binom{n+q}{k} \binom{n+q+k+1}{q+1} = \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \binom{n+q}{1-n} \binom{q+1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n+q}{1-n}.$$
(2.10)

We deduce, from (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) that:

$$[x^{q+1}]K(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \frac{B_{n+k}}{(n+q+k+1)} \binom{n+q}{k} \binom{n+q+k+1}{q+1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n+q}{1-n}.$$
 (2.11)

It follows from (2.6), (2.8) and (2.11) that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \frac{1}{(n+q+k+1)} \binom{n+q}{k} \binom{n+q+k+1}{q+1} B_{n+k} = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

and by multiplying by (q+1)!, we obtain, finally, the aimed result which is:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+q} \binom{n+q}{k} (n+k+q)(n+k+q-1)\dots(n+k+1)B_{n+k} = 0.$$

This ends our proof.

References

- Chen, W.Y.C., Sun, L.H., Extended Zeilberger's Algorithm for Identities on Bernoulli and Euler Polynomials, J. Number Theory, 129 No. 9 (2009) 2111-2132
- [2] Cigler, J., q-Fibonacci polynomials and q-Genocchi numbers, arXiv:0908.1219v4 [math.CO].
- [3] Kaneko, M., A recurrence formula for the Bernoulli numbers, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 71 No.8 (1995) 192-193.
- [4] Seidel, L., Über eine einfache Entstehungsweise der Bernoullischen Zahlen und einiger verwandten Reihen, Sitzungsber. Münch. Akad. Math. Phys. Classe (1877) 157-187.
- [5] Wu, K.-J., Sun, Z.-W., Pan, H., Some identities for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, *Fibonacci Quat.*, 42 (2004) 295-299.