# Siegel modular forms of degree 2: Fourier coefficients, L-functions, and functoriality (a survey)

Abhishek Saha

University of Bristol

14th July 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQで 1/29

# Outline

## Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2

- Definitions
- The Fourier expansion
- Hecke operators and L-functions
- 2 Automorphic representations of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ 
  - Local and global representations
  - Adelization of Siegel cusp forms
  - Galois representations
- 3 Lifts
  - CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)
  - Endoscopic lifts (Yoshida lifts)
  - Lifts from  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_4$  and  $\operatorname{GL}_5$
- 4 Bocherer's conjecture and refinements
  - Bessel periods and Liu's conjecture

### Definition of $\operatorname{Sp}_4$

For a commutative ring R, we denote by  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(R)$  the set of  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $A \in \operatorname{GL}_4(R)$  satisfying the equation  $A^t J A = J$  where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_2 \\ -l_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

### Definition of $\mathbb{H}_2$

Let  $\mathbb{H}_2$  denote the set of complex  $2 \times 2$  matrices Z such that  $Z = Z^t$  and  $\mathrm{Im}(Z)$  is positive definite.

 $\mathbb{H}_2$  is a homogeneous space for  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$  under the action

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : Z \mapsto (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$$

#### Siegel modular forms

A Siegel modular form of degree 2, full level and weight k is a holomorphic function F on  $\mathbb{H}_2$  satisfying

$$F(\gamma Z) = \det(CZ + D)^k F(Z),$$

for any 
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$$
,  
If in addition,  $F$  vanishes at the cusps, then  $F$  is called a cusp form.

We define  $S_k(\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  to be the space of cusp forms as above.

**Remark.** The smallest k for which  $S_k(\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  is non-zero is k = 10.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Definitions

- $\Gamma$  a congruence subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ ,
- $(\rho, V)$  a representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Definitions

- $\Gamma$  a congruence subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ ,
- $(\rho, V)$  a representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

## The space $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$

The space  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  consists of holomorphic V-valued function F on  $\mathbb{H}_2$  such that

<□> < @ > < E > < E > E の Q @ 5/29

• 
$$F(\gamma Z) = \rho(CZ + D)F(Z)$$
, for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ ,

Ø F vanishes at the cusps

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Definitions

- $\Gamma$  a congruence subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ ,
- $(\rho, V)$  a representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

## The space $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$

The space  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  consists of holomorphic V-valued function F on  $\mathbb{H}_2$  such that

• 
$$F(\gamma Z) = \rho(CZ + D)F(Z)$$
, for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ 

Ø F vanishes at the cusps

Two remarks:

- $\rho \simeq \det^{l} \operatorname{sym}^{m}$  for some integers l, m.
- If ρ = det<sup>k</sup>, then V = C, and we get the usual space S<sub>k</sub>(Γ) of scalar valued weight k cusp forms.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 The Fourier expansion

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}, \ S > 0\},$$
 $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \ S > 0\},$ 

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 The Fourier expansion

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}, \ S > 0\},$$
 $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \ S > 0\},$ 

#### The Fourier expansion

Let  $F(Z) \in S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ . Then we can write

$$F(Z) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}_2} a(F,S) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} S Z}, \quad a(F,S) \in V.$$

<□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E のQ 6/29

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Classical Siegel modular forms of degree 2 The Fourier expansion

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}, \ S > 0\},$$
 $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \{S = egin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}: \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \ S > 0\},$ 

#### The Fourier expansion

Let  $F(Z) \in S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ . Then we can write

$$F(Z) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}_2} a(F,S) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} S Z}, \quad a(F,S) \in V.$$

Two remarks:

- There exists N such that a(F, S) = 0 unless  $S \in (1/N)\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- There exists a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma' \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $a(F, A^tSA) = a(F, S)$  for all  $A \in \Gamma$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{P}_2$ .

(If 
$$\Gamma = \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$$
, then  $N = 1$ ,  $\Gamma' = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ) is able to be a set of  $M$  of  $M$  is the set of  $M$  of  $M$  of  $M$  is the set of  $M$  of  $M$ .

 As in the classical case, we have *Hecke operators* and a *Petersson inner product* on S<sub>ρ</sub>(Γ).

- As in the classical case, we have *Hecke operators* and a *Petersson inner product* on S<sub>ρ</sub>(Γ).
- There exists explicit action of Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients. But Fourier coefficients contain more information than Hecke eigenvalues. Hecke operators cannot link a(F, S), a(F, T) unless disc(S)/disc(T) ∈ Q<sup>2</sup>.

◆□▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ ○ ○ 7/29</p>

- As in the classical case, we have *Hecke operators* and a *Petersson inner product* on S<sub>ρ</sub>(Γ).
- There exists explicit action of Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients. But Fourier coefficients contain more information than Hecke eigenvalues. Hecke operators cannot link a(F, S), a(F, T) unless disc(S)/disc(T) ∈ Q<sup>2</sup>.

◆□▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ ○ ○ 7/29</p>

Let F be a Hecke eigenform in S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ)). Can define a degree 4 spinor L-function L(s, F).

- As in the classical case, we have *Hecke operators* and a *Petersson inner product* on S<sub>ρ</sub>(Γ).
- There exists explicit action of Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients. But Fourier coefficients contain more information than Hecke eigenvalues. Hecke operators cannot link a(F, S), a(F, T) unless disc(S)/disc(T) ∈ Q<sup>2</sup>.
- Let F be a Hecke eigenform in S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ)). Can define a degree 4 spinor L-function L(s, F).
- There is a Hecke-invariant subspace of S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ)). (spanned by eigenforms called Saito-Kurokawa lifts.)

◆□▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ ○ ○ 7/29</p>

- As in the classical case, we have *Hecke operators* and a *Petersson inner product* on S<sub>ρ</sub>(Γ).
- There exists explicit action of Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients. But Fourier coefficients contain more information than Hecke eigenvalues. Hecke operators cannot link a(F, S), a(F, T) unless disc(S)/disc(T) ∈ Q<sup>2</sup>.
- Let F be a Hecke eigenform in S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ)). Can define a degree 4 spinor L-function L(s, F).
- There is a Hecke-invariant subspace of S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ)). (spanned by eigenforms called Saito-Kurokawa lifts.)
- Most forms are non-lifts. For example the Saito-Kurokawa space has dimension ≈ k while dim(S<sub>k</sub>(Sp<sub>4</sub>(ℤ))) ≈ k<sup>3</sup>.

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

• For almost all primes p,  $\pi_p$  is unramified, i.e.,

 $\pi_p \simeq \pi(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2)$ 

where  $\chi_i$  are characters of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

• For almost all primes p,  $\pi_p$  is unramified, i.e.,

$$\pi_{p}\simeq\pi(\chi_{0},\chi_{1},\chi_{2})$$

where  $\chi_i$  are characters of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ .

Two possibilities for π<sub>∞</sub> of interest to us are π<sub>∞</sub> ≃ L(k, I) (holomorphic discrete series) and π<sub>∞</sub> ≃ L(k, -I) (large discrete series) where k ≥ I ≥ 0 are integers. These are in the same L-packet.

<□> < @ > < E > < E > E の < C 8/29

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

• For almost all primes p,  $\pi_p$  is unramified, i.e.,

$$\pi_{p}\simeq\pi(\chi_{0},\chi_{1},\chi_{2})$$

where  $\chi_i$  are characters of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ .

Two possibilities for π<sub>∞</sub> of interest to us are π<sub>∞</sub> ≃ L(k, I) (holomorphic discrete series) and π<sub>∞</sub> ≃ L(k, -I) (large discrete series) where k ≥ I ≥ 0 are integers. These are in the same L-packet.

Remarks:

- Multiplicity one for π is expected to be true. (Not known at present, but may follow from Arthur)
- Strong multiplicity one for  $\pi$  is FALSE.

## Models

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $\pi$  (or  $\pi_v$ ) is said to be generic if it has a Whittaker model.
- Expect:  $\pi$  is generic iff each  $\pi_v$  is generic.

## Models

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $\pi$  (or  $\pi_v$ ) is said to be generic if it has a Whittaker model.
- Expect:  $\pi$  is generic iff each  $\pi_v$  is generic.
- π(χ<sub>0</sub>, χ<sub>1</sub>, χ<sub>2</sub>) is generic whenever it is tempered. L(k, -l) is also generic. But L(k, l) is not generic.

<□> < @ > < E > < E > E の Q @ 9/29

## Models

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $\pi$  (or  $\pi_v$ ) is said to be generic if it has a Whittaker model.
- Expect:  $\pi$  is generic iff each  $\pi_v$  is generic.
- π(χ<sub>0</sub>, χ<sub>1</sub>, χ<sub>2</sub>) is generic whenever it is tempered. L(k, -l) is also generic. But L(k, l) is not generic.
- An alternative to Whittaker models is provided by the Bessel model. These are parametrized by characters Λ of quadratic extensions K.

### Models

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $\pi$  (or  $\pi_v$ ) is said to be generic if it has a Whittaker model.
- Expect:  $\pi$  is generic iff each  $\pi_v$  is generic.
- π(χ<sub>0</sub>, χ<sub>1</sub>, χ<sub>2</sub>) is generic whenever it is tempered. L(k, -l) is also generic. But L(k, l) is not generic.
- An alternative to Whittaker models is provided by the Bessel model. These are parametrized by characters Λ of quadratic extensions K.
- If  $\pi$  has a particular Bessel model then so does each  $\pi_{\nu}$ . But all  $\pi_{\nu}$  having Bessel models DOES NOT imply that  $\pi$  does.

## Models

Let A be the adeles of Q and  $\pi = \bigotimes_{V} \pi_{V}$  be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $\pi$  (or  $\pi_v$ ) is said to be generic if it has a Whittaker model.
- Expect:  $\pi$  is generic iff each  $\pi_v$  is generic.
- $\pi(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  is generic whenever it is tempered. L(k, -l) is also generic. But L(k, I) is not generic.
- An alternative to Whittaker models is provided by the Bessel model. These are parametrized by characters  $\Lambda$  of quadratic extensions K.
- If  $\pi$  has a particular Bessel model then so does each  $\pi_{\nu}$ . But all  $\pi_{\nu}$  having Bessel models DOES NOT imply that  $\pi$  does.
- The Gan-Gross-Prasad conjectures predict that if  $\pi$  is tempered, and each  $\pi_{\nu}$  has a Bessel model, then  $\pi$  has a Bessel model if and only if  $L(1/2, \pi_K \times \Lambda) \neq 0$ .

## *L*-functions

#### The Langlands *L*-functions

Given a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  and a finite dimensional representation r of the dual group  ${}^{L}G^{0}$ , there exists a global Langlands *L*-function  $L(s, \pi, r)$ . It is Eulerian, has degree r at almost all places, and (conjecturally) has a functional equation  $s \mapsto 1 - s$ , (conjecturally) no poles except in anomalous cases.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 りへで 10/29

## *L*-functions

#### The Langlands *L*-functions

Given a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  and a finite dimensional representation r of the dual group  ${}^{L}G^{0}$ , there exists a global Langlands *L*-function  $L(s, \pi, r)$ . It is Eulerian, has degree r at almost all places, and (conjecturally) has a functional equation  $s \mapsto 1 - s$ , (conjecturally) no poles except in anomalous cases.

If  $G = \operatorname{GSp}_4$ , then  ${}^L G^0 \simeq \operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ . The two smallest dimensional non-trivial irreducible r we can get are of dimensions 4 and 5.

- $r = \rho_4$ . In this case  $L(s, \pi, \rho_4)$  is called the spinor *L*-function.
- $r = \rho_5$ . In this case  $L(s, \pi, \rho_5)$  is called the standard *L*-function.

**Remark:** The analytic properties of  $L(s, \pi, \rho_4)$  and  $L(s, \pi, \rho_5)$  are essentially known.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Automorphic representations of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ Adelization of Siegel cusp forms

- Let  $F \in S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$
- Can lift to a V-valued function  $\Phi_F$  on  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$  via

$$\widetilde{\Phi_F}(g) = \rho^{-1}(J(g, iI_2))F(g(iI_2)).$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ 9 Q (P 11/29

Now we extend Φ<sub>F</sub> to a V-valued function on GSp<sub>4</sub>(A) via strong approximation.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Automorphic representations of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ Adelization of Siegel cusp forms

- Let  $F \in S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$
- Can lift to a V-valued function  $\Phi_F$  on  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$  via

$$\widetilde{\Phi_F}(g) = \rho^{-1}(J(g, iI_2))F(g(iI_2)).$$

Now we extend Φ<sub>F</sub> to a V-valued function on GSp<sub>4</sub>(A) via strong approximation.

For the last step, we pick local subgroups  $K_p'$  of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that

- $\mu_2: K'_p \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  is surjective,
- **②** GSp<sub>4</sub>(ℝ)  $\prod_{p} K'_{p} \cap GSp_{4}(\mathbb{Q})^{+} \subset Γ.$

Then  $\widetilde{\Phi_F}$  is right invariant under each  $K'_p$ .

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Automorphic representations of GSp<sub>4</sub>(Å) Adelization of Siegel cusp forms

So far, starting from  $F \in S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ , we have constructed a V-valued function  $\widetilde{\Phi_F}$  on  $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- $\pi_F$  does not depend on the choice of *L*.
- $\pi_F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \pi_F^{(t)}$  with each  $\pi_F^{(t)}$  an irreducible, cuspidal automorphic representation.

- $\pi_F$  does not depend on the choice of *L*.
- $\pi_F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \pi_F^{(t)}$  with each  $\pi_F^{(t)}$  an irreducible, cuspidal automorphic representation.
- Suppose  $\rho \simeq \det^{l} \operatorname{sym}^{m}$ . Then  $\pi_{F,\infty}^{(t)} \simeq L(l+m,l)$ .

- $\pi_F$  does not depend on the choice of *L*.
- $\pi_F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \pi_F^{(t)}$  with each  $\pi_F^{(t)}$  an irreducible, cuspidal automorphic representation.
- Suppose  $\rho \simeq \det^{l} \operatorname{sym}^{m}$ . Then  $\pi_{F,\infty}^{(t)} \simeq L(l+m,l)$ .
- If *F* is an eigenfunction of the Hecke algebra at every place, then *t* = 1.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ Adelization of Siegel cusp forms

# Summary: Adelization and deadelization

- Any vector-valued Siegel cusp form F leads to an adelic function  $\Phi_F$  and then to a (not-necessarily irreducible) cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_F$ .
- If F is an eigenfunction of all local Hecke algebras then  $\pi_F$  is irreducible (but  $\pi_F$  may be irreducible even without this).

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

•  $\pi_F$  is NOT generic (fails at infinity).

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ Adelization of Siegel cusp forms

# Summary: Adelization and deadelization

- Any vector-valued Siegel cusp form F leads to an adelic function  $\Phi_F$  and then to a (not-necessarily irreducible) cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_F$ .
- If F is an eigenfunction of all local Hecke algebras then  $\pi_F$  is irreducible (but  $\pi_F$  may be irreducible even without this).
- $\pi_F$  is NOT generic (fails at infinity).
- De-adelization: Suppose π is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic represention of GSp<sub>4</sub>(A), with π<sub>∞</sub> ≃ L(k, l). Then each vector Φ ∈ π of suitable type, gives rise to a F ∈ S<sub>det' sym<sup>k-l</sup></sub>(Γ) for some suitable Γ.
- If π<sub>p</sub> is generic at all finite places, can pick F uniquely up to multiples so that Γ is paramodular subgroup of correct level.

One can attach Galois representations to Siegel cusp forms.

#### Theorem (Weissauer)

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty} \simeq L(k, l)$ . Then there exists a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\pi,\lambda} : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_4(E_{\lambda})$$

such that for almost all primes p,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{\pi,\lambda}(\operatorname{Fr}_p)) = a(\pi_p).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへで 14/29

One can attach Galois representations to Siegel cusp forms.

#### Theorem (Weissauer)

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty} \simeq L(k, l)$ . Then there exists a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\pi,\lambda}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_4(E_{\lambda})$$

such that for almost all primes p,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{\pi,\lambda}(\operatorname{Fr}_p)) = a(\pi_p).$$

#### Corollary (Kowalski-S, 2013)

Let  $F \in S_k(\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be a Hecke eigenform at all primes. Then the set of primes where the Hecke eigenvalue  $a_{F,p}$  is 0, has density 0.
### Functoriality

Let G, H be connected reductive groups,  $u: {}^{L}H^{0} \rightarrow {}^{L}G^{0}$  a homomorphism. Then, functoriality predicts that given any automorphic representation  $\pi$  on  $H(\mathbb{A})$ , there exists an automorphic representation  $\pi'$  on  $G(\mathbb{A})$  such that for all finite dimensional representations r of  ${}^{L}G^{0}$ ,

$$L(s,\pi,u\circ r)=L(s,\pi',r).$$

### Theta lifts

Given a symplectic space W and an orthogonal space V, there is a theta correspondence that takes automorphic representations of Sp(W) or  $\widetilde{Sp}(W)$  to automorphic representations on SO(V) (and vice-versa).

Lifts

CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)

Using 
$$\mathrm{PD}^{ imes}\simeq \mathcal{SO}(3)$$
 and  $\mathrm{PGSp}_4\simeq \mathcal{SO}(5)$ , we have

This allows us to take a classical cusp form f of weight 2k - 2 for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , and produce a Siegel cusp form  $F \in S_k(\Gamma)$  for some  $\Gamma$ .

Lifts

CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)

Using 
$$\mathrm{PD}^{ imes}\simeq \mathcal{SO}(3)$$
 and  $\mathrm{PGSp}_4\simeq \mathcal{SO}(5)$ , we have

This allows us to take a classical cusp form f of weight 2k - 2 for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , and produce a Siegel cusp form  $F \in S_k(\Gamma)$  for some  $\Gamma$ . For this to work, we need

$$(-1)^{|\mathcal{S}|} = \varepsilon(1/2, \pi_f),$$

where S is a set of places including  $\infty$  where  $\pi_f$  is discrete series. If f has full level, we must have k even, and we recover the classical Saito-Kurokawa lift  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Siegel modular forms of degree 2

Lifts

CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)

## The Saito-Kurokawa lift and functoriality

How does the correspondence  $\pi_f \mapsto \Pi$  from automorphic representations of PGL<sub>2</sub> to PGSp<sub>4</sub> fit with functoriality?

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ 9 Q ℃ 17/29

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Lifts CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)

# The Saito-Kurokawa lift and functoriality

How does the correspondence  $\pi_f \mapsto \Pi$  from automorphic representations of  $PGL_2$  to  $PGSp_4$  fit with functoriality? We have a map of *L*-groups

$$\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = {}^L(\operatorname{PGL}_2 \times \operatorname{PGL}_2) \to {}^L(\operatorname{PGSp}_4) = \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ 9 Q (P 17/29

given by diagonal embedding.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Lifts CAP (Saito-Kurokawa lifts)

# The Saito-Kurokawa lift and functoriality

How does the correspondence  $\pi_f \mapsto \Pi$  from automorphic representations of  $PGL_2$  to  $PGSp_4$  fit with functoriality? We have a map of *L*-groups

$$\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = {}^L(\operatorname{PGL}_2 \times \operatorname{PGL}_2) \to {}^L(\operatorname{PGSp}_4) = \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

given by diagonal embedding.

### Theorem (Schmidt (2005))

 $\Pi$  is the functorial lift of  $\pi_f \otimes \pi_S$  under the above embedding, where  $\pi_S$  is the unique subquotient of  $\operatorname{Ind}(||^{1/2}, ||^{-1/2})$  that is unramified exactly at the places outside S. The Saito-Kurokawa liftings described earlier are examples of CAP (Cuspidal associated to Parabolic) representations, i.e., they are nearly equivalent to an Eisenstein series.

The Saito-Kurokawa liftings described earlier are examples of CAP (Cuspidal associated to Parabolic) representations, i.e., they are nearly equivalent to an Eisenstein series.

## Theorem (Andrianov, Piatetski-Shapiro, Weissauer, Pitale-Schmidt)

Suppose that k > 2 and  $F \in S_k(\Gamma)$  generates an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi$  of  $\operatorname{PGSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- F is a Saito-Kurokawa lift, or a quadratic twist of it.
- **2**  $\Pi$  is CAP with respect to the Siegel parabolic.
- I is CAP.
- Π is non-tempered at some unramified prime (i.e., F does not satisfy the Ramanujan bound)
- **5**  $L(s, \Pi \times \chi, \rho_4)$  has a pole for some quadratic character  $\chi$ .

 Suppose that π<sub>1</sub> and π<sub>2</sub> are irreducible cuspidal representations of GL<sub>2</sub><sup>×</sup> with the same central character.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2	
Lifts	
Endoscopic lifts (Yoshida lifts)	

- Suppose that π<sub>1</sub> and π<sub>2</sub> are irreducible cuspidal representations of GL<sub>2</sub><sup>×</sup> with the same central character.
- J-L transfer to a representation  $\pi'_1 \otimes \pi'_2$  of  $D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$  (where D is a suitable quaternion algebra).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Suppose that π<sub>1</sub> and π<sub>2</sub> are irreducible cuspidal representations of GL<sub>2</sub><sup>×</sup> with the same central character.
- J-L transfer to a representation  $\pi'_1 \otimes \pi'_2$  of  $D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$  (where D is a suitable quaternion algebra).
- Using the isomorphism

$$(D^{\times} \times D^{\times})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong GSO(4)$$

we obtain an automorphic representation  $\pi'$  on  $GSO(4, \mathbb{A})$ .

- Suppose that π<sub>1</sub> and π<sub>2</sub> are irreducible cuspidal representations of GL<sub>2</sub><sup>×</sup> with the same central character.
- J-L transfer to a representation  $\pi'_1 \otimes \pi'_2$  of  $D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$  (where D is a suitable quaternion algebra).
- Using the isomorphism

$$(D^{\times} \times D^{\times})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong GSO(4)$$

we obtain an automorphic representation  $\pi'$  on  $GSO(4, \mathbb{A})$ .

• Theta lift  $\pi'$  to an automorphic representation  $\Pi$  on  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

- Suppose that π<sub>1</sub> and π<sub>2</sub> are irreducible cuspidal representations of GL<sub>2</sub><sup>×</sup> with the same central character.
- J-L transfer to a representation  $\pi'_1 \otimes \pi'_2$  of  $D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$  (where D is a suitable quaternion algebra).
- Using the isomorphism

$$(D^{\times} \times D^{\times})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong GSO(4)$$

we obtain an automorphic representation  $\pi'$  on  $GSO(4, \mathbb{A})$ .

• Theta lift  $\pi'$  to an automorphic representation  $\Pi$  on  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ .

Under suitable conditions, the resulting lift is non-zero, cuspidal, and of the form L(k, l) at infinity. Forms F obtained from such  $\Pi$  are called endoscopic lifts, or Yoshida lifts.

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Lifts Endoscopic lifts (Yoshida lifts)

# Various lifts to $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$

 Saito-Kurokawa (CAP) lifts F, with strange properties. For these, there exists a classical newform f and a quadratic character χ (possibly trivial) such that

 $L(s, \Pi_F \otimes \chi) \approx L(s, \pi_f)\zeta(s+1/2)\zeta(s-1/2)$ 

<□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ E の Q ℃ 20/29

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Lifts Endoscopic lifts (Yoshida lifts)

# Various lifts to $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$

 Saito-Kurokawa (CAP) lifts F, with strange properties. For these, there exists a classical newform f and a quadratic character χ (possibly trivial) such that

 $L(s, \Pi_F \otimes \chi) \approx L(s, \pi_f)\zeta(s+1/2)\zeta(s-1/2)$ 

• Yoshida (Endoscopic) lifts. For these there exist two classical newforms  $f_1, f_2$  such that

$$L(s,\pi_F)=L(s,\pi_{f_1})L(s,\pi_{f_2})$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Lifts Endoscopic lifts (Yoshida lifts)

# Various lifts to $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$

• Saito-Kurokawa (CAP) lifts F, with strange properties. For these, there exists a classical newform f and a quadratic character  $\chi$  (possibly trivial) such that

 $L(s, \Pi_F \otimes \chi) \approx L(s, \pi_f)\zeta(s+1/2)\zeta(s-1/2)$ 

• Yoshida (Endoscopic) lifts. For these there exist two classical newforms  $f_1, f_2$  such that

$$L(s,\pi_F)=L(s,\pi_{f_1})L(s,\pi_{f_2})$$

 Lifts from GL<sub>2</sub>(K), where K is a quadratic field. These may be viewed as the non-split version of Yoshida lifts. See papers of Roberts–Johnson-Leung (real quadratic) and Berger–Dembele–Pacetti–Sengun (imaginary quadratic). Langlands functoriality predicts that given an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ , there should exist a transfer  $\Pi_4$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\Pi_5$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_5(\mathbb{A})$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ のへで 21/29

Lifts from  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  to  $\operatorname{GL}_4$  and  $\operatorname{GL}_5$ 

Langlands functoriality predicts that given an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ , there should exist a transfer  $\Pi_4$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\Pi_5$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_5(\mathbb{A})$ .

This should follow (follows?) from the work of Arthur using methods of the trace formula. However, using the converse theorem, Pitale, Schmidt and I proved:

### Theorem (Pitale–Schmidt–S, 2012)

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform for all Hecke operators, and not of Saito-Kurokawa type. Let  $\pi_F$  be the associated cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ . Then  $\pi_F$  admits a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_4$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$ , and a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_5$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_5(\mathbb{A})$ . Both  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi_5$  are cuspidal. Siegel modular forms of degree 2

Lifts

Lifts from  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  to GL<sub>4</sub> and GL<sub>5</sub>

## Method

We prove the following theorem and then apply the converse theorem

### Theorem

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be a Hecke eigenform that is not a Saito-Kurokawa lift and  $\pi$  be any cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ . Then  $L(s, F \times \pi)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ , has meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, and the completed L-function satisfies the usual functional equation, is entire, and bounded in vertical strips.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Siegel modular forms of degree 2

Lifts

Lifts from  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$  to GL<sub>4</sub> and GL<sub>5</sub>

## Method

We prove the following theorem and then apply the converse theorem

### Theorem

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be a Hecke eigenform that is not a Saito-Kurokawa lift and  $\pi$  be any cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ . Then  $L(s, F \times \pi)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ , has meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, and the completed L-function satisfies the usual functional equation, is entire, and bounded in vertical strips.

The starting point for this is an integral representation due to Furusawa. We generalize Furusawa's formula, use this generalization to prove meromorphic continuation, functional equation and boundedness, and then prove a pullback formula and a seesaw argument to prove entireness.

Lifts

#### Lifts from $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ to GL<sub>4</sub> and GL<sub>5</sub>

## Theorem (Pitale–S–Schmidt, 2012)

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform for all Hecke operators, and not of Saito-Kurokawa type. Let  $\pi_F$  be the associated cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ . Then  $\pi_F$  admits a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_4$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$ , and a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_5$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_5(\mathbb{A})$ . Both  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi_5$  are cuspidal.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 の Q ℃ 23/29

The proof is LONG.

#### Lifts

#### Lifts from $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ to GL<sub>4</sub> and GL<sub>5</sub>

## Theorem (Pitale–S–Schmidt, 2012)

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform for all Hecke operators, and not of Saito-Kurokawa type. Let  $\pi_F$  be the associated cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ . Then  $\pi_F$  admits a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_4$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$ , and a strong lifting to an automorphic representation  $\Pi_5$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_5(\mathbb{A})$ . Both  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi_5$  are cuspidal.

## The proof is LONG.

### Corollary

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform for all Hecke operators, and not of Saito-Kurokawa type. Let  $\pi_F$  be the associated cuspidal, automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $\pi'_F$  be the representation obtained by switching the Archimedean L(k, k) to L(k, -k). Then  $\pi'_F$  is also cuspidal automorphic (and now also generic!).

## Fourier coefficients are mysterious objects

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform. Assume k even. Recall the Fourier expansion

$$\mathsf{F}(Z) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathsf{a}(F,S) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} S Z}.$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 24/29</p>

We have  $a(F, A^tSA) = a(F, S)$  for all  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## Fourier coefficients are mysterious objects

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform. Assume k even. Recall the Fourier expansion

$${\mathcal F}(Z) = \sum_{S \in {\mathcal P}_2({\mathbb Z})} {\mathsf a}(F,S) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} S Z}.$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 24/29</p>

We have  $a(F, A^tSA) = a(F, S)$  for all  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let us focus on the Fourier coefficients a(F, S) with  $\operatorname{disc}(S)$  a (negative) fundamental discriminant.

## Fourier coefficients are mysterious objects

Let  $F \in S_k(\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform. Assume k even. Recall the Fourier expansion

$$\mathsf{F}(Z) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathsf{a}(F,S) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr} S Z}$$

We have  $a(F, A^tSA) = a(F, S)$  for all  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let us focus on the Fourier coefficients a(F, S) with  $\operatorname{disc}(S)$  a (negative) fundamental discriminant.

If  $\operatorname{disc}(S_1) = d_1 \neq d_2 = \operatorname{disc}(S_2)$  are two such fundamental discriminants, then we cannot understand one from the other via Hecke operators.

Put  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  and let  $Cl_K$  denote the ideal class group of K. Then  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms of discriminant d are in natural bijective correspondence with the elements of  $Cl_K$ . Define

$$R(f, K) = \sum_{c \in \mathsf{Cl}_K} a(f, c).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

### Böcherer's conjecture

There exists a constant  $c_f$  depending only on f such that for any imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  with d < 0 a fundamental discriminant, we have

$$|R(f, K)|^2 = c_f \cdot |d|^{k-1} \cdot w(K)^2 \cdot L(1/2, \pi_f \times \chi_d).$$

Thus, Bocherer's conjecture predicts that the sum of Fourier coefficients of discriminant d is essentially a L-value.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ のへで 26/29

Thus, Bocherer's conjecture predicts that the sum of Fourier coefficients of discriminant d is essentially a *L*-value. Some natural questions:

- What is *exactly* the constant c<sub>f</sub>?
- Instead of a plain sum, what if we weigh them by a character Λ?
- What is the proper generalization to the case of  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ , or to general automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ ?

Thus, Bocherer's conjecture predicts that the sum of Fourier coefficients of discriminant d is essentially a *L*-value. Some natural questions:

- What is *exactly* the constant c<sub>f</sub>?
- Instead of a plain sum, what if we weigh them by a character Λ?
- What is the proper generalization to the case of  $S_{\rho}(\Gamma)$ , or to general automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ ?

All of these have now been addressed by very general and exact conjectures (Furusawa-Martin-Shalika, Prasad–Takloo-Bighash, Gan-Gross-Prasad, Liu,..).

> Let  $\pi$  be an automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ . For any automorphic form  $\phi$  in the space of  $\pi$ , we can define a global Bessel period  $B(\phi, \Lambda)$  on  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$  by

$$B(\phi,\Lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{A}^{\times} T_{\mathcal{S}}(F) \setminus T_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\mathcal{N}(F) \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(tu) \Lambda^{-1}(t) \theta_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(n) dn dt.$$
(3)

where S is a symmetric matrix, K a quadratic extension attached to S,  $\Lambda$  a Hecke character of K,  $T_S \simeq K^{\times}$  the non-split torus of GL<sub>2</sub>.

> Let  $\pi$  be an automorphic representation of  $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$ . For any automorphic form  $\phi$  in the space of  $\pi$ , we can define a global Bessel period  $B(\phi, \Lambda)$  on  $GSp_{4}(\Lambda)$  by

$$B(\phi,\Lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{A}^{\times} T_{\mathcal{S}}(F) \setminus T_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\mathcal{N}(F) \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(tu) \Lambda^{-1}(t) \theta_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(n) dn dt.$$
(3)

where S is a symmetric matrix, K a quadratic extension attached to S, A a Hecke character of K,  $T_{S} \simeq K^{\times}$  the non-split torus of  $GL_2$ .

Two key points:

- $\pi$  has a Bessel model of type  $(K, \Lambda)$  if and only if  $B(\phi, \Lambda) \neq 0$ for some  $\phi$ .
- If  $\phi$  is the adelization of some  $F \in S_k(\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ , and  $\Lambda$ corresponds to a character of  $CI_K$ , then

$$B(\phi, \Lambda) = e^{-2\pi \operatorname{Tr}(S)} \sum_{c \in \operatorname{Cl}_K} \Lambda^{-1}(c) a(F, c).$$

## A conjecture of Yifeng Liu

Let  $\pi$ ,  $\Lambda$  be as above. Suppose that for almost all places v of F, the local representation  $\pi_v$  is generic. Let  $\phi$  be any automorphic form in the space of  $\pi$ . Then

$$\frac{|B(\phi,\Lambda)|^2}{\langle \phi,\phi\rangle} = \frac{C_T}{4} \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(4)L(1/2,\pi\otimes\mathcal{AI}(\Lambda))}{L(1,\mathrm{sym}^2\pi)L(1,\chi_d)} \prod_{\nu} l_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu}).$$

<□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E の < ○ 28/29

where  $I_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu})$  is an explicit local integral, equal to 1 almost everywhere.

## A conjecture of Yifeng Liu

Let  $\pi$ ,  $\Lambda$  be as above. Suppose that for almost all places v of F, the local representation  $\pi_v$  is generic. Let  $\phi$  be any automorphic form in the space of  $\pi$ . Then

$$\frac{|B(\phi,\Lambda)|^2}{\langle \phi,\phi\rangle} = \frac{C_T}{4} \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(4)L(1/2,\pi\otimes\mathcal{AI}(\Lambda))}{L(1,\mathrm{sym}^2\pi)L(1,\chi_d)} \prod_{\nu} l_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu}).$$

where  $I_v(\phi_v)$  is an explicit local integral, equal to 1 almost everywhere.

Remarks:

- This formulation excludes the Saito-Kurokawa (CAP) lift.
- Liu proved this conjecture for all endoscopic lifts.
- Note that the conjecture implies that

$$B(\phi, \Lambda) \neq 0 \Rightarrow L(1/2, \pi \otimes \mathcal{AI}(\Lambda)) \neq 0$$

# Some ongoing joint work with Pitale and Schmidt

Compute  $I_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu})$  in some specific ramified cases, and thus formulate the precise refinement of Bocherer's conjecture for various Siegel cusp forms with level.

# Some ongoing joint work with Pitale and Schmidt

Compute  $I_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu})$  in some specific ramified cases, and thus formulate the precise refinement of Bocherer's conjecture for various Siegel cusp forms with level.

 Can currently write down everything exactly when F has full level and Λ is a class group character of Cl<sub>K</sub>.

# Some ongoing joint work with Pitale and Schmidt

Compute  $I_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu})$  in some specific ramified cases, and thus formulate the precise refinement of Bocherer's conjecture for various Siegel cusp forms with level.

- Can currently write down everything exactly when F has full level and Λ is a class group character of Cl<sub>K</sub>.
- Expect to be able to work it out for newforms of squarefree level with respect to the Siegel congruence subgroup.

• Has many consequences for sizes of Fourier coefficients, *p*-integrality of *L*-values, analytic questions and so on.
Siegel modular forms of degree 2 Bocherer's conjecture and refinements Bessel periods and Liu's conjecture

## Some ongoing joint work with Pitale and Schmidt

Compute  $I_{\nu}(\phi_{\nu})$  in some specific ramified cases, and thus formulate the precise refinement of Bocherer's conjecture for various Siegel cusp forms with level.

- Can currently write down everything exactly when F has full level and Λ is a class group character of Cl<sub>K</sub>.
- Expect to be able to work it out for newforms of squarefree level with respect to the Siegel congruence subgroup.
- Has many consequences for sizes of Fourier coefficients, *p*-integrality of *L*-values, analytic questions and so on.

Thank you!