Research Article

# *q*-Bernoulli Numbers Associated with *q*-Stirling Numbers

## **Taekyun Kim**

Division of General Education-Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139704, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Taekyun Kim, tkkim@kw.ac.kr

Received 13 December 2007; Accepted 29 January 2008

Recommended by Panayiotis D. Siafarikas

a +

We consider Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers and *q*-Stirling numbers of the first and the second kinds. From the properties of *q*-Stirling numbers, we derive many interesting formulas associated with Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers. Finally, we will prove  $\beta_{n,q} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=m}^{n} 1/(1-q)^{n+m-k} \sum_{d_0+\dots+d_k=n-k} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} id_i} s_{1,q}(k,m)(-1)^{n-m}((m+1)/[m+1]_q)$ , where  $\beta_{n,q}$  are called Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers.

Copyright © 2008 Taekyun Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **1. Introduction**

Let *p* be a fixed prime number. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will, respectively, denote the ring of *p*-adic rational integers, the field of *p*-adic rational numbers, the complex number field, and the completion of algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . For *d* a fixed positive integer with (p, d) = 1, let

$$X = X_d = \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}} \mathbb{Z} / dp^N \mathbb{Z}, \qquad X_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

$$X^* = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < a < dp \\ (a,p)=1}} a + dp \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

$$dp^N \mathbb{Z}_p = \{ x \in X \mid x \equiv a \pmod{dp^N} \},$$
(1.1)

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  lies in  $0 \le a < dp^N$ , see [1–21]. The *p*-adic absolute value in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is normalized so that  $|p|_p = 1/p$ . When one talks about *q*-extension, *q* is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex number  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , or a *p*-adic number  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , then we

#### Advances in Difference Equations

assume  $|q - 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , so that  $q^x = \exp(x \log q)$  for  $|x|_p \le 1$ . We use the notation  $[x]_q = [x : q] = (1 - q^x)/(1 - q)$ . For  $f \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{f \mid f' \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p)\}$ , let us start with the expressions

$$\frac{1}{[p^N]_q} \sum_{0 \le j < p^N} q^j f(j) = \sum_{0 \le j < p^N} f(j) \mu_q (j + p^N \mathbb{Z}_p)$$
(1.2)

(see [6, 8]), representing *q*-analogue of Riemann sums for *f*. The *p*-adic *q*-integral of a function  $f \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is defined by

$$\int_{X} f(x) d\mu_{q}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d\mu_{q}(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{[p^{N}]_{q}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{N-1}} f(x) q^{x}$$
(1.3)

(see [8, 22, 23]). For  $f \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , it is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) \right|_p \le p \|f\|_1 \tag{1.4}$$

(see [6–14]), where  $||f||_1 = \sup\{|f(0)|_p, \sup_{x \neq y}|(f(x) - f(y))/(x - y)|_p\}$ . If  $f_n \to f$  in  $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , namely,  $||f_n - f||_1 \to 0$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_n(x) d\mu_q(x) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x)$$
(1.5)

(see [6–10]). The *q*-analogue of binomial coefficient was known as  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = ([x]_q[x-1]_q \cdots [x - n+1]_q)/[n]_q!$ , where  $[n]_q! = \prod_{i=1}^n [i]_q$  (see [1, 5, 6, 10, 11]). From this definition, we derive

$$\begin{bmatrix} x+1\\n \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} x\\n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^n \begin{bmatrix} x\\n \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{x-n} \begin{bmatrix} x\\n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} x\\n \end{bmatrix}_q$$
(1.6)

(cf. [6, 10]). Thus, we have  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} {x \brack q} d\mu_q(x) = ((-1)^n / [n+1]_q) q^{n+1-\binom{n+1}{2}}$ . If  $f(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_{k,q} {x \brack k}_q$  is the *q*-analogue of Mahler series of strictly differentiable function *f*, then we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_{k,q} \frac{(-1)^k}{[k+1]_q} q^{k+1-\binom{k+1}{2}}.$$
(1.7)

Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers  $\beta_{k,q} (= \beta_k(q))$  can be determined inductively by

$$\beta_{0,q} = 1, \qquad q(q\beta + 1)^k - \beta_{k,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1, \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

with the usual convention of replacing  $\beta^i$  by  $\beta_{i,q}$  (see [2–4]). In this paper, we study the *q*-Stirling numbers of the first and the second kinds. From these *q*-Stirling numbers, we derive some interesting *q*-Stirling numbers identities associated with Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers. Finally, we will prove the following formula:

$$\beta_{n,q} = \sum_{m=q}^{n} \sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n+m-k}} \sum_{d_0 + \dots + d_k = n-k} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} id_i} s_{1,q}(k,m) (-1)^{n-m} \frac{m+1}{[m+1]_q},$$
(1.9)

where  $s_{1,q}(k, m)$  is the *q*-Stirling number of the first kind.

## 2. *q*-Stirling numbers and Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we note that

$$\beta_{m,q} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x]_q^m d\mu_q(x) = \int_X [x]_q^m d\mu_q(x).$$
(2.1)

From this formula, we derive

$$\beta_{0,q} = 1, \qquad q(q\beta + 1)^k - \beta_{k,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

with the usual convention of replacing  $\beta^i$  by  $\beta_{i,q}$ . By the simple calculation of *p*-adic *q*-integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we see that

$$\beta_{n,q} = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i \frac{i+1}{[i+1]_q},$$
(2.3)

where  $\binom{n}{i} = n!/i!(n-i)! = n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)/i!$ . Let F(t) be the generating function of Carlitz *q*-Bernoulli numbers. Then we have

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

$$= \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \frac{1}{[p^{\rho}]_q} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{\rho}-1} q^x e^{[x]_q t}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \frac{k+1}{[k+1]_q} (-1)^k \right\} \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

$$= e^{t/(1-q)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(1-q)^k} \frac{k+1}{[k+1]_q} \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$
(2.4)

From (2.4) we note that

$$F(t) = e^{t/(1-q)} + e^{t/(1-q)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(1-q)^{k-1}} \left(\frac{k}{1-q^{k+1}}\right) \frac{t^k}{k!} + e^{t/(1-q)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(1-q)^{k-1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q^{k+1}}\right) \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

$$= -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n} e^{[n]_q t} + (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n e^{[n]_q t}.$$
(2.5)

Therefore, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x]_q^n d\mu_q(x) (t^n/n!)$ . Then one has

$$F(t) = -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n} e^{[n]_q t} + (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n e^{[n]_q t}.$$
(2.6)

# Advances in Difference Equations

The *q*-Bernoulli polynomials in the variable *x* in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|x|_p \leq 1$  are defined by

$$\beta_{n,q}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x+t]_q^n d\mu_q(t) = \int_X [x+t]_q^n d\mu_q(x).$$
(2.7)

Thus we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} [x+t]_{q}^{n} d\mu_{q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} [x]_{q}^{n-k} q^{kx} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} [t]_{q}^{k} d\mu_{q}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} [x]_{q}^{n-k} q^{kx} \beta_{k,q}$$

$$= (q^{x} \beta + [x]_{q})^{n}.$$
(2.8)

From (2.7) we derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x+t]_q^n d\mu_q(x) = \beta_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k q^{kx} \frac{k+1}{[k+1]_q}.$$
(2.9)

Let F(t, x) be the generating function of *q*-Bernoulli polynomials. By (2.9) we see that

$$F(t,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$
  
=  $e^{t/(1-q)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q)^k} q^{kx} (-1)^k \frac{k+1}{[k+1]_q} \frac{t^k}{k!}.$  (2.10)

From (2.10) we note that

$$F(t,x) = -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t} + (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n e^{[n+x]_q t}.$$
(2.11)

By (2.7) and (2.11) we easily see that

$$[m]_{q}^{k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^{i}\beta_{k,q^{m}}\left(\frac{x+i}{m}\right) = \beta_{k,q}(x), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}.$$
(2.12)

If we take x = 0 in (2.12), then we have

$$[n]_{q}\beta_{n,q} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \beta_{k,q^{n}}[n]_{q}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{j(k+1)}[j]_{q}^{n-k}.$$
(2.13)

Let us define new *q*-Bernoulli polynomials,  $\beta_{n,q}^*(x)$ , as follows:

$$F^{*}(t,x) = F(t,x) - (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} e^{[n+x]_{q}t}$$
  
$$= -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+x} e^{[n+x]_{q}t}$$
  
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{n,q}^{*}(x)}{n!} t^{n}.$$
 (2.14)

In the special case x = 0, we can also derive the definition of *q*-Bernoulli numbers as follows:

$$F^*(t) = F^*(t,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,q}^* \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
(2.15)

From these generating functions, we note that

$$-\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2l+n} e^{[n+l]_q t} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2l} e^{[l]_q t} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( m \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} q^{2l} [l]_q^{m-1} \right) \frac{t^{m-1}}{m!}.$$
(2.16)

Note that  $-\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2l+n} e^{[n+l]_q t} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2l} e^{[l]_q t} = (1/t)(F^*(t,n) - F^*(t))$ . Thus, we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\beta_{m,q}^{*}(n) - \beta_{m,q}^{*}\right) \frac{t^{m}}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(m \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} q^{2l} [l]_{q}^{m-1}\right) \frac{t^{m}}{m!}.$$
(2.17)

By comparing the coefficients on both sides in (2.17), we see that

$$\beta_{m,q}^{*}(n) - \beta_{m,q}^{*} = m \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} q^{2l} [l]_{q}^{m-1}.$$
(2.18)

Therefore, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For*  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, one has* 

$$(q-1)\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}q^{l}[l]_{q}^{m} + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}q^{l}[l]_{q}^{m-1} = \frac{1}{m}(\beta_{m,q}^{*}(n) - \beta_{m,q}^{*}).$$
(2.19)

Now we consider the *q*-analogue of Jordan factor as follows:

$$[x]_{k,q} = [x]_q [x-1]_q \cdots [x-k+1]_q$$
  
=  $\frac{(1-q^x)(1-q^{x-1})\cdots(1-q^{x-k+1})}{(1-q)^k}.$  (2.20)

The *q*-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} = \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![n-k]_{q}!} = \frac{(1-q^{n})(1-q^{n-1})\cdots(1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q)(1-q^{2})\cdots(1-q^{k})},$$
(2.21)

where  $[n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [2]_q[1]_q$ . The *q*-binomial formulas are known as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (a + bq^{i-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k}_{q} q^{\binom{k}{2}} a^{n-k} b^{k},$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - bq^{i-1})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {n+k-1 \brack k}_{q} b^{k}.$$
(2.22)

The *q*-Stirling numbers of the first kind  $s_{1,q}(n,k)$  and the second kind  $s_{2,q}(n,k)$  are defined as

$$[x]_{n,q} = q^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{n} s_{1,q}(n,l) [x]_{q}^{l}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(2.23)

$$[x]_{q}^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{\binom{k}{2}} s_{2,q}(n,k) [x]_{k,q'} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.24)

(see [2, 3, 6]). The values  $s_{1,q}(n, 1)$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ..., and  $s_{2,q}(n, 2)$ , n = 2, 3, ..., may be deduced from the following recurrence relation:

$$s_{1,q}(n,k) = s_{1,q}(n-1,k-1) - [n-1]_q s_{1,q}(n-1,k)$$
(2.25)

(see [2, 3, 6]), for k = 1, 2, ..., n, n = 1, 2, ..., with initial conditions  $s_{1,q}(0, 0) = 1$ ,  $s_{1,q}(n, k) = 0$  if k > n. For k = 1, it follows that

$$s_{1,q}(n,1) = -[n-1]_q s_{1,q}(n-1,1), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$
(2.26)

and since  $s_{1,q}(1,1) = 1$ , we have  $s_{1,q}(n,1) = (-1)^{n-1}[n-1]_q!$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ... The recurrence relation for k = 2 reduces to  $s_{1,q}(n,2) + [n-1]_q s_{1,q}(n-1,2) = (-1)^{n-2}[n-2]_q!$ , n = 3, 4, ... By simple calculation, we easily see that

$$\frac{(-1)^{n+1}s_{1,q}(n+1,2)}{[n]_q!} - \frac{(-1)^n s_{1,q}(n,2)}{[n-1]_q!} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{s_{1,q}(n+1,2) - [n]_q s_{1,q}(n,2)}{[n]_q!}$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}[n-1]_q!}{[n]_q!}$$
$$= \frac{1}{[n]_q}, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$
(2.27)

Thus we have

$$\frac{(-1)^n s_{1,q}(n,2)}{[n-1]_q!} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{[k]_q}.$$
(2.28)

This is equivalent to  $s_{1,q}(n,2) = (-1)^n [n-1]_q! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1/[k]_q$ . It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} (-1)^{m+1} q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} {n+1 \brack m+1} \sum_{qk=1}^{m} \frac{1}{[k]_q} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{{\binom{n}{k}}_q}{[k]_q}.$$
(2.29)

From this, we derive

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{1}{[k]_{q}} \left( \binom{n}{k}_{q} - \binom{n-1}{k}_{q} \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{1}{[k]_{q}} \left( q^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{q} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^{n}}{[n]_{q}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \\ &= \frac{q^{n}}{[n]_{q}}. \end{split}$$
(2.30)

Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k}{2}} {n \brack k}_{q} = -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} {n \brack k}_{q} + 1 = 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{{\binom{n}{k}}_{q}}{[k]_{q}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{{\binom{n-1}{k}}_{q}}{[k]_{q}} + \frac{q^{n}}{[n]_{q}}.$$
(2.31)

Continuing this process, we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{{\binom{n}{k}}_{q}}{[k]_{q}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^{k}}{[k]_{q}}.$$
(2.32)

The *p*-adic *q*-gamma function is defined as  $\Gamma_{p,q}(n) = (-1)^n \prod_{1 \le j < n, (j,p)=1} [j]_q$ . For all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have  $\Gamma_{p,q}(x+1) = E_{p,q}(x)\Gamma_{p,q}(x)$ , where

$$E_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} -[x]_q & \text{if } |x|_p = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } |x|_p < 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.33)

Thus, we easily see that

$$\log \Gamma_{p,q}(x+1) = \log E_{p,q}(x) + \log \Gamma_{p,q}(x).$$
(2.34)

From the differentiation on both sides in (2.34), we derive

$$\frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(x+1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+1)} = \frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(x)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x)} + \frac{E'_{p,q}(x)}{E_{p,q}(x)}.$$
(2.35)

Continuing this process, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(x)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{x-1} \frac{q^j}{[j]_q}\right) \frac{\log q}{q-1} + \frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(1)}.$$
(2.36)

The classical Euler constant is known as  $\gamma = \Gamma'(1)/\Gamma(1)$ . In [15], Kim defined the *p*-adic *q*-Euler constant as

$$\gamma_{p,q} = -\frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(1)}.$$
(2.37)

Therefore, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** *For*  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ *, one has* 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{x-1} (-1)^{k+1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{\binom{x-1}{k}_{q}}{[k]_{q}} = \frac{q-1}{\log q} \left( \frac{\Gamma'_{p,q}(x)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x)} - \gamma_{p,q} \right).$$
(2.38)

From (2.9), (2.21), (2.23), and (2.24), we derive the following theorem.

## Advances in Difference Equations

**Theorem 2.4.** *For*  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, one has* 

$$\beta_{n,q} = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^l (q-1)^k \binom{l}{k} \sum_{qm=0}^k s_{1,q}(k,m) \beta_{m,q},$$
(2.39)

where  $s_{1,q}(k,m)$  is the q-Stirling number of the first kind.

By simple calculation, we easily see that

$$q^{nt} = \left( \left[ t \right]_{q} (q-1) + 1 \right)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (-1)^{m} (1-q)^{m} [t]_{q}^{m}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (q-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} [t]_{k,q}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (q-1)^{k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} \sum_{q=0}^{k} s_{1,q}(k,m) [t]_{q}^{m}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( \sum_{k=m}^{n} (q-1)^{k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} s_{1,q}(k,m) \right) [t]_{q}^{m}.$$
(2.40)

Thus we note

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} q^{nt} d\mu_q(t) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=m}^n (q-1)^k {n \brack k}_q s_{1,q}(k,m) \right) \beta_{m,q}.$$
(2.41)

From the definition of *p*-adic *q*-integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we also derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} q^{nt} d\mu_q(t) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (q-1)^m \beta_{m,q}.$$
 (2.42)

By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (2.41) and (2.42), we see that

$$\binom{n}{m}(q-1)^m = \sum_{k=m}^n (q-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q s_{1,q}(k,m).$$
(2.43)

Therefore, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,*  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, one has* 

$$\binom{n}{m} = \sum_{k=m}^{n} (q-1)^{-m+k} {n \brack k}_{q} s_{1,q}(k,m).$$
(2.44)

From Theorem 2.5, we can also derive the following interesting formula for *q*-Bernoulli numbers.

**Theorem 2.6.** *For*  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, one has* 

$$\beta_{n,q} = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{m=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=m}^n (q-1)^{-m+k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q s_{1,q}(k,m) \right) (-1)^m \frac{m+1}{[m+1]_q}.$$
(2.45)

From the definition of *q*-binomial coefficient, we easily derive

$$\begin{bmatrix} x+1\\n \end{bmatrix}_{q} = \begin{bmatrix} x\\n-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q} + q^{n} \begin{bmatrix} x\\n \end{bmatrix}_{q}$$
$$= q^{x-n} \begin{bmatrix} x\\n-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q} + \begin{bmatrix} x\\n \end{bmatrix}_{q}.$$
(2.46)

By (2.46), we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q d\mu_q(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{[n+1]_q} q^{n+1-\binom{n+1}{2}}.$$
(2.47)

From the definition of *q*-Stirling number of the first kind, we also note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x]_{n,q} d\mu_q(x) = [n]_q! \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q d\mu_q(x)$$

$$= q^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^n s_{1,q}(n,k) \beta_{k,q}.$$
(2.48)

By using (2.47) and (2.48), we see

$$(-1)^{n} \frac{q[n]_{q}!}{[n+1]_{q}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_{1,q}(n,k) \beta_{k,q}.$$
(2.49)

From (2.24) and (2.48), we derive

$$\beta_{n,q} = q \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_{2,q}(n,k) (-1)^k \frac{[k]_q!}{[k+1]_q}.$$
(2.50)

Therefore, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.7.** *For*  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, one has* 

$$\beta_{n,q} = q \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_{2,q}(n,k) (-1)^k \frac{[k]_q!}{[k+1]_q},$$
(2.51)

where  $s_{2,q}(n, k)$  is the q-Stirling number of the second kind.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} = \sum_{d_0 + \dots + d_k = n-k} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} i d_i}.$$
(2.52)

By Theorem 2.4, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.8.** *For*  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, one has* 

$$\beta_{n,q} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n+m-k}} \sum_{d_0 + \dots + d_k = n-k} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} id_i} s_{1,q}(k,m) (-1)^{n-m} \frac{m+1}{[m+1]_q},$$
(2.53)

where  $s_{1,q}(k,m)$  is the q-Stirling number of the first kind.

#### Acknowledgment

This paper was supported by the research fund of Kangwoon University.

#### References

- C. Adiga and N. Anitha, "On some continued fractions of Ramanujan," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 155–162, 2006.
- [2] M. Cenkci, Y. Simsek, and V. Kurt, "Further remarks on multiple p-adic q-L-function of two variables," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 49–68, 2007.
- [3] M. Cenkci and M. Can, "Some results on q-analogue of the Lerch zeta function," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 213–223, 2006.
- [4] L. Carlitz, "q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 987– 1000, 1948.
- [5] L. Carlitz, "q-Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 332–350, 1954.
- [6] A. S. Hegazi and M. Mansour, "A note on q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2006.
- [7] T. Kim and C. Adiga, "On the q-analogue of gamma functions and related inequalities," Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 6, no. 4, article 118, p. 4, 2005.
- [8] T. Kim, "q-Volkenborn integration," Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 288–299, 2002.
- [9] T. Kim, "On the analogs of Euler numbers and polynomials associated with *p*-adic *q*-integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  at q = -1," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 331, no. 2, pp. 779–792, 2007.
- [10] T. Kim, "On a q-analogue of the p-adic log gamma functions and related integrals," Journal of Number Theory, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 320–329, 1999.
- [11] T. Kim, "On p-adic q-L-functions and sums of powers," Discrete Mathematics, vol. 252, no. 1–3, pp. 179–187, 2002.
- [12] T. Kim, S. D. Kim, and D.-W. Park, "On uniform differentiability and *q*-Mahler expansions," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 2001.
- [13] T. Kim, "A note on the q-multiple zeta function," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 111–113, 2004.
- [14] T. Kim, "Sums of powers of consecutive q-integers," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 15–18, 2004.
- [15] T. Kim, "A note on p-adic invariant integral in the rings of p-adic integers," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 95–99, 2006.
- [16] T. Kim, "A note on some formulae for the q-Euler numbers and polynomials," Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 227–232, 2006.
- [17] N. Koblitz, "q-extension of the p-adic gamma function," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 260, no. 2, pp. 449–457, 1980.
- [18] H. Ozden, Y. Simsek, S.-H. Rim, and I. N. Cangul, "A note on p-adic q-Euler measure," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 233–239, 2007.
- [19] M. Schork, "Ward's "calculus of sequences", *q*-calculus and the limit  $q \rightarrow -1$ ," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 131–141, 2006.
- [20] Y. Simsek, "On p-adic twisted q L-functions related to generalized twisted Bernoulli numbers," Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 340–348, 2006.
- [21] Y. Simsek, "Generalized Dedekind sums associated with the Abel sum and the Eisenstein and Lambert series," Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 125–137, 2004.
- [22] Y. Simsek, "Twisted (*h*, *q*)-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials related to twisted (*h*, *q*)-zeta function and *L*-function," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 324, no. 2, pp. 790–804, 2006.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, T. Kim, and Y. Simsek, "q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with multiple q-zeta functions and basic L-series," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 241–268, 2005.