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We determine the $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions to the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{q} P_{n}(x)=\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{q}$ is the Askey-Wilson divided-difference operator, using an approach that does not appear in the literature. To accomplish this, we construct a polynomial expansion via a Chebyshev basis, which ultimately allows explicit formulas to be derived for the recurrence coefficients of $P_{n}(x)$ above. From there, we obtain our solutions and discuss some future research.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we determine all of the $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to the difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q} P_{n}(x)=\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}$ is a function of $n$ that is independent of $x$ and $\mathcal{D}_{q}$ is the Askey-Wilson degreelowering, divided-difference, linear operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(x):=\frac{\breve{f}\left(q^{1 / 2} z\right)-\breve{f}\left(q^{-(1 / 2)} z\right)}{\breve{e}\left(q^{1 / 2} z\right)-\breve{e}\left(q^{-(1 / 2)} z\right)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z=\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \breve{f}(z)=f(x)=f(\cos \theta)$, for any function $f$ and $e(x)=x$. For details regarding the development and vast applicability of this operator, consider [1,3,4,11], as well as [8] and the additional references therein.

For the remainder of this section, we first discuss the motivations behind analysing (1) and then outline the details of this paper.

In [2], all classical orthogonal polynomial solutions were obtained from the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} P_{n}(x)=\left(\alpha_{n} x+\beta_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 . A unified derivation of (3) appears in [7, p. 167], and is accredited to Tricomi. The paper [2] describes all sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ that solve (3) and satisfy a three-term recurrence

[^0]relation (a necessary and sufficient condition for orthogonality) of the form
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n+1}(x) & =\left(x+B_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)-C_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
P_{-1}(x) & =0, \quad P_{0}(x)=1, \quad C_{n} \neq 0, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Since $\pi(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ is at most quadratic, (3) only needed to be analysed for the following cases:

$$
\pi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{5}\\
x \\
x^{2}+c
\end{array}\right.
$$

Any other form of $\pi(x)$ can be achieved via a linear change-of-variables.
The methodology used to obtain the orthogonal polynomial solutions for each case is essentially as follows. First, differentiate (4) and multiply the result by $\pi(x)$. Then, use (3) to eliminate $\pi(x) P_{n-1}^{\prime}(x), \pi(x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ and $\pi(x) P_{n+1}^{\prime}(x)$. From there, utilize (4) to remove $P_{n+1}(x)$. This results in an equation relating the coefficients of $\pi(x)$ and recursion coefficients in (3) and (4). Therefore, several difference equations can be obtained from which expressions for the recursion coefficients $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ can be determined. These expressions contain arbitrary parameters, which when chosen judiciously lead to the sought-after classical orthogonal polynomial solutions.

Upon completing this for each case of $\pi(x)$ in (5), which required a wealth of clever algebraic manipulations, it was determined that the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials are, respectively, the only orthogonal polynomial solutions. For each of these polynomial solutions, orthogonality was defined on the real line with respect to a nondecreasing real function. When considering polynomials orthogonal on the real line with respect to a function of bounded variation, the generalized Bessel polynomials [12] were also solutions in the limiting case $c \rightarrow 0$ for $\pi(x)=x^{2}+c$.

In 2006, Datta and Griffin [6] discovered all $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions to the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) D_{q} P_{n}(x)=\left(\alpha_{n} x+\beta_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 , with the $q$-degree-lowering, divideddifference, linear operator $D_{q}$, cf. [8], defined as

$$
\left(D_{q} f\right)(x):=\frac{f(x)-f(q x)}{x-q x} .
$$

Their work was the $q$-analogue of [2] because the differential operator $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$ in (3) was replaced by $D_{q}$ above. Datta and Griffin determined all of the $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions to (6) using essentially the same methodology as in [2], taking into account that (6) does not remain invariant under the linear transformation $x \rightarrow a x+b$. Therefore, the following cases and sub-cases were considered:

$$
\pi(x)= \begin{cases}1, &  \tag{7}\\ x, & x+c, \\ x^{2}, & x^{2}+s, \\ x^{2}+r x, & x^{2}+r x+s\end{cases}
$$

The Al-Salam-Carlitz I, the discrete $q$-Hermite I, the big and little $q$-Laguerre polynomials and the big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials were obtained - the $q$-Bessel polynomials were achieved by taking appropriate limits.

We also mention that the recently submitted manuscript ' $q$-Orthogonal polynomial solutions to a class of difference equations', by D.J. Galiffa and S.J. Johnston, presents an analysis of the difference equation

$$
\pi(x) D_{q^{-1}} P_{n}(x)=\left(\alpha_{n} x+\beta_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x)
$$

with

$$
\left(D_{q^{-1}} f\right)(x):=\frac{f(x)-f(x / q)}{x-x / q} .
$$

In this work, the authors obtained the Al-Salam-Carlitz II, the discrete $q$-Hermite II, the $q$-Laguerre and the Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials, as well as $q$-orthogonal polynomials that are currently not fully characterized, as solutions.

Indeed, much analysis has been conducted with regard to determining the classical and quantum orthogonal polynomial solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) T\left(P_{n}(x)\right)=\left(\alpha_{n} x+\beta_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operators $T=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x, D_{q}, D_{q^{-1}}$, but not for the case when $T=\mathcal{D}_{q}$. Thus, our main motivation for studying (1) is to develop a method analogous to those utilized in [2,6] in order to conduct a preliminary analysis of (8), with $T=\mathcal{D}_{q}$. Namely, we consider Case 1 of (7) $(\pi(x)=1)$, from which it follows that $\alpha_{n}=\beta_{n}=0$ and hence (1). Cases 2 and 3 (with $T=\mathcal{D}_{q}$ ) do not appear in the literature.

Next, we mention that characterizing Case 1 of [2] is actually equivalent to determining which Appell sets are also orthogonal. Similarly, characterizing Case 1 of [6] is the same as determining the $q$-Appell orthogonal sets. Therefore, the secondary motivation for this paper is to determine the $\mathcal{D}_{q}$-Appell orthogonal sets in a way that is entirely different from what has been established previously, i.e. [1,9].

Lastly, it is also important to address the following. There are two types of theorems in this area: direct theorems and inverse theorems. For the classical orthogonal polynomials, the direct theorems are illustrated by formula (3). For the Jacobi polynomials, (3) follows from the contiguous relations discovered by Gauss (with earlier relations due to Euler and a few others). The inverse problems are usually restricted to orthogonal polynomials, but some are likely to hold in a more general context.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we alleviate the difficulty of directly applying (2) to (1) by expanding our polynomials via a Chebyshev basis. These expansions are needed for all of our subsequent results. We conclude Section 2 with a few preliminary definitions that we use throughout this work. The crux of this paper is presented in Section 3, where we develop explicit conditions that the recursion coefficients in (4) must satisfy in order for $P_{n}(x)$ to solve (1). Finally, in Section 4 we determine all of our $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions and discuss some future research problems.

## 2. Expansion via Chebyshev basis polynomials

From the orthogonality relation of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\left\{T_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, see [10], we form an orthogonal basis via the weighted inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) g(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

with $w(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Thus, for a given set of monic orthogonal polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{n, k} T_{k}(x), \quad \text { with } f_{n, k}=\frac{\left\langle P_{n}, T_{k}\right\rangle}{\left\langle T_{k}, T_{k}\right\rangle} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4), we expect $f_{n, k}, B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ to be related. In this regard, we have the following statement.

Lemma 2.1. For $n=2,3, \ldots$, with $f_{n, k}$ as in (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+1, n}=\frac{1}{2} f_{n, n-1}+B_{n} f_{n, n} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=3,4, \ldots$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+1, n-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{n, n}+f_{n, n-2}\right)+B_{n} f_{n, n-1}-C_{n} f_{n-1, n-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, n}=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$
x T_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2} T_{k+1}(x)+\frac{1}{2} T_{k-1}(x) \quad \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

By substituting (9) into (4) and using the above relation for the $x T_{k}(x)$ term, our result follows from comparing coefficients.

Next, we discuss a few relationships that are used throughout this paper. We let $\left\{U_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind [10] and recall that the identity function is denoted as $e(x)=x$ via (2). We then see that $\mathcal{D}_{q}(e)=\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(T_{1}\right)=1$. Lastly, we make much use of the definitions

$$
\nu_{n}:=\frac{q^{n / 2}-q^{-n / 2}}{q^{1 / 2}-q^{-1 / 2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{n}:=q^{n / 2}+q^{-n / 2}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(T_{k}(x)\right)=\nu_{k} U_{k-1}(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is worth mentioning that $\mu_{k}$ and $\nu_{k}$ are important structures that appear elsewhere in the literature. For example, in $[5],[n]_{q}$ (called the $q$-numbers (in symmetric form)) was used to denote our $\nu_{n}$ and $\alpha_{q}(n)$ was used for our ( $1 / 2$ ) $\mu_{n}$ term.

## 3. The recursion coefficients

We begin by obtaining expressions for the recursion coefficients $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ in terms of the Fourier coefficients as in (9).

Lemma 3.1. For $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to satisfy (1) and (4) for $n=2,3,4, \ldots$, the recursion coefficients $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ must satisfy

$$
B_{n}=\frac{2^{n-2}\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right)}{\nu_{n}} f_{n, n-1}, \quad \frac{C_{n}}{2^{n-2}}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{2} f_{n, n-2}+B_{n} f_{n, n-1}-f_{n+1, n-1} .
$$

Proof. Using (1) and (9) we see that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{n, k} T_{k}(x)\right)=\gamma_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{n-1, k} T_{k}(x) .
$$

Applying (13) to the left-hand side of the above expression and using the relation

$$
T_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2} U_{k}(x)-\frac{1}{2} U_{k-2}(x), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{n, k} \nu_{k} U_{k-1}(x)=\gamma_{n} f_{n-1,0} T_{0}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_{n-1, k}\left(U_{k}(x)-U_{k-2}(x)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon comparing the coefficients of $U_{n-1}(x)$ above, we see that

$$
f_{n, n} \nu_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n} f_{n-1, n-1},
$$

which from (12) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}=\nu_{n} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by comparing coefficients of $U_{n-2}(x)$, we obtain

$$
f_{n, n-1} \nu_{n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n} f_{n-1, n-2}, \quad n=3,4,5, \ldots
$$

From (15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+1, n}=\frac{\nu_{n+1}}{2 \nu_{n}} f_{n, n-1}, \quad n=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (16) into (10), we obtain $B_{n}$. The expression for $C_{n}$ is obtained from the expression for $B_{n}$ and (11).

We can now derive explicit forms for our recursion coefficients.

Theorem 3.2. The recursion coefficients as in Lemma 3.1 have the following forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}=\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right) B_{0}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1+\nu_{n}\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right) B_{0}^{2}-\nu_{2 n}\left(\frac{4 G_{3}}{\nu_{2} \nu_{3}}-\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\nu_{k} \nu_{k+1}}\right)+\frac{\nu_{n+1}}{\nu_{n-1}}\right], \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $G_{3}=\nu_{3}\left(B_{0} B_{1}-C_{1}+1 / 4\right)$, which is valid for $n=4,5,6, \ldots$.

Proof. From $P_{1}(x)$ in (4) and the definition of $f_{1,0}$, it is clear that $B_{0}=f_{1,0}$. For ease of notation, we define $F_{n}:=f_{n, n-1}$ and we see that $F_{1}=f_{1,0}=B_{0}$. Then, from (16), we have the first-order difference equation

$$
F_{n}=\frac{\nu_{n}}{2 \nu_{n-1}} F_{n-1} \quad \text { for } n=3,4,5, \ldots
$$

which has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{\nu_{n}}{2^{n-2} \nu_{2}} F_{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into (10), we have

$$
\frac{\nu_{n+1}}{2^{n-1} \nu_{2}} F_{2}=\frac{\nu_{n}}{2^{n-1} \nu_{2}} F_{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} B_{n} .
$$

From considering (1) for $n=2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}=\nu_{2} B_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore,

$$
B_{n}=\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right) B_{0} .
$$

We next obtain $C_{n}$. Recalling (15), we compare the coefficients of $U_{n-3}(x)$ in (14) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, n-2} \nu_{n-2}=\frac{1}{2} \nu_{n}\left(f_{n-1, n-3}-f_{n-1, n-1}\right), \quad n=4,5,6, \ldots \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
G_{n}:=f_{n, n-2}, \quad n=2,3,4, \ldots
$$

we achieve the first-order difference equation in $G_{n}$ as follows:

$$
G_{n}=\frac{\nu_{n}}{2 \nu_{n-2}}\left(G_{n-1}-\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\right), \quad n=4,5,6, \ldots
$$

Upon iterating this result, we see that the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}=\frac{\nu_{n} \nu_{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}\left(\frac{4 G_{3}}{\nu_{2} \nu_{3}}-\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\nu_{k} \nu_{k+1}}\right), \quad n=4,5,6, \ldots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via Lemma 3.1, for $n=4,5,6, \ldots$ we have

$$
\frac{C_{n}}{2^{n-2}}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}+B_{n} F_{n}+\frac{1}{2} G_{n}-G_{n+1}
$$

After substituting our expressions for $B_{n}$ in Lemma 3.1 and $F_{n}$ in (19), we further obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{n}}{2^{n-2}}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{\nu_{n}\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right)}{2^{n-2} \nu_{2}^{2}} F_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} G_{n}-G_{n+1}, \quad n=4,5,6, \ldots \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next note that with the identity $\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n-1}=\mu_{n},(1 / 2) G_{n}-G_{n+1}$ can be further simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\nu_{n} \mu_{n}}{2^{n}}\left(\frac{4 G_{3}}{\nu_{2} \nu_{3}}-\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\nu_{k} \nu_{k+1}}\right)+\frac{\nu_{n+1}}{2^{n} \nu_{n-1}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we substitute (20) and (24) into (23), which leads to (18).
We now only need to determine the explicit form of $G_{3}$. For $n=3$, we observe that (14) becomes

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{3} f_{3, k} \nu_{k} U_{k-1}(x)=\gamma_{3} f_{2,0} T_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} f_{2, k}\left(U_{k}(x)-U_{k-2}(x)\right)
$$

Using (15) and $\nu_{1}=T_{0}=U_{0}=1$, we can compare the constant terms above to achieve

$$
f_{3,1}=\nu_{3} f_{2,0}-\frac{1}{2} \nu_{3} f_{2,2}
$$

which gives us the following expression for $G_{3}$ :

$$
G_{3}=\nu_{3}\left(G_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

We next derive $G_{2}$. By comparing the coefficients of $P_{0}(x), P_{1}(x)$ and $P_{2}(x)$ in (4) and (9), we have

$$
F_{2}=B_{0}+B_{1}, \quad f_{2,0}-f_{2,2}=B_{0} B_{1}-C_{1}
$$

Hence,

$$
G_{2}=f_{2,0}=B_{0} B_{1}-C_{1}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

and we see that

$$
G_{3}=\nu_{3}\left(B_{0} B_{1}-C_{1}+\frac{1}{4}\right) .
$$

## 4. Conclusion and future directions

In light of the analysis of Section 3, we have the following statement.
Theorem 4.1. The continuous $q$-Hermite polynomials of Rogers are the only orthogonal set of polynomials which satisfy the difference equation

$$
\mathcal{D}_{q} P_{n}(x)=\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x) .
$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, we see that our recursion coefficients $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ contain three free parameters: $B_{0}, B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$. From Theorem 3.2, we also know that

$$
B_{n}=\left(\nu_{n+1}-\nu_{n}\right) B_{0}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Thus, by choosing $B_{0}=0$ we immediately see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n} \equiv 0, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, from selecting $C_{1}=(1-q) / 4$ and using (25), we observe that (18) becomes

$$
C_{n}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1-\nu_{2 n}\left(\frac{q}{\nu_{2}}-\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\nu_{k} \nu_{k+1}}\right)+\frac{\nu_{n+1}}{\nu_{n-1}}\right] .
$$

Now notice that the above sum is telescoping:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\nu_{k} \nu_{k+1}} & =(1-q) \sum_{k=2}^{n-2}\left(\frac{q^{k-(1 / 2)}}{1-q^{k}}-\frac{q^{k+(1 / 2)}}{1-q^{k+1}}\right) \\
& =(1-q)\left(\frac{q^{3 / 2}}{1-q^{2}}-\frac{q^{n-3 / 2}}{1-q^{n-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking this into account and using some algebra, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1-q^{n}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (25) and (26) are the recursion coefficients for the normalized Rogers' $q$-Hermite polynomials.

To summarize, Theorem 3.2 establishes the general recursion coefficients (in terms of the arbitrary parameters $B_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ ) that a polynomial set $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ must satisfy in order to solve (1). Consequently, this theorem gives all of the $q$-orthogonal polynomials that satisfy (1). Later, in Theorem 4.1, we concretely select $B_{0}=0$ and $C_{1}=(1-q) / 4$, leading to a special case of the general recursion coefficients in Theorem 3.2. We do this because these selections lead to the standard normalized

Rogers' $q$-Hermite polynomials as they appear in contemporary literature, e.g. the Askey scheme [10].

With regard to future research, we leave open for consideration the determination of the $q$-orthogonal polynomial solutions to (8) (with $T=\mathcal{D}_{q}$ ) for Cases 2 and 3 of (7). As discussed in Section 1, these characterizations do not appear in the literature.

We lastly mention that the Wilson operator, $\mathcal{W}$, see p .451 of [8], is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\breve{f}(y) & :=f(x) \quad \text { for } x=y^{2}, \\
\left(\eta_{ \pm} f\right)(x) & :=\breve{f}\left(y \pm \frac{i}{2}\right), \\
(\mathcal{W} f)(x) & :=\frac{1}{2 y i}\left(\eta_{+} f-\eta_{-} f\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This degree-lowering, divided-difference, linear operator is connected with the Bethe Ansatz equations of the Heisenberg XXX spin chain in quantum mechanics - cf. Section 16.5 of [8] for additional details. In addition, $\mathcal{W}$ also solves the Sturm-Liouville problem of the form

$$
\Pi(x) \mathcal{W}^{2} f(x)+\Phi(x)(A \mathcal{W} f)(x)=r(x) f(x)
$$

with

$$
\Pi(x)=\frac{1}{w(x)} A P(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(x)=\frac{1}{w(x)} \mathcal{W} P(x)
$$

where $w(x)>0$ is the weight function corresponding to $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $A$ is the averaging operator

$$
(A f)(x):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{+} f+\eta_{-} f\right)(x) .
$$

For polynomial solutions $f(x)$, it is assumed that $\Pi(x), \Phi(x)$ and $r(x)$ are polynomials of degrees $n, n-1$ and $n-2$, respectively.

Thus, we also leave open for consideration the analysis of (8) with $T$ replaced by $\mathcal{W}$, i.e.

$$
\pi(x) \mathcal{W} P_{n}(x)=\left(\alpha_{n} x+\beta_{n}\right) P_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$
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