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#### Abstract

In this paper, we compute the congruences of Catalan and Motzkin numbers modulo 4 and 8 . In particular, we prove the conjecture proposed by Deutsch and Sagan that no Motzkin number is a multiple of 8 . (c) 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Congruences of several well-known combinatorial numbers have been attracting much research interest. The most famous as well as age-old one is the Pascal's fractal which is formed by the parities of binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ [14]. As a pioneer of this problem, Kummer formulated the maximum power of a prime number $p$ dividing $\binom{m+n}{m}$, by counting the number of carries that occurs when $[m]_{p}$ and $[n]_{p}$ are added as $p$-adic notations, where $[m]_{p}:=$ $\left\langle m_{r} \ldots m_{1} m_{0}\right\rangle_{p}$ denotes the sequence of digits representing $n$ in base $p$ [9]. Lucas, another pioneer, also used the $p$-adic notation to develop a useful tool such that $\binom{n}{k} \equiv_{p} \prod_{i}\binom{n_{i}}{r_{i}}$, where " $\equiv$ " ${ }^{\prime}$ denotes congruence modulo $p$ (a prime) [11]. A generalization of Lucas' Theorem for prime powers was established by Davis and Webb [2]. The classical problem on Pascal's triangle also has modulo 4 and modulo 8 versions [3,8]. Several other combinatorial numbers have been studied on their congruences, too; like the Apéry numbers [7,12] and the central Delannoy numbers [6], not to mention the Catalan numbers.

[^0]The sequence of the Catalan numbers, $\left\langle C_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}=\langle 1,1,2,5,14,42,132, \ldots\rangle$, defined by

$$
C_{n}:=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

is one of the most important sequences in combinatorics for its ubiquitous appearances in numerous problems and areas. Closely related and also well known is the sequence of the Motzkin numbers, $\left\langle M_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}=\langle 1,1,2,4,9,21,51, \ldots\rangle$, which can be defined in terms of the Catalan numbers by

$$
M_{n}:=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{n}{2 k} C_{k} .
$$

There are many ways to define $M_{n}$, but in order to calculate the congruences, we choose the above definition. Readers may refer to [5,13] for further information.

It is well known that $C_{n}$ is odd if and only if $n=2^{k}-1$ for a nonnegative integer $k$. The rare appearance of odd Catalan numbers partitions even Catalan numbers into consecutive runs of length $b_{i}=2^{i}-1$. This fact was generalized by Alter and Kubota [1] who also investigated the corresponding problem of $C_{n}$ modulo any prime $p$. They also studied the divisibility of Catalan numbers with respect to primes and prime powers. Deutsch and Sagan [4] took one step further and derived the formula for the highest power of 2 dividing $C_{n}$. However, there is a lack of studies on the nonzero congruences for $C_{n}$. Part of our paper is devoted to this.

On the other hand, the studies on the congruences of the Motzkin numbers $M_{n}$ are few and were energized very recently. Luca and Klazar proved that the Motzkin numbers are never periodic modulo any prime [10]. It seems that Deutsch and Sagan started the first systematical study on the congruences for the Motzkin numbers [4]. The congruences of $M_{n}$ modulo 2, 3 and 5 are computed exactly in their paper.

However, even in the light of [1], there are few exact results concerning the nonzero congruences of $C_{n}$ and $M_{n}$ modulo a prime power. This paper is our first attempt to compensate this situation. The congruences of $C_{n}$ modulo 4 and 8 are fully investigated in this paper. As for $M_{n}$, all even congruences modulo 4 and 8 are studied and this result proves a conjecture stated as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. We have $M_{n} \equiv{ }_{4} 0$ if and only if

$$
n=(4 i+1) 4^{j+1}-1 \quad \text { or } \quad n=(4 i+3) 4^{j+1}-2
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are nonnegative integers. Furthermore we never have $M_{n} \equiv_{8} 0$.
This conjecture was first given by Deutsch and Sagan [4], and part of the conjecture was due to their personal communication with Amdeberhan.

Since $C_{n}$ is constructed by factorials $2 n!$ and $n!$, a full understanding of the congruences of factorials is crucial for solving that of $C_{n}$. Furthermore, via the defining-equality of Motzkin number $M_{n}=\sum_{k}\binom{n}{2 k} C_{k}$ and our result on $C_{k}$, we settle the even congruences of $M_{n}$ by computing $\binom{n}{2 k}$ and dealing with the summation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we develop the main tool $E_{4}(3, \cdot)$ and compute the congruences of Catalan numbers modulo 4. In Section 3, we prove the first part of Conjecture 1.1 (for modulo 4). Section 4 is devoted to Catalan numbers modulo 8. The similar tool $E_{8}(t, \cdot)$ is developed for $t=3,5,7$. Finally, we prove the second part of Conjecture 1.1 by showing all even congruences of Motzkin numbers modulo 8 in Section 5.

## 2. Catalan numbers modulo 4

Define $[a, b]:=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ for two positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $a \leq b$. Given positive integers $n$ and $p$, let $[n]_{p}:=\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{p}$ denote the sequence of digits representing $n$ in base $p$, i.e., $n=n_{r} p^{r}+n_{r-1} p^{r-1}+\cdots+n_{1} p+n_{0}$ with $n_{i} \in[0, p-1]$ and $n_{r} \neq 0$ for some integer $r$. For convenience, we let $n_{r+1}=n_{r+2}=\cdots=0$, but these digits do not belong to the sequence $[n]_{p}$. We can even define $[0]_{p}$ as an empty sequence, while $0_{0}=0_{1}=\cdots=0$. Reversely, given a sequence of nonnegative integers $\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{p}$ with $0 \leq n_{i} \leq p-1$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$, we define $\left|\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{p}\right|:=n_{r} p^{r}+n_{r-1} p^{r-1}+\cdots+n_{1} p+n_{0}$. We use $p=2$ in the whole paper, so sometimes we will skip the subscript 2.

Now let $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$. Define $d_{k}(n):=\sum_{i \geq k} n_{i}$, which counts the number of the digit 1's from $n_{k}$ to $n_{r}$. We also let $d(n)=d_{0}(n)$ for it will be used frequently. For a statement $S$, we set $\chi(S)=1$ if $S$ is true, otherwise $\chi(S)=0$. Let us define $c_{2}(n):=\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi\left(n_{i}=n_{i+1}=1\right)$ as the number of the consecutive pairs of 1 's in the sequence $[n]_{2}$, and $r(n)$ the number of runs of digit 1's in $\langle n\rangle_{2}$. Clearly, $c_{2}(n)=d(n)-r(n)$.

Given a positive integer $n$, let $\alpha(n)$ be the highest power index of base 2 such that $2^{\alpha(n)}$ divides $n$. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}$ be positive integers. For the formal product $\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}$, we define $E_{4}\left(3, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi\left(m_{i} / 2^{\alpha\left(m_{i}\right)} \equiv_{4} 3\right)$. For instance, $E_{4}(3,3 \times 4 \times 6)=2$ and $E_{4}(3,72)=$ 0 even though $3 \times 4 \times 6=72$. In the following two lemmas, we compute $\alpha(n!)$ and the parity of $E_{4}(3, n!)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$ and $2^{\alpha(n!)}$ be the highest power of 2 which divides $n!$. The power index $\alpha\left(n\right.$ !) equals $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(2^{k}-1\right) n_{k}$, and also equals $n-d(n)$.

Proof. Notice that $\alpha(n!)=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left\lfloor n / 2^{k}\right\rfloor=\left|\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{2} n_{1}\right\rangle_{2}\right|+\left|\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{2}\right\rangle_{2}\right|+\cdots+$ $\left|\left\langle n_{r}\right\rangle_{2}\right|$, for $\left\lfloor n / 2^{k}\right\rfloor$ counts the number of integers in $[1, n]$ that are multiples of $2^{k}$. From this equation, the total contribution of $n_{k}$ to $\alpha(n!)$ is $\left(2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}+\cdots+1\right) n_{k}$; thus $\alpha(n!)=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(2^{k}-1\right) n_{k}=n-d(n)$ and the proof follows.

Lemma 2.2. We have $E_{4}(3, n!) \equiv{ }_{2} d_{2}(n)+c_{2}(n)$; also $E_{4}(3, n!) \equiv{ }_{2} r(n)+n_{0}+n_{1}$.
Proof. Suppose $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$. For those $m \in[1, n]$ with the same value of $\alpha(m)$, say $i$, the sum of their $\chi\left(m / 2^{\alpha(m)} \equiv{ }_{4} 3\right)$ equals $\left\lfloor\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right\rfloor+1\right) / 4\right\rfloor$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{4}(3, n!) & =\sum_{i \geq 0}\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right\rfloor+1}{4}\right\rfloor  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(2^{i-1}-1\right) n_{i}+\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi\left(n_{i}=n_{i+1}=1\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \equiv 2 \sum_{i \geq 2} n_{i}+\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi\left(n_{i}=n_{i+1}=1\right) \\
& =d_{2}(n)+c_{2}(n),
\end{align*}
$$

where the second summation in (2) counts the effect caused by the addend 1 on the right-hand side of (1), while the first summation in (2) is obtained by ignoring this 1 .

Since $d_{2}(n)=d(n)-n_{0}-n_{1}$ and $c_{2}(n)=d(n)-r(n)$, we get $d_{2}(n)+c_{2}(n) \equiv 2 r(n)+n_{0}+n_{1}$, and then the second statement of this lemma is proved.

For a formal quotient $\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} / \prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}$, define $E_{4}\left(3, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} / \prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}\right):=E_{4}(3$, $\left.\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right)-E_{4}\left(3, \prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}\right)$. Let $m r r_{1}(n)$ or $\operatorname{mrr}_{1}\left([n]_{2}\right)$ be the length of the most right run of 1 's in the sequence $[n]_{2}$ starting at $n_{0}$; similarly, $\operatorname{mrr}_{0}(n)$ or $m r r_{0}\left([n]_{2}\right)$ is defined for the most right run of 0 's. For instance, $m r r_{1}(2 n)=0$ for $(2 n)_{0}=0, m r r_{0}(2 n+1)=0$ for $(2 n+1)_{0}=1$, and $m r r_{0}(0)=0$ for $[0]_{2}$ is an empty sequence. We are now ready to compute the congruences of the Catalan numbers modulo 4.

Theorem 2.3. Let $C_{n}$ be the nth Catalan number. First of all, $C_{n} \not \equiv_{4} 3$ for any n. As for other congruences, we have

$$
C_{n} \equiv{ }_{4} \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=2^{a}-1 \text { for some } a \geq 0 \\ 2 & \text { if } n=2^{a}+2^{b}-1 \text { for some } b>a \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We shall first consider the case that $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ is an odd integer. This case occurs if and only if $\alpha(n+1)=\alpha\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right) & =\alpha(2 n!)-2 \alpha(n!) \\
& =2 n-d(n)-2[n-d(n)] \\
& =d(n) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, $\alpha(n+1)$ is equal to $m r r_{0}(n+1)$, which is also equal to $m r r_{1}(n)$; so, we derive that $\alpha(n+1)=d(n)$ if and only if $n=2^{a}-1$ for some integer $a \geq 0$. Because $E_{4}(3, n+1)=E_{4}\left(3,2^{a}\right)=0$, the congruence of this odd $C_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left.C_{n} \equiv_{4}(-1)^{E_{4}\left(3, \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}\right.}\right)=(-1)^{E_{4}(3,(2 n)!)-2 E_{4}(3, n!)}=(-1)^{E_{4}\left(3,\left(2^{a+1}-2\right)!\right)}=1
$$

where the last equality is due to Lemma 2.2 provided that both $r\left(2^{a+1}-2\right)$ and $\left(2^{a+1}-2\right)_{1}$ are the same (they could be both 0 ) and $\left(2^{a+1}-2\right)_{0}=0$. Now the proof of $C_{n} \not \equiv_{4} 3$ and the situation of $C_{n} \equiv{ }_{4} 1$ follows.

The proof will be done after finishing the situation of $C_{n} \equiv_{4} 2$. Congruence 2 happens if and only if $\alpha(n+1)=\alpha\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right)-1=d(n)-1$, while the parity of $E_{4}\left(3, C_{n}\right)$ is irrelevant here, because for $2 \times 3 \equiv_{4} 2$. Notice that $\alpha(n+1)=d(n)-1$ holds if and only if $n=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ for some $b>a \geq 0$, i.e., $[n]_{2}$ is of the form $\langle 1,0,0, \ldots, 0,1,1, \ldots, 1\rangle_{2}$. The whole proof follows.
In Theorem 2.3, we set the second condition as " $n=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$, for some $b>a \geq 0$ ". This unusual form ( $b>a \geq 0$ not $a>b \geq 0$ ) is more convenient for notational purposes in many proofs.
Remark. We use Lemma 2.1 to find $\alpha\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right)$ (see Eq. (3)). Actually there is a well-known formula for $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{k}\right)$ due to Kummer [9], namely it is the number of carries that occurs when [ $n-k]_{2}$ and $[k]_{2}$ are added as binary notations. In the following, Eqs. (6) and (10) can also be derived by Kummer's formula. Part of Theorem 2.3 (as well as Theorem 4.2 given later) is also a previous work of Deutsch and Sagan (see Theorem 2.1 in [4]). They showed a very neat formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(C_{n}\right)=d(n+1)-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Motzkin numbers module 4

Let us define $S_{4}(i, C)=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid C_{k} \equiv_{4} i\right\}$ for $i=0,1,2,3$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers. By Theorem 2.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{4}(1, C)=\left\{2^{a}-1 \mid a \geq 0\right\}, \\
& S_{4}(2, C)=\left\{2^{a}+2^{b}-1 \mid b>a \geq 0\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
& S_{4}(3, C)=\emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the defining-equality of the Motzkin number, $M_{n}=\sum_{k}\binom{n}{2 k} C_{k}$, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \equiv_{4} \sum_{k \in S_{4}(1, C)}\binom{n}{2 k}+2 \sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will analyze the above two summations to verify the conjecture that $M_{n} \equiv_{4} 0$ if and only if $n=(4 i+1) 4^{j+1}-1$ or $n=(4 i+3) 4^{j+1}-2$ for integers $i, j \geq 0$. In short, let us define

$$
f(n):=\sum_{k \in S_{4}(1, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} .
$$

Since the second summation of (5) is even, $M_{n} \equiv_{4} 0$ happens only if $f(n)$ is even. Now we claim the first lemma as follows. This lemma is our stepping stone for solving the even congruences of $M_{n}$.

Lemma 3.1. The summation $f(n):=\sum_{k \in S_{4}(1, C)}\binom{n}{2 k}$ is even if and only if $n=X \cdot 4^{j+1}-\delta$ for $X, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $X$ being odd and $\delta=1$ or 2 .

Proof. We shall apply the Lucas' Theorem [11] that claims $\binom{n}{m} \equiv_{p} \prod_{i}\binom{n_{i}}{m_{i}}$, where $[n]_{p}=$ $\left\langle\ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{p}$ and $[m]_{p}=\left\langle\ldots m_{1} m_{0}\right\rangle_{p}$. Here we take $p=2$ and then $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1$ if and only if $n_{i+1} \geq k_{i}$ for all $i \geq 0$. We have either $k=0$ or that $[k]_{2}$ is a sequence of all 1 's for $k \in S_{4}(1, C)$. Therefore, $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv \equiv_{2} 1$ if and only if $m r r_{1}\left(\left\langle\ldots n_{2} n_{1}\right\rangle_{2}\right)$ is not less than the length of $[k]_{2}$. (Recall $m r r_{1}(\cdot)$ in the paragraph before Theorem 2.3.) And then, no matter what $n_{0}$ is, we have

$$
f(n) \equiv_{2}\left|\left\{k \in S_{4}(1, C) \left\lvert\,\binom{ n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1\right.\right\}\right|=\operatorname{mrr}_{1}\left(\left\langle\ldots n_{2} n_{1}\right\rangle_{2}\right)+1 .
$$

Thus, $f(n)$ is even if and only if $m r r_{1}\left(\left\langle\ldots n_{2} n_{1}\right\rangle_{2}\right)$ is odd. Suppose $m r r_{1}\left(\left\langle\ldots n_{2} n_{1}\right\rangle_{2}\right)=2 j+1$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and we conclude that $f(n)$ is even if and only if $n=X \cdot 4^{j+1}-\delta$ for $X, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $X$ being odd and $\delta=1$ or 2 , where $\delta$ depends on $n_{0}$.

As $X$ is odd, we say $X=4 i+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon=1$ or 3 . Now we narrow down to only four types of $n$ depending on $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$. The layout of the sequence $[n]_{2}=\left[(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta\right]_{2}$ is important for the rest of the paper. From left to right, the sequence $[n]_{2}$ has four parts (four subsequences): $A:=[i]_{2}, B:=\left\langle\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2} 0\right\rangle_{2}, C:=\langle 11 . .1\rangle_{2}$, where $\langle 11 . .1\rangle_{2}$ is of length $2 j+1$, and the single digit $D:=\langle 2-\delta\rangle$.

To investigate the even congruences of $M_{n}$ modulo 4, first we need to see the congruences of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ for those $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}-1$ and we still need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Given $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}-1$ for $a, i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$, we have

$$
\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a \leq 2 j+1 ; \\ 1 & \text { if } a=2 j+2 \text { and } \varepsilon=3 ; \\ 2 & \text { if } i \equiv 21 \text { and either }(\mathrm{i}) a=2 j+2 \text { and } \varepsilon=1 \text { or (ii) } a=2 j+3\end{cases}
$$

otherwise $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 3$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) & =[n-d(n)]-[2 k-d(2 k)]-[(n-2 k)-d(n-2 k)] \\
& =-d(n)+d(k)+d(n-2 k) \\
& =-d(n)+a+d(n-2 k) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We take more efforts on the value of $d(n-2 k)$. Let us observe the change of $A, B$, and $C$ as we subtract $2 k$ from $n$, while the unchanged $D$ is ignored. The discussion is listed as four cases below. This discussion can also be done by Kummer's formula. Notice that $k=2^{a}-1$ means $[k]_{2}$ is an all 1 sequence of length $a$, and so is $C$ whose length is $2 j+1$.
(a) When $a \leq 2 j+1$, there are $a$ digits 1 's in $C$ eliminated by subtracting $2 k$; so $d(n-2 k)=d(n)-a$ and then $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=0$.
(b) When $a=2 j+2$ and $\varepsilon=3$, not only all 1's in $C$ are eliminated, but also $B=\langle 10\rangle_{2}$ turns into $\langle 01\rangle_{2}$. We find $d(n-2 k)=d(n)-a+1$ and then $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=1$.
(c) As for $a=2 j+2$ and $\varepsilon=1$, we must have $i \geq 1$ otherwise $\binom{n}{2 k}=0$ for $n<2 k$. After subtracting $2 k$, all 1's in $C$ are eliminated, $B=\langle 00\rangle_{2}$ becomes $\langle 11\rangle_{2}$. Also $A$ becomes a sequence with at least $d(i)-1$ digit 1 's, while this minimum happens if and only if $i$ is odd. Therefore, if $i$ is odd then $d(n-2 k)=d(n)-a+2$ and $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=2$; if $i$ is even then $d(n-2 k) \geq d(n)-a+3$ and $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 3$.
(d) Finally, let us check the remaining case $a \geq 2 j+3$. After subtracting $2 k$, all 1 's in $C$ are eliminated and $B=\left\langle\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2} 0\right\rangle_{2}$ turns into $\left\langle\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2} 1\right\rangle_{2}$. Also $A$ becomes $\left[i-\left(2^{a-2 j-3}-1\right)-1\right]_{2}=$ $\left[i-2^{a-2 j-3}\right]_{2}$. Notice that $d\left(i-2^{a-2 j-3}\right) \geq d(i)-1$ while the equality holds if and only if $i_{a-2 j-3}=1$. Therefore, we have $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq a-2 j-1$ in this case. We conclude that if $a=2 j+3$ and $i \equiv_{2} 1$ then $d(n-2 k)=d(n)-a+2$ and $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=2$; otherwise $d(n-2 k) \geq d(n)-a+3$ and $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.3. Given $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}-1$ for $a, i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$, we have

$$
\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(-1)^{\chi(a \geq 1) \chi(\delta=2)+\chi(a=2 j+1)} \quad \text { if } a \leq 2 j+1, \\
2 \quad \text { if } a=2 j+2 \text { and } \varepsilon=3 ; \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k \in S_{4}(1, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4} 2(j+\chi(\varepsilon=3))+(-1)^{\chi(\delta=1)}+1 .
$$

Proof. For the first equivalence, the congruences 2 and 0 are direct consequences of Lemma 3.2; so we only need to calculate the nontrivial case when $a \leq 2 j+1$. In this condition,
$\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv{ }_{4}(-1)^{E_{4}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right)}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{4}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \equiv & E_{4}(3, n!)+E_{4}(3,(2 k)!)+E_{4}(3,(n-2 k)!) \\
\equiv & 2[r(n)+(2-\delta)+1]+\left[r(2 k)+0+k_{0}\right] \\
& +\left[r(n-2 k)+(2-\delta)+\left(1-k_{0}\right)\right]  \tag{7}\\
\equiv & r(n)+r(2 k)+r(n-2 k)  \tag{8}\\
\equiv & r(n)+\chi(a \geq 1)+[r(n)+\chi(a \geq 1)(2-\delta)-\chi(a=2 j+1)]  \tag{9}\\
\equiv & 2 \chi(a \geq 1)+\chi(a \geq 1)(2-\delta)+\chi(a=2 j+1) \\
= & \chi(a \geq 1)(3-\delta)+\chi(a=2 j+1) \\
\equiv & 2 \chi(a \geq 1) \chi(\delta=2)+\chi(a=2 j+1),
\end{align*}
$$

as required. Among the above equivalences, (7) is obtained by Lemma 2.2 provided $n_{1}=1$. To derive the three terms inside the brackets of (9), we shall refer to case (a) in the last proof. In that case, $a$ digit 1's are eliminated from $C$. Of course, nothing changes when $a=0$. If $1 \leq a \leq 2 j$ and $\delta=1$, then the most right run of $[n]_{2}$ is bisected. The number of runs remains the same if $a=2 j+1$ and $\delta=1$ or if $1 \leq a \leq 2 j$ and $\delta=2$. As for the last case $a=2 j+1$ and $\delta=2$, the new number of runs becomes $r(n)-1$. We obtain (9) after summarizing these conditions.

Applying the first equivalence of this lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in S_{4}(1, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} & \equiv \equiv_{4} \sum_{a=0}^{2 j+2}\binom{n}{2 \times 2^{a}-2} \\
& \equiv_{4} 1+2 j(-1)^{\chi(\delta=2)}+(-1)^{\chi(\delta=2)+1}+2 \chi(\varepsilon=3) \\
& \equiv_{4} 1+(-1)^{\chi(\delta=1)}+2(j+\chi(\varepsilon=3)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equivalence is obtained by considering $a=0,1 \leq a \leq 2 j, a=2 j+1$, and $a=2 j+2$. The proof is now complete.

Now we shall turn our attention to the necessary and sufficient condition for $\sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv 21$ because the second term of Eq. (5) is $2 \sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k}$.

Lemma 3.4. Given $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ for $a, b, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b>a$, $\varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$, the value $\binom{n}{2 k}$ is odd if only if $a \leq 2 j+1$ and $n_{b+1}=1$. Furthermore, $\sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv 2 j$.
Proof. Similar to Eq. (6), but this time we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=-d(n)+(a+1)+d(n-2 k), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $k=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$. For $d(n-2 k)$, we can consider $n-2 k$ as $n$ subtracted by $2\left(a^{2}-1\right)$ and then subtracted by $2\left(2^{b}\right)$. Before the second subtraction, the evaluation of $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)$ shall be as same as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. We refer to cases (a)-(d) in that proof and also compare (10) with (6); The value of $\alpha$ here is 1 greater than it is in each case there. For the value of $d(n-2 k)$, the effect from the second subtraction is independent of that from the first one. Subtracting $2\left(2^{b}\right)$ can at most reduce the value of $d$ by 1 (sometimes, it can even increase $d$ ), when the extreme case happens if and only if $n_{b+1}=1$. Combining $n_{b+1}=1$ and the case (a) in the proof of Lemma 3.2, which requires $a \leq 2 j+1$, forms the necessary and sufficient condition for $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=0$, as well as for $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1$.

Now for the parity of $\sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k}$, let us refer to the four parts, $A, B, C$ and $D$, of the sequence $[n]_{2}=\left[(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta\right]_{2}$. Given a fixed $a$, we count how many $b$ 's make $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1$. If $a=2 j+1$ then there are $d(i)+\chi(\varepsilon=3)$ such $b$ 's; if $0 \leq a \leq 2 j$ then there are $d(i)+\chi(\varepsilon=3)+(2 j-a)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in S_{4}(2, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} & \equiv_{2} d(i)+\chi(\varepsilon=3)+\sum_{a=0}^{2 j}[d(i)+\chi(\varepsilon=3)+(2 j-a)] \\
& \equiv_{2}(2 j+2)(d(i)+\chi(\varepsilon=3))+\sum_{a=0}^{2 j} a \\
& \equiv_{2} j(2 j+1) \\
& \equiv_{2} j
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof for the first part of Conjecture 1.1. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we can simplify Eq. (5) as $M_{n} \equiv{ }_{4}\left[2(j+\chi(\varepsilon=3))+(-1)^{\chi(\delta=1)}+1\right]+2 j$. We simply plug in the four types of $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ with $\varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$ and then obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{n} \equiv_{4} 0 & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \delta)=(1,1) \text { or }(3,2) ; \\
M_{n} \equiv_{4} 2 & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \delta)=(1,2) \text { or }(3,1) . \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that these four types of $n$ are the necessary and sufficient conditions for $M_{n}$ to be even; so the proof is complete.

We not only prove the first part of Conjecture 1.1, but also Eq. (11) in the above proof offers an auxiliary property as follows.

Theorem 3.5. We have $M_{n} \equiv_{4} 2$ if and only if

$$
n=(4 i+1) 4^{j+1}-2 \quad \text { or } \quad n=(4 i+3) 4^{j+3}-1, \quad \text { where } i, j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

## 4. Factorials and Catalan numbers modulo 8

The process is almost the same. To verify the conjecture that $M_{n} \equiv_{8} 0$ never happens, we need first to take care of the congruences of the factorials and the Catalan numbers modulo 8 . For a formal product $\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}$ of positive integers, we define $E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right):=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi\left(m_{i} / 2^{\alpha\left(m_{i}\right)} \equiv_{8} t\right)$ for $t=3,5,7$. Similarly, we define $E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} / \prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}\right):=$ $E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right)-E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}\right)$. For example, $E_{8}(3,7!)=2$ and $E_{8}(5,7!)=E_{8}(7,7!)=1$; however, $E_{8}(3,5040)=1$ and $E_{8}(5,5040)=E_{8}(7,5040)=0$. The difference between $E_{8}(t, 7!)$ and $E_{8}(t, 5040)$ is due to $5 \times 7 \equiv_{8} 3$. The table for the product rules of $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$, as follows, is useful.

|  | 3 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 |

From this table, we are again interested in the parity of $E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right)$ because $3^{2} \equiv_{8} 5^{2} \equiv_{8} 7^{2} \equiv_{8} 1$.

Suppose $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$. Some new notation helps us to evaluate the parity of $E_{8}\left(t, \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right)$. In the bit sequence $[n]_{2}$, let $r_{1}(n)$ be the number of isolated 1 's, $z r(n)$ the number of runs made by 0 's, $z r_{1}(n)$ the number of isolated 0 's. We compute the parity of $E_{8}(t, n!)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. We have

1. $E_{8}(3, n!) \equiv{ }_{2} r_{1}(n)+z r(n)+n_{0}+n_{2}$,
2. $E_{8}(5, n!) \equiv{ }_{2} r(n)+z r_{1}(n)+n_{0}+n_{2}$, and
3. $E_{8}(7, n!) \equiv{ }_{2} r_{1}(n)+n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}$.

Proof. Suppose $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} n_{r-1} \ldots n_{2} n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$. For $t=3,5,7$, we define $A_{t}:=\left\{[x]_{2} \mid t \leq x \leq\right.$ 7\}, for here $[3]_{2}=\langle 011\rangle_{2}$ not $\langle 11\rangle_{2}$ to make all elements in $A_{t}$ of length 3. The argument for Eqs. (1) and (2) still works here. So we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{8}(t, n!) & =\sum_{i \geq 0}\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right\rfloor+(8-t)}{8}\right\rfloor \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 3}\left(2^{i-2}-1\right) n_{i}+\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi\left(\left|\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle_{2}\right| \geq t\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \equiv_{2} d_{3}(n)+\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle_{2} \in A_{t}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t=3,5,7$. In the second summation of both (12) and (13), the upper limit of index $i$ is $r-1$ or $r-2$, because $n_{r+k}=0$ for $k \geq 1$ and both $\left\langle 00 n_{r}\right\rangle$ and $\langle 000\rangle$ are irrelevant to the counting.

For the further precise evaluation, we need the following equations. They are easy to check and left to the reader. Again $d(n), r(n), r_{1}(n), z r(n), z r_{1}(n)$ are irrelevant to those $n_{r+k}=0$ for $k \geq 1$ for they do not belong to $[n]_{2}$.
(a) $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 011\rangle\right)=r(n)-r_{1}(n)$.
(b) $\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 100\rangle\right)=z r(n)-z r_{1}(n)$.
(c) $\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 101\rangle\right)=z r_{1}(n)-n_{1}\left(1-n_{0}\right)$.
(d) $\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 110\rangle\right)=r(n)-r_{1}(n)-n_{0} n_{1}$.
(e) $\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \chi\left(\left\langle n_{i+2} n_{i+1} n_{i}\right\rangle=\langle 111\rangle\right)=c_{3}(n)=d(n)-2 r(n)+r_{1}(n)$.

By (13) and summing up (c), (d) and (e), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
E_{8}(5, n!) \equiv & \equiv_{2} \\
& d_{3}(n)+\left[z r_{1}(n)-n_{1}\left(1-n_{0}\right)\right]+\left[r(n)-r_{1}(n)-n_{0} n_{1}\right] \\
& +\left[d(n)-2 r(n)+r_{1}(n)\right] \\
\equiv_{2} & r(n)+z r_{1}(n)+n_{0}+n_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

The checking of the other two is left to the reader.
With the help of Lemma 4.1, we can bisect each condition in Theorem 2.3 to form a new conditional equation as a refinement to evaluate $C_{n}$ modulo 8 .

Theorem 4.2. Let $C_{n}$ be the $n$th Catalan number. First of all, $C_{n} \not \equiv_{8} 3$ and $C_{n} \not \equiv_{8} 7$ for any $n$. As for other congruences, we have

$$
C_{n}=\equiv_{8} \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=0 \text { or } 1 ; \\ 2 & \text { if } n=2^{a}+2^{a+1}-1 \text { for some } a \geq 0 ; \\ 4 & \text { if } n=2^{a}+2^{b}+2^{c}-1 \text { for some } c>b>a \geq 0 ; \\ 5 & \text { if } n=2^{a}-1 \text { for some } a \geq 2 ; \\ 6 & \text { if } n=2^{a}+2^{b}-1 \text { for some } b-2 \geq a \geq 0 ; \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $C_{n} \not \equiv_{4} 3$ for any $n$, we have $C_{n} \not \equiv_{8} 3$ and $C_{n} \not \equiv_{8} 7$. Now for the other congruences, by Theorem 2.3, $C_{n} \equiv_{4} 1$ if and only if $n=2^{a}-1$ for $a \geq 0$. Since $C_{0}=C_{1}=1$, we need only to check that if $n=2^{a}-1$ for $a \geq 2$ then $C_{n} \equiv{ }_{8} 5$. Let us apply $E_{8}(t, \cdot)$ on the formal quotient $C_{n}=$ $\binom{2 n}{n} /(n+1)$ with $n=2^{a}-1$ for $a \geq 2$, and obtain $E_{8}\left(t, C_{n}\right)=E_{8}(t,(2 n)!)-2 E_{8}(t, n!)-$ $E_{8}(t, n+1) \equiv 2 E_{8}(t,(2 n)!)$. After deriving $E_{8}\left(3, C_{n}\right) \equiv_{2} E_{8}\left(7, C_{n}\right) \equiv_{2} 0$ and $E_{8}\left(5, C_{n}\right) \equiv 21$ by Lemma 4.1, the cases of congruences 1 and 5 are done.

Now for the cases of congruences 2 and 6 . By Theorem 2.3, we shall suppose $n=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ for $b>a \geq 0$. We need to find out the necessary and sufficient condition for $C_{n} \equiv_{8} 2$. In the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$, we have four possible products to create congruence 2 , but we sort them as two occasions: (i) 2 and $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7$, (ii) $2 \times 5$ and $2 \times 3 \times 7$. For $\alpha\left(C_{2^{a}+2^{b}-1}\right)=1$ we shall consider the possible combination of the parities of $E_{8}(t, n!)$ for $t=3,5,7$. Let $W_{t u}:=E_{8}\left(t, C_{n}\right)+E_{8}\left(u, C_{n}\right)$. Clearly, $\left(W_{35}+1\right)\left(W_{37}+1\right) \equiv_{2} 1$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for the occasion (i). Similarly, $W_{35}\left(W_{37}+1\right) \equiv_{2} 1$ is the condition for the occasion (ii). We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2^{a}+2^{b}-1} \equiv_{8} 2 \Longleftrightarrow W_{37} \equiv_{2} 0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1 and the layout of $\left[2^{a}+2^{b}-1\right]_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{37} & \equiv \equiv_{2}\left[E_{8}(3,(2 n)!)+E_{8}(7,(2 n)!)\right]+\left[E_{8}(3, n+1)+E_{8}(7, n+1)\right] \\
& \equiv \equiv_{2}\left[z r(2 n)+(2 n)_{1}\right]+\left[E_{8}\left(3,2^{b-a}+1\right)+E_{8}\left(7,2^{b-a}+1\right)\right] \\
& \equiv \equiv_{2}[1+\chi(a \geq 1)+\chi(a+2 \leq b)+\chi(a \geq 1)]+[\chi(a+1=b)+\chi(a+2 \leq b)] \\
& \equiv \equiv_{2} 1+\chi(a+1=b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $W_{37} \equiv_{2} 0$ if and only if $a+1=b$, and the proof of this case follows.
It requires $\alpha\left(C_{n}\right)=2$ to get congruence 4 . Referring to the proof of Theorem 2.3, it is the same to require $\alpha(n+1)=d(n)-2$. The checking for the necessary and sufficient condition, $n=2^{a}+2^{b}+2^{c}-1$ for $c>b>a \geq 0$, is left to the reader. The checking can also be done by using Deutsch and Sagan's formula (see (4) of this paper).

## 5. Motzkin numbers modulo 8

Now we are ready to prove that $M_{n} \equiv_{8} 0$ never happens. Actually, we focus on even congruences $0,2,4$, and 6 modulo 8 , and we shall suppose $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$.

We recall that the layout of $\left[(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta\right]_{2}$, from left to right, consists of four subsequences: $A:=[i]_{2}, B:=\left\langle\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2} 0\right\rangle_{2}, C:=\langle 11 \ldots 1\rangle_{2}$ of length $2 j+1$, and the single digit $D:=\langle 2-\delta\rangle_{2}$. In addition, we define $Y:=4 i+\varepsilon-1$ and $y:=d(Y)$. The sequence $[Y]_{2}$ is the combination of the first two parts of $[n]_{2}$, namely $A$ and $B$.

Let $S_{8}(i, C):=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid C_{k} \equiv_{8} i\right\}$ for $i=0, \ldots, 7$. By Theorem 4.2, we know $S_{8}(3, C)=$ $S_{8}(7, C)=\emptyset$ and also the types of elements in the other $S_{8}(i, C)$. For $M_{n}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{n}{2 k} C_{k}$, we have

$$
M_{n} \equiv{ }_{8} A_{1}(n)+2 A_{2}(n)+4 A_{4}(n)+5 A_{5}(n)+6 A_{6}(n),
$$

where $A_{t}(n)=\sum_{k \in S_{8}(t, C)}\binom{n}{2 k}$. The following lemma evaluates $4 A_{4}(n)$. It is easy because we only need to check the parity of the corresponding summation.

Lemma 5.1. Let $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$. Also let $S_{8}(4, C)=\left\{2^{a}+2^{b}+2^{c}-1 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}\right.$ with $\left.c>b>a \geq 0\right\}$. We have

$$
4 A_{4}:=4 \sum_{k \in S_{8}(4, C)}\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{8} 4 j y+4 j .
$$

Proof. Suppose $[n]_{2}=\left\langle n_{r} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}\right\rangle_{2}$. By the Lucas' Theorem, $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1$ if and only if $n_{l+1} \geq$ $k_{l}$ for all $l \geq 0$. Notice that $[k]_{2}$ consists of $a+2$ digit 1's with $\left\langle k_{a} k_{a-1} \ldots k_{1} k_{0}\right\rangle=\langle 01 \ldots 11\rangle$. So $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{2} 1$ means $a \leq 2 j+1$ and $n_{b+1}=n_{c+1}=1$ with $c>b>a \geq 0$. The following is obtained by counting the possible ordered pairs ( $b, c$ ) with respect to a fixed $a \in[0,2 j+1]$, where $y=d(Y)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4}(n) & \equiv \equiv_{2} \sum_{a=0}^{2 j}\left(\binom{y}{2}+y(2 j-a)+\binom{2 j-a}{2}\right)+\binom{y}{2} \\
& \equiv 2 j y+\frac{1}{3} j\left(4 j^{2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We complete this proof after knowing that $\frac{1}{3} j\left(4 j^{2}-1\right) \equiv_{2} j$ and multiplying $A_{4}$ by 4 under modulo 8.

We abuse the notation $W_{s t}$, once used in the proof of Theorem 4.2, and let $W_{s t}:=$ $E_{8}\left(s,\binom{n}{2 k}\right)+E_{8}\left(t,\binom{n}{2 k}\right)$. The congruence of $\binom{n}{2 k} / 2^{\alpha}\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)$ is crucial, and we can use the following properties to evaluate it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{n}{2 k} / 2^{\alpha}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
n k
\end{array}\right)\right) \equiv_{8} 1 \Leftrightarrow\left(W_{35}+1\right)\left(W_{37}+1\right)=W_{35} W_{37}+W_{57}+1 \equiv_{2} 1, \\
& \binom{n}{2 k} / 2^{\alpha\left(\left({ }_{2 k}^{n}\right)\right)} \equiv_{8} 3 \Leftrightarrow W_{35}\left(W_{57}+1\right) \equiv_{2} 1, \quad \text { and } \\
& \binom{n}{2 k} / 2^{\alpha\left(\left({ }_{2 k}^{n}\right)\right)} \equiv_{8} 1 \text { or } 5 \Leftrightarrow W_{37} \equiv_{2} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually (14) was obtained by the last property and the first two properties were discussed in the same proof.

In the following we compute $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$ and $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}$ under modulo 8 . Indeed, we can evaluate $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{5}$, and $A_{6}$ independently, but we pair them up and derive simpler formulas.

Lemma 5.2. We have

$$
A_{1}(n)+5 A_{5}(n) \equiv_{8} \begin{cases}2 j+4 & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { and } \delta=1 \\ 6 j+2 & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { and } \delta=2 \\ 2 j+6 & \text { if } \varepsilon=3 \text { and } \delta=1 \\ 6 j & \text { if } \varepsilon=3 \text { and } \delta=2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We shall look at those $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}-1$. According to the value of $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)$ illustrated in Lemma 3.2, let us group the contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ into three classes as follows, while the case with $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 3$ is ignored because of modulo 8 . In each class, $\binom{n}{2 k}$ contributes to $A_{1}$ when $a=0$ and 1 ; to $A_{5}$ when $a \geq 2$.

Class I. Suppose $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=2$ which is provided by $i \equiv_{2} 1$ (i.e., $i_{0}=1$ ) and either (i) $a=2 j+2$ and $\varepsilon=1$ or (ii) $a=2 j+3$. Both situations make $a \geq 2$, so there is no contribution to $A_{1}$. The total contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ to $A_{5}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 i_{0}(\chi(\varepsilon=1)+1)=4 i_{0} \chi(\varepsilon=3) \quad(\bmod 8), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 4 is due to $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=2$. For $5 \times 4 \equiv_{8} 4$, the same value contributes to $5 A_{5}$ as well as $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$ in this class.

Class II. Suppose $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=0$ which is provided by $a \leq 2 j+1$. We will calculate $W_{s t}$ in this class, because they determine whether $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv{ }_{8} 1,3,5$, or 7 . But first we shall deal with $r_{1}, r$, $z r_{1}$, and $z r$ by plugging in $n-2 k$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{1}(n-2 k)= & r_{1}(n)+\chi(\delta=1) \chi(a \geq 1)-\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=1) \chi(j=0) \\
& +\chi(a=2 j) \chi(j \geq 1), \\
r(n-2 k)= & r(n)+\chi(\delta=1) \chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j) \chi(j \geq 1)-\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=2 j+1), \\
z r_{1}(n-2 k)= & z r_{1}(n)+\chi(\delta=1) \chi(a=1) \chi(j \geq 1)-\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a \geq 1) \\
& -\chi(\varepsilon=3) \chi(a=2 j+1), \\
z r(n-2 k)= & z r(n)+\chi(\delta=1) \chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j) \chi(j \geq 1) \\
& -\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=2 j+1) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Basically, these four formulas are derived by observing the layout of the four subsequences, $A$, $B, C$, and $D$, of $[n]_{2}$ and $[2 k]_{2}=\langle 11 \ldots 10\rangle_{2}$, which is of length $a+1$. As a special case when $a=0$, plugging in $n-2 k$ is as same as plugging in $n$. Also $a=0,1,2 j$ and $2 j+1$ are special in some sense. Notice that $\chi(j \geq 1)$ can actually be removed from the formulas of $r(n-2 k)$ and $z r(n-2 k)$, but it was kept for the convenience of the following calculation.

In general, we define $(f+g)(n):=f(n)+g(n)$ for briefness. With the help of the above four formulas and by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{35} \equiv E_{8}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right)+E_{8}\left(5,\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \\
& \equiv_{2} {\left[\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}+z r\right)(n)-\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}+z r\right)(n-2 k)\right] } \\
&-\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}+z r\right)(2 k) \\
& \equiv_{2} {[\chi(\delta=1) \chi(a=1) \chi(j \geq 1)+\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=1) \chi(j=0)} \\
&+\chi(\varepsilon=3) \chi(a=2 j+1)+\chi(a=2 j) \chi(j \geq 1)+\chi(a \geq 1)]-\chi(a \geq 2) \\
& \equiv_{2} \chi(\delta=1) \chi(a=1) \chi(j=0)+\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=1) \chi(j \geq 1) \\
&+\chi(\varepsilon=3) \chi(a=2 j+1)+\chi(a=2 j) \chi(j \geq 1), \\
& W_{37} \equiv_{2} {[z r(n)-z r(n-2 k)]-z r(2 k)+\left[n_{1}-(2 k)_{1}-(n-2 k)_{1}\right] }  \tag{17}\\
& \equiv_{2} \chi(\delta=1) \chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j) \chi(j \geq 1)+\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=2 j+1)+\chi(a \geq 1), \\
& W_{57} \equiv W_{35}+W_{37} \\
& \equiv 2 \chi(\delta=1) \chi(2 \leq a \leq 2 j) \chi(j \geq 1)+\chi(\delta=2) \chi(a=2 j+1) \chi(j \geq 1) \\
&+\chi(\varepsilon=3) \chi(a=2 j+1)+\chi(a=2 j) \chi(j \geq 1)+\chi(a \geq 2) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1
Contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}(\bmod 8)$ to $A_{1}+5 a_{5}$ in Class II

|  | $j=0$ | $j=0$ | $j=0$ | $j=0$ | $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\varepsilon=1$ | $\varepsilon=1$ | $\varepsilon=3$ | $\varepsilon=3$ | $\varepsilon=1$ | $\varepsilon=1$ | $\varepsilon=3$ | $\varepsilon=3$ |  |
|  | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\#\{a\}$ |
| $a=0^{*}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $a=1^{*}$ | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| $2 \leq a \leq 2 j-1$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 | $2 j-2$ |
| $a=2 j \geq 2$ |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| $a=2 j+1 \geq 3$ |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| $A_{1}^{*}$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |
| $A_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 j+2$ | $6 j+6$ | $2 j+6$ | $6 j+2$ |  |
| $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | $2 j+4$ | $6 j+2$ | $2 j$ | $6 j+6$ |  |

Notice that $n_{1}-(2 k)_{1}-(n-2 k)_{1}=0$ in (17). We can also use $W_{57} \equiv_{2}\left[\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}\right)(n)-\right.$ $\left.\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}\right)(n-2 k)\right]-\left(r_{1}+r+z r_{1}\right)(2 k)+\left[n_{1}-(2 k)_{1}-(n-2 k)_{1}\right]$ to obtain (18).

Respectively, the necessary and sufficient condition for $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv 81,3,5,7$ are the following four values being odd.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{35} W_{37}+W_{57}+1 \equiv 2 \chi(\delta=1)[\chi(j=0, a=1)+\chi(j \geq 2,2 \leq a \leq 2 j-1)] \\
&+\chi(\delta=2)[\chi(j \geq 1, a=1)+\chi(a=2 j+1)(\chi(\varepsilon=3) \\
&+\chi(j \geq 1))]+\chi(a \geq 2)+1, \\
& W_{37}\left(W_{57}+1\right) \equiv_{2} \quad \chi(\delta=1, a=2 j+1)[\chi(j=0)+\chi(\varepsilon=3)] \\
&+\chi(\delta=2, j \geq 1)[\chi(a=2 j)+(a=1)], \\
& W_{35}\left(W_{37}+1\right) \equiv_{2} \chi(\delta=1) \chi(j \geq 1, a=2 j)+\chi(\delta=2) \chi(\varepsilon=3, a=2 j+1), \\
& W_{37}\left(W_{35}+1\right) \equiv_{2} \quad \chi(a \geq 1)+\chi(a=2 j+1)[1+\chi(\delta=1)(\chi(j=0)+\chi(\varepsilon=1))] \\
&+\chi(j \geq 1)[\chi(a=2 j)+\chi(\delta=1,1 \leq a \leq 2 j-1) \\
&+\chi(\delta=2, a=1)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us summarize the above criteria by Table 1 to evaluate $\binom{n}{2 k}$ modulo 8, and also the contribution to $A_{1}, A_{5}$, and $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$. Even though we have to bisect conditions $j=0$ and $j \geq 1$ in this table, the final formulas of $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$ when $j \geq 1$ work for the corresponding special cases when $j=0$.

Class III. Suppose $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=1$ which is provided by $a=2 j+2$ and $\varepsilon=3$. Since $a \geq 2$ there is no contribution to $A_{1}$. The congruences of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ in this class can only be 2 and 6 . For $5 \times 2 \equiv_{8} 2$ and $5 \times 6 \equiv_{8} 6$. The contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ to $A_{5}$ is as same as that to $5 A_{5}$.

Referring to the similar situation in (14), we know $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{8} 2$ if and only if $W_{37} \equiv_{2} 0$. Because $k=2^{2 j+2}-1$ and $\varepsilon=3$, we have

$$
z r(n-2 k)=z r(n)+i_{0}-\chi(\delta=2)
$$

and then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{37} & \equiv{ }_{2}[z r(n)-z r(n-2 k)]-z r(2 k)+\left[n_{1}-(2 k)_{1}-(n-2 k)_{1}\right] \\
& \equiv i_{2}+\chi(\delta=2)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{8} 2$ if (i) $\delta=1$ and $i_{0}=1$ or (ii) $\delta=2$ and $i_{0}=0 ;\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{8} 6$ otherwise. So the total contribution to $A_{5}$ as well as to $A_{1}+5 A_{5}$ in this class is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi(\varepsilon=3)\left[2 \chi\left(\delta=1, i_{0}=1\right)+2 \chi\left(\delta=2, i_{0}=0\right)\right. \\
&\left.+6 \chi\left(\delta=1, i_{0}=0\right)+2 \chi\left(\delta=2, i_{0}=1\right)\right] \\
&=\chi(\delta=1, \varepsilon=3)\left(6+4 i_{0}\right)+\chi(\delta=2, \varepsilon=3)\left(2+4 i_{0}\right)(\bmod 8) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the whole proof is done by summing up the contributions from the above three classes (see (15), the last line of Table 1 and (19)). For example, when $\varepsilon=3$ and $\delta=2$ we have

$$
A_{1}+5 A_{5}=4 i_{0}+(6 j+6)+\left(2+4 i_{0}\right)=6 j .
$$

The checking of the other three is left to the reader.
The next lemma is a preparation for evaluating $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}$.
Lemma 5.3. Given $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ and $k=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ for $a, b, i, j \in \mathbb{N}, b>a, \varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$, we have

$$
\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if (1) } a \leq 2 j+1 \text { and } n_{b+1}=1 \\ 1 & \text { if (2) } a \leq 2 j+1, n_{b+1}=0, \text { and } n_{b+2}=1, \text { or } \\ & \text { (3) } a=2 j+2, n_{b+1}=1, \text { and } \varepsilon=3\end{cases}
$$

otherwise $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 2$. Moreover, given condition (1), we must have $b \neq 2 j+1$ and $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4}(-1)^{E}$ where
(a) $E=\chi(a \geq 1, \delta=2)+\chi(b=2 j)$ if $b \leq 2 j$ and
(b) $E=\chi(a=0)+\chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j, \delta=1)+\chi(a=2 j+1, \delta=2)$ $+\chi\left(\left\langle n_{b+2} n_{b+1} n_{b}\right\rangle=\langle 111\rangle\right.$ or $\left.\langle 010\rangle\right)$ if $b \geq 2 j+2$.

Proof. We skip the proof of the first part, because it is not only similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2 but also a follow-up of cases (a) and (b) of that proof. A precise proof needs the technique used in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 3.4.

As for the second part of the lemma, $b \neq 2 j+1$ is due to the fact that $n_{2 j+2}$ (the right digit of $B$ ) is always 0 . Since $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4}(-1)^{E_{4}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right.}$, now we are going to show that $E_{4}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \equiv_{2} E$ which can be done by using the identity $E_{4}\left(3,\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \equiv_{2} r(n)+r(2 k)+r(n-2 k)$ (see (8)). Clearly, $r(2 k)=1+\chi(a \geq 1)$ because $k=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ and $b>a$. If $b \leq 2 j$, then we have

$$
r(n-2 k)=r(n)+\chi(a \geq 1, \delta=2)+\chi(b \leq 2 j-1) .
$$

If $b \geq 2 j+2$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(n-2 k)= & r(n)+\chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j, \delta=1)-\chi(a=2 j+1, \delta=2) \\
& -\chi\left(\left\langle n_{b+2} n_{b+1} n_{b}\right\rangle=\langle 111\rangle\right)+\chi\left(\left\langle n_{b+2} n_{b+1} n_{b}\right\rangle=\langle 010\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above two formulas can be checked by the layout of $A, B, C$ and $D$. Now simplify $r(n)+r(2 k)+r(n-2 k)$ and our proof follows.

Lemma 5.4. We have $2 A_{2}(n)+6 A_{6}(n)$ equal to

$$
4 y \chi(\varepsilon=3)+[2 j+4 j \chi(\delta=2)]+[4 y(j+\chi(\delta=2))+4 \chi(\varepsilon=3)](\bmod 8)
$$

Table 2
Contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}(\bmod 8)$ to $2 A_{2}+6 a_{6}$ in Subclass II(a)

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & j \geq 1 \\ & \delta=1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & j \geq 1 \\ & \delta=2 \end{aligned}$ | \# $\{(a, b)\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=1$, | $a=0$ * |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $2 \leq b \leq 2 j-1$, | $a=0$, |  | 1 | 1 | $2 j-2$ |
| $2 \leq b \leq 2 j-1$, | $a \geq 1$, | $a+2 \leq b$ | 1 | 3 | $(2 j-3)(j-1)$ |
| $2 \leq b \leq 2 j-1$, | $a \geq 1$, | $a+1=b^{*}$ | 1 | 3 | $2 j-2$ |
| $b=2 j$, | $a=0$ |  | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| $b=2 j$, | $a \geq 1$, | $a+2 \leq b$ | 3 | 1 | $2 j-2$ |
| $b=2 j$, | $a \geq 1$, | $a+1=b^{*}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $A_{2}^{*}$ | $(\bmod 4)$ | $2 j+2$ | $2 j$ |  |
|  | $A_{6}$ | $(\bmod 4)$ | $j+2$ | $3 j$ |  |
|  | $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}$ | $(\bmod 8)$ | $2 j$ | $6 j$ |  |

or

$$
2 A_{2}(n)+6 A_{6}(n) \equiv_{8} \begin{cases}2 j+4 j y & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { and } \delta=1 ; \\ 6 j+4 j y+4 y & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { and } \delta=2 ; \\ 2 j+4 j y+4 y+4 & \text { if } \varepsilon=3 \text { and } \delta=1 ; \\ 6 j+4 j y+4 & \text { if } \varepsilon=3 \text { and } \delta=2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Notice that $S_{8}(2, C) \cup S_{8}(6, C)=\left\{2^{a}+2^{b}-1 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N}, b>a\right\}$. According to the value of $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)$ given in Lemma 5.3, we discuss two classes as follows, while the third case with $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right) \geq 2$ is irrelevant.

Class I. Suppose $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=1$. Because each $\binom{n}{2 k}$ is even, so are $A_{2}(n)$ and $A_{6}(n)$. For $2 A_{2}(n)+6 A_{6}(n) \equiv{ }_{8} 4\left(A_{2}(n) / 2+A_{6}(n) / 2\right)$, we only need to consider the parity of $A_{2}(n) / 2+A_{6}(n) / 2$, which is exactly the number of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ 's involved in this class. The number of those $k$ 's satisfying $a \leq 2 j+1, n_{b+1}=0$, and $n_{b+2}=1$ is

$$
r(Y)-\chi(\varepsilon=3)+\sum_{a=0}^{2 j} r(Y) \equiv_{2} \chi(\varepsilon=3),
$$

because the digit $n_{b+2}=1$ shall be the last 1 in any run of $Y$. But there is an unnecessary counting that happens when $\chi(\varepsilon=3)$ and $a=b=2 j+1$. Given $\varepsilon=3$, the number of those $k$ 's satisfying $a=2 j+2$ and $n_{b+1}=1$ is

$$
(y-1) \chi(\varepsilon=3) .
$$

Therefore, the total contribution to $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}$ in this class is

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 y \chi(\varepsilon=3) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Class II. Suppose $\alpha\left(\binom{n}{2 k}\right)=0$ which is provided by $k=2^{a}+2^{b}-1$ with $a \leq 2 j+1$ and $n_{b+1}=1$. Actually, we shall evaluate $A_{2}$ and $A_{6}$ under modulo 4 not 8 , and then multiply them by 2 and 6 respectively under modulo 8 . According the second part of Lemma 5.3, we deal with the following two subclasses:
(a) Suppose $n_{b+1}=1$ belongs to $C$ which is provided by $a+1 \leq b \leq 2 j$. For $2 j \geq a+1$, we must have $j \geq 1$ in this subclass. Now let us refer to Lemma 5.3 and use Table 2 to evaluate
$\binom{n}{2 k}$, the contribution to $A_{2}, A_{6}(\bmod 4)$, and $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}(\bmod 8)$. In the table, we shall simplify $(2 j-3)(j-1)=2(j-2)(j-1)+j-1 \equiv_{4} j+3$. We conclude that the total contribution of this subclass is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2+4 \chi(\delta=2)) j \chi(j \geq 1) \equiv_{8} 2 j+4 j \chi(\delta=2) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even though $j \geq 1$ is required in this subclass, the value of the above formula is 0 when $j=0$; so the formula also works for $j=0$.
(b) Suppose $n_{b+1}=1$ belongs to $Y$ which is provided by $b \geq 2 j+2$ (not $b \geq 2 j+1$ because $n_{2 j+2}$ is always 0 ). Lemma 5.3 will be used here again.

We shall first deal with $2 A_{2}$. In this subclass, there is only one possible situation when $\binom{n}{2 k}$ contributes to $2 A_{2}$, namely $\varepsilon=3$ and $a+1=b=2 j+2$. The latter one is because $S_{8}(2, C)=\left\{2^{a}+2^{a+1}-1 \mid a \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, a \leq 2 j+1$ and $b \geq 2 j+2$. Now for $n_{2 j+3}=1$ (the left digit of $B$ ), we must have $\varepsilon=3$. In addition if $i \equiv_{2} 0$ then we have $\left\langle n_{2 n+4} n_{2 n+3} n_{2 n+2}\right\rangle=\langle 010\rangle$, and then $E \equiv_{2} \chi(\delta=2)+1$ and $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4} 1+2 \chi(\delta=1)$. Similarly, if $i \equiv \equiv_{2} 1$ then $\binom{n}{2 k} \equiv_{4} 1+2 \chi(\delta=2)$. Thus, the contribution to $2 A_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \chi(\varepsilon=3)\left[\chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 0\right)(1+2 \chi(\delta=1))+\chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 1\right)(1+2 \chi(\delta=2))\right] \\
\equiv_{4} 2 \chi(\varepsilon=3)\left[1+2 \chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 0\right) \chi(\delta=1)+2 \chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 1\right) \chi(\delta=2)\right] . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The required condition $\varepsilon=3$ has already been implanted in this formula.
As for $6 A_{6}$, we analyze $E$ further. Notice that the choices of $a$ and $b$ are independent. This fact is also revealed by corresponding $E$ in Lemma 5.3. Let us bisect $E$ into two terms as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(a):=\chi(a=0)+\chi(1 \leq a \leq 2 j, \delta=1)+\chi(a=2 j+1, \delta=2) \quad \text { and } \\
& V(b):=\chi\left(\left\langle n_{b+2} n_{b+1} n_{b}\right\rangle=\langle 111\rangle \text { or }\langle 010\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we deal with the total contribution of $\binom{n}{2 k}$ to $6 A_{6}$. In the following sum, we assume every $\binom{n}{2 k}$ in this subclass goes to $A_{6}$ for convenience. Of course, this is a false assumption because the only situation causing contribution to $A_{2}$ might happen. Anyway, we will fix this false assumption later.

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1} \sum_{\substack{b \geq 2 j+2 \\
n_{b+1}=1}}\binom{n}{2 k} & =\sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1} \sum_{\substack{b \geq 2 j+2 \\
n_{b+1}=1}}(-1)^{U(a)+V(b)}  \tag{23}\\
& =\sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1}(-1)^{U(a)} \sum_{b \geq 2 j+2} \chi\left(n_{b+1}=1\right)(-1)^{V(b)} \\
& \equiv 4 \sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1}(-1)^{U(a)} \sum_{b \geq 2 j+2} \chi\left(n_{b+1}=1\right)(-1)^{V(b)} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us deal with the two summations (factors) in (24). The first one can be easily evaluated by a table of $(-1)^{U(a)}$. See Table 3. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1}(-1)^{U(a)} \equiv_{4} 2(j+\chi(\delta=2)) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

whether $j \geq 1$ or not.

Table 3
Contribution of $(-1)^{U(a)}$ in Subclass II(b)

|  | $j=0$ | $j=0$ | $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\delta=1$ | $\delta=2$ | $\#\{a\}$ |
| $a=0$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $1 \leq a \leq 2 j$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $a=2 j+1$ | 2 | $2 j$ | $2 j+2$ |  |  |
| $\sum_{a=0}^{2 j+1}(-1)^{U(a)}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |

The second summation is evaluated as follows. Notice that the fact $Y_{0}=0$ will be used several times in the following calculations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{b \geq 2 j+2} \chi\left(n_{b+1}=1\right)(-1)^{V(b)} \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1} \chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l+1} Y_{l} Y_{l-1}\right\rangle=\langle 011\rangle \text { or }\langle 110\rangle\right)-\sum_{l \geq 1} \chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l+1} Y_{l} Y_{l-1}\right\rangle=\langle 111\rangle \text { or }\langle 010\rangle\right) \\
& =2 \sum_{l \geq 1} \chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l+1} Y_{l} Y_{l-1}\right\rangle=\langle 011\rangle \text { or }\langle 110\rangle\right)-y \\
& =2 \sum_{l \geq 1}\left[\chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l+1} Y_{l}\right\rangle=\langle 01\rangle\right)+\chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l} Y_{l-1}\right\rangle=\langle 10\rangle\right)-2 \chi\left(\left\langle Y_{l+1} Y_{l} Y_{l-1}\right\rangle=\langle 010\rangle\right)\right]-y \\
& =2\left[2 r(Y)-2 r_{1}(Y)\right]-y \\
& \equiv_{4}-y . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

By (24)-(26), the contribution to $A_{6}$ is $2 y(j+\chi(\delta=2))(\bmod 4)$ if we assume that every $\binom{n}{2 k}$ contributes to $A_{6}$, and then the contribution to $6 A_{6}$ is $4 y(j+\chi(\delta=2))(\bmod 8)$.

Now refer to (22) and return the extra value caused by the false assumption in the situation that $\varepsilon=3$ and $a+1=b=2 j+2$. Therefore, the real contribution to $2 A_{2}+6 A_{6}$ in Subclass (b) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 y(j+\chi(\delta=2))-(6-2) \chi(\varepsilon=3)\left[1+2 \chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 0\right) \chi(\delta=1)+2 \chi\left(i \equiv_{2} 1\right) \chi(\delta=2)\right] \\
& \quad \equiv_{8} 4 y(j+\chi(\delta=2))+4 \chi(\varepsilon=3) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, sum up the contribution from Class I and Subclasses II(a) and II(b), i.e., (20), (21) and (27), to finish the proof.

With the values obtained from Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4, our final main result is obtained as follows. This result proves that $M_{n} \equiv_{8} 0$ never happens (the second part of Conjecture 1.1).

Theorem 5.5. The nth Motzkin number $M_{n}$ is even if and only if $n=(4 i+\varepsilon) 4^{j+1}-\delta$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon=1,3$ and $\delta=1,2$. Moreover, we have

$$
M_{n} \equiv 8 \begin{cases}4 & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \delta)=(1,1) \text { or }(3,2) \\ 4 y+2 & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \delta)=(1,2) \text { or }(3,1),\end{cases}
$$

where $y$ is the number of digit l's in $[4 i+\varepsilon-1]_{2}$.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Bruce Sagan for his valuable suggestions which led to a great improvement on the earlier version of this paper. S.-P. Eu was partially supported by NSC 95-

2115-M-390-005. S.-C. Liu was partially supported by NSC 95-2115-M-163-002 and Y.-N. Yeh was partially supported by NSC 95-2115-M-001-009.

## References

[1] R. Alter, K. Kubota, Prime and prime power divisibility of Catalan numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 15 (1973) 243-256.
[2] K.S. Davis, W.A. Webb, Lucas' theorem for prime powers, European J. Combin. 11 (1990) 229-233.
[3] K.S. Davis, W.A. Webb, Pascal's triangle modulo 4, Fibonacci Quart. 29 (1991) 79-83.
[4] E. Deutsch, B. Sagan, Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences, J. Number Theory 117 (2006) 191-215.
[5] R. Donaghey, L.W. Shapiro, Motzkin Numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 23 (1977) 291-301.
[6] S.-P. Eu, S.-C. Liu, Y.-N. Yeh, On the congruences of some combinatorial numbers, Stud. Appl. Math. 116 (2006) 135-144.
[7] I.M. Gessel, Some congruences for Apery numbers, J. Number Theory 14 (1982) 362-368.
[8] J.G. Huard, B.K. Spearman, K.S. Williams, Pascal's triangle modulo 4, European J. Combin. 19 (1998) 45-62.
[9] E.E. Kummer, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852) 93-146.
[10] F. Luca, M. Klazar, On integrality and periodicity of the Motzkin numbers, Aequationes Math. 69 (2005) 68-75.
[11] F. Lucas, Sur les congruences des numbres eulériens et des coefficients différentiels des fonctions trigonométriques suivant un modulo premier, Bull. Soc. Math. France 6 (1877-1878) 49-54.
[12] Y. Mimura, Congruence properties of Apery numbers, J. Number Theory 16 (1983) 138-146.
[13] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[14] S. Wolfram, A New Kind of Science, Wolfram Media, Champaign, IL, 2002, pp. 870; 931-932.


[^0]:    E-mail address: liularry@mail.nhcue.edu.tw (S.-C. Liu).

