

Generalized Pattern Avoidance

ANDERS CLAESSON

Recently, Babson and Steingrímsson have introduced generalized permutation patterns that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation. We will consider pattern avoidance for such patterns, and give a complete solution for the number of permutations avoiding any single pattern of length three with exactly one adjacent pair of letters. For eight of these 12 patterns the answer is given by the Bell numbers. For the remaining four the answer is given by the Catalan numbers. We also give some results for the number of permutations avoiding two different patterns. These results relate the permutations in question to Motzkin paths, involutions and non-overlapping partitions. Furthermore, we define a new class of set partitions, called monotone partitions, and show that these partitions are in one-to-one correspondence with non-overlapping partitions.

© 2001 Academic Press

1. Introduction

In the last decade a wealth of articles has been written on the subject of pattern avoidance, also known as the study of 'restricted permutations' and 'permutations with forbidden subsequences'. Classically, a pattern is a permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$, and a permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ avoids σ if there is no subsequence in π whose letters are in the same relative order as the letters of σ . For example, $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ avoids 132 if there is no $1 \le i < j < k \le n$ such that $\pi(i) < \pi(k) < \pi(j)$. In [4] Knuth established that for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_3$, the number of permutations in \mathcal{S}_n avoiding σ equals the nth Catalan number, $C_n = \frac{1}{1+n} \binom{2n}{n}$. One may also consider permutations that are required to avoid several patterns. In [5] Simion and Schmidt gave a complete solution for permutations avoiding any set of patterns of length three. Even patterns of length greater than three have been considered. For instance, West showed in [8] that permutations avoiding both 3142 and 2413 are enumerated by the Schröder numbers, $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n-i}{i} C_{n-i}$.

In [1] Babson and Steingrímsson introduced generalized permutation patterns that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation. The motivation for Babson and Steingrímsson in introducing these patterns was the study of Mahonian statistics, and they showed that essentially all Mahonian permutation statistics in the literature can be written as linear combinations of such patterns. An example of a generalized pattern is (a-cb). An (a-cb)-subword of a permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is a subword $a_i a_j a_{j+1}$, (i < j), such that $a_i < a_{j+1} < a_j$. More generally, a pattern p is a word over the alphabet $a < b < c < d \cdots$ where two adjacent letters may or may not be separated by a dash. The absence of a dash between two adjacent letters in a p indicates that the corresponding letters in a p-subword of a permutation must be adjacent. In addition, the ordering of the letters in the p-subword must match the ordering of the letters in the pattern. This definition, as well as any other definition in this Introduction, will be stated rigorously in Section 2. All classical patterns are generalized patterns where each pair of adjacent letters is separated by a dash. For example, the generalized pattern equivalent to 132 is (a-c-b).

We extend the notion of pattern avoidance by defining that a permutation avoids a (generalized) pattern p if it does not contain any p-subwords. We show that this is a fruitful extension, by establishing connections to other well known combinatorial structures, not previously

shown to be related to pattern avoidance. The main results are given below.

P	$ \mathcal{S}_n(P) $	Description
a-bc	B_n	Partitions of [n]
a-cb	B_n	Partitions of $[n]$
b- ac	C_n	Dyck paths of length 2n
a-bc, $ab-c$	B_n^*	Non-overlapping partitions of [n]
a-bc, $a-cb$	I_n	Involutions in S_n
a-bc, $ac-b$	M_n	Motzkin paths of length <i>n</i>

Here, $S_n(P) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ avoids } p \text{ for all } p \in P\}$, and $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. When proving that $|S_n(a-bc, ab-c)| = B_n^*$ (the nth Bessel number), we first prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\{a-bc, ab-c\}$ -avoiding permutations and monotone partitions. A partition is monotone if its non-singleton blocks can be written in increasing order of their least element and increasing order of their greatest element, simultaneously. This new class of partitions is then shown to be in one-to-one correspondence with non-overlapping partitions.

2. Preliminaries

By an alphabet X we mean a non-empty set. An element of X is called a *letter*. A word over X is a finite sequence of letters from X. We also consider the *empty word*, that is, the word with no letters; it is denoted by ϵ . Let $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ be a word over X. We call |x| := n the *length* of x. A *subword* of x is a word $v = x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$, where $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le n$. A *segment* of x is a word $v = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+k}$. If $x = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+k}$ and $x = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_$

Let $X = A \cup \{-\}$ where A is a linearly ordered alphabet. For each word x let \bar{x} be the word obtained from x by deleting all dashes in x. A word p over X is called a *pattern* if it contains no two consecutive dashes and \bar{p} has no repeated letters. By slight abuse of terminology we refer to the *length of a pattern p* as the length of \bar{p} . If the ith letter in p is a dash precisely when the ith letter in q is a dash, and p and q are order equivalent, then p and q are equivalent. In what follows all patterns will be over the alphabet $\{a, b, c, d, \dots\} \cup \{-\}$ where $a < b < c < d < \cdots$.

Let $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ (so $[0] = \emptyset$). A *permutation* of [n] is a bijection from [n] to [n]. Let S_n be the set of permutations of [n]. We shall usually think of a permutation π as the word $\pi(1)\pi(2)\cdots\pi(n)$ over the alphabet [n]. In particular, $S_0 = \{\epsilon\}$, since there is only one bijection from \emptyset to \emptyset , the empty map. We say that a subword σ of π is a *psubword* if by replacing (possibly empty) segments of π with dashes we can obtain a pattern q equivalent to p such that $\bar{q} = \sigma$. However, all patterns that we will consider will have a dash at the beginning and one at the end. For convenience, we therefore leave them out. For example, (a-bc) is a pattern, and the permutation 491273865 contains three (a-bc)-subwords, namely 127, 138, and 238. A permutation is said to be p-avoiding if it does not contain any p-subwords. Define $S_n(p)$ to be the set of p-avoiding permutations in S_n and, more generally, $S_n(A) = \bigcap_{p \in A} S_n(p)$.

We may think of a pattern p as a permutation statistic, that is, define $p\pi$ as the number of p-subwords in π , thus regarding p as a function from \mathcal{S}_n to \mathbb{N} . For example, (a-bc) 491273865 = 3. In particular, π is p-avoiding if and only if $p\pi = 0$. We say that two permutation statistics stat and stat' are *equidistributed* over $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$, if

$$\sum_{\pi \in A} x^{\operatorname{stat} \pi} = \sum_{\pi \in A} x^{\operatorname{stat}' \pi}.$$

In particular, this definition applies to patterns.

Let $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$. An i such that $a_i > a_{i+1}$ is called a *descent* in π . We denote by des π the number of descents in π . Observe that des can be defined as the pattern (ba), that is, des $\pi = (ba)\pi$. A *left-to-right minimum* of π is an element a_i such that $a_i < a_j$ for every j < i. The number of left-to-right minima is a permutation statistic. Analogously we also define *left-to-right maximum*, *right-to-left minimum*, and *right-to-left maximum*.

In this paper we will relate permutations avoiding a given set of patterns to other better known combinatorial structures. Here follows a brief description of these structures. Two excellent references on combinatorial structures are [6] and [7].

Set partitions. A partition of a set S is a family, $\pi = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k\}$, of pairwise disjoint non-empty subsets of S such that $S = \bigcup_i A_i$. We call A_i a block of π . The total number of partitions of [n] is called a *Bell number* and is denoted B_n . For reference, the first few Bell numbers are

Let S(n, k) be the number of partitions of [n] into k blocks; these numbers are called the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*.

Non-overlapping partitions. Two blocks A and B of a partition π overlap if

$$\min A < \min B < \max A < \max B$$
.

A partition is *non-overlapping* if no pairs of blocks overlap. Thus

$$\pi = \{\{1, 2, 5, 13\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 6, 7\}, \{9\}, \{10, 11, 12\}\}$$

is non-overlapping. A pictorial representation of π is

Let B_n^* be the number of non-overlapping partitions of [n]; this number is called the nth Bessel number [3, p. 423]. The first few Bessel numbers are

We denote by $S^*(n, k)$ the number of non-overlapping partitions of [n] into k blocks.

Involutions. An *involution* is a permutation which is its own inverse. We denote by I_n the number of involutions in S_n . The sequence $\{I_n\}_0^\infty$ starts with

Dyck paths. A Dyck path of length 2n is a lattice path from (0, 0) to (2n, 0) with steps (1, 1) and (1, -1) that never goes below the x-axis. Letting u and d represent the steps (1, 1) and (1, -1), respectively, we code such a path with a word over $\{u, d\}$. For example, the path



is coded by uuduuddd. A $return\ step$ in a Dyck path δ is a d such that $\delta = \alpha u\beta d\gamma$, for some Dyck paths α , β , and γ . A useful observation is that every non-empty Dyck path δ can be uniquely decomposed as $\delta = u\alpha d\beta$, where α and β are Dyck paths. This is the so-called $first\ return\ decomposition$ of δ .

The *n*th Catalan number $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ counts the number of Dyck paths of length 2n. The sequence of Catalan numbers starts with

Motzkin paths. A *Motzkin path* of length n is a lattice path from (0,0) to (n,0) with steps (1,0), (1,1), and (1,-1) that never goes below the x-axis. Letting ℓ , u, and d represent the steps (1,0), (1,1), and (1,-1), respectively, we code such a path with a word over $\{\ell,u,d\}$. For example, the path



is coded by $u\ell\ell ud\ell d\ell$. If δ is a non-empty Motzkin path, then δ can be decomposed as $\delta = \ell \gamma$ or $\delta = u\alpha d\beta$, where α , β and γ are Motzkin paths.

The nth Motzkin number M_n is the number of Motzkin paths of length n. The first few Motzkin numbers are

3. THREE CLASSES OF PATTERNS

Let $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$. Define the *reverse* of π as $\pi^r := a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$, and define the *complement* of π by $\pi^c(i) = n + 1 - \pi(i)$, where $i \in [n]$.

PROPOSITION 1. With respect to being equidistributed, the 12 pattern statistics of length three with one dash fall into the following three classes.

- (i) a-bc, c-ba, ab-c, cb-a.
- (ii) a-cb, c-ab, ba-c, bc-a.
- (iii) b-ac, b-ca, ac-b, ca-b.

PROOF. The bijections $\pi \mapsto \pi^r$, $\pi \mapsto \pi^c$, and $\pi \mapsto (\pi^r)^c$ give the equidistribution part of the result. Calculations show that these three distributions differ pairwise on S_4 .

4. PERMUTATIONS AVOIDING A PATTERN OF CLASS ONE OR TWO

PROPOSITION 2. Partitions of [n] are in one-to-one correspondence with (a-bc)-avoiding permutations in S_n . Hence $|S_n(a-bc)| = B_n$.

FIRST PROOF. Recall that the Bell numbers satisfy $B_0 = 1$, and

$$B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k.$$

We show that $|S_n(a-bc)|$ satisfy the same recursion. Clearly, $S_0(a-bc) = \{\epsilon\}$. For n > 0, let $M = \{2, 3, ..., n + 1\}$, and let S be a k element subset of M. For each (a-bc)-avoiding

permutation σ of S we construct a unique (a-bc)-avoiding permutation π of [n+1]. Let τ be the word obtained by writing the elements of $M \setminus S$ in decreasing order. Define $\pi := \sigma 1\tau$.

Conversely, if $\pi = \sigma 1\tau$ is a given (a-bc)-avoiding permutation of [n+1], where $|\sigma| = k$, then the letters of τ are in decreasing order, and σ is an (a-bc)-avoiding permutation of the k element set $\{2, 3, \ldots, n+1\} \setminus \{i : i \text{ is a letter in } \tau\}$.

SECOND PROOF. Given a partition π of [n], we introduce a standard representation of π by requiring that:

- (a) Each block is written with its least element first, and the rest of the elements of that block are written in decreasing order.
- (b) The blocks are written in decreasing order of their least element, and with dashes separating the blocks.

Define $\widehat{\pi}$ to be the permutation we obtain from π by writing it in standard form and erasing the dashes. We now argue that $\widehat{\pi} := a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ avoids (a-bc). If $a_i < a_{i+1}$, then a_i and a_{i+1} are the first and the second element of some block. By the construction of $\widehat{\pi}$, a_i is a left-to-right minimum, hence there is no $j \in [i-1]$ such that $a_i < a_i$.

Conversely, π can be recovered uniquely from $\widehat{\pi}$ by inserting a dash in $\widehat{\pi}$ preceding each left-to-right minimum, apart from the first letter in $\widehat{\pi}$. Indeed, it easy to see that the partition, π , obtained in this way is written in standard form. Thus $\pi \mapsto \widehat{\pi}$ gives the desired bijection.

Example 1. As an illustration of the map defined in the above proof, let

$$\pi = \{\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{4, 7\}, \{8\}\}.$$

Its standard form is 8-47-296-153. Thus $\hat{\pi} = 847296153$.

PORISM 1. Let $L(\pi)$ be the number of left-to-right minima of π . Then

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-bc)} x^{L(\pi)} = \sum_{k \ge 0} S(n,k) x^k.$$

PROOF. This result follows readily from the second proof of Proposition 2. We give here a different proof, which is based on the fact that the Stirling numbers of the second kind satisfy

$$S(n, k) = S(n - 1, k - 1) + kS(n - 1, k).$$

Let T(n, k) be the number of permutations in $S_n(a-bc)$ with k left-to-right minima. We show that the T(n, k) satisfy the same recursion as the S(n, k).

Let π be an (a-bc)-avoiding permutation of [n-1]. To insert n in π , preserving (a-bc)-avoidance, we can put n in front of π or we can insert n immediately after each left-to-right minimum. Putting n in front of π creates a new left-to-right minimum, while inserting n immediately after a left-to-right minimum does not.

PROPOSITION 3. Partitions of [n] are in one-to-one correspondence with (a-cb)-avoiding permutations in S_n . Hence $|S_n(a-cb)| = B_n$.

PROOF. Let π be a partition of [n]. We introduce a standard representation of π by requiring that:

(a) The elements of a block are written in increasing order.

(b) The blocks are written in decreasing order of their least element, and with dashes separating the blocks.

(Note that this standard representation is different from the one given in the second proof of Proposition 2.) Define $\widehat{\pi}$ to be the permutation we obtain from π by writing it in standard form and erasing the dashes. It easy to see that $\widehat{\pi}$ avoids (a-cb). Conversely, π can be recovered uniquely from $\widehat{\pi}$ by inserting a dash in between each descent in $\widehat{\pi}$.

Example 2. As an illustration of the map defined in the above proof, let

$$\pi = \{\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{4, 7\}, \{8\}\}.$$

Its standard form is 8-47-269-135. Thus $\hat{\pi} = 847269135$.

PORISM 2.

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-cb)} x^{1+\operatorname{des}\pi} = \sum_{k \ge 0} S(n,k) x^k.$$

PROOF. From the proof of Proposition 3 we see that π has k+1 blocks precisely when $\widehat{\pi}$ has k descents.

PROPOSITION 4. Involutions in S_n are in one-to-one correspondence with permutations in S_n that avoid (a-bc) and (a-cb). Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}_n(a-bc, a-cb)| = I_n.$$

PROOF. We give a combinatorial proof using a bijection that is essentially identical to the one given in the second proof of Proposition 2.

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be an involution. Recall that π is an involution if and only if each cycle of π is of length one or two. We now introduce a standard form for writing π in cycle notation by requiring that:

- (a) Each cycle is written with its least element first.
- (b) The cycles are written in decreasing order of their least element.

Define $\widehat{\pi}$ to be the permutation obtained from π by writing it in standard form and erasing the parentheses separating the cycles.

Observe that $\widehat{\pi}$ avoids (a-bc): Assume that $a_i < a_{i+1}$, that is, $(a_i \ a_{i+1})$ is a cycle in π , then a_i is a left-to-right minimum in π . This is guaranteed by the construction of $\widehat{\pi}$. Thus there is no j < i such that $a_j < a_i$.

The permutation $\widehat{\pi}$ also avoids (a-cb): assume that $a_i > a_{i+1}$, then a_{i+1} must be the smallest element of some cycle. Whence a_{i+1} is a left-to-right minimum in $\widehat{\pi}$.

Conversely, if $\widehat{\pi} := a_1 \dots a_n$ is an $\{a-bc, a-cb\}$ -avoiding permutation, then the involution π is given by: $(a_i \ a_{i+1})$ is a cycle in π if and only if $a_i < a_{i+1}$.

Example 3. The involution $\pi = 826543719$ written in standard form is

and hence $\hat{\pi} = 974536218$.

PORISM 3. The number of permutations in $S_n(a-bc, a-cb)$ with n-k-1 descents equals the number of involutions in S_n with n-2k fixed points.

PROOF. Under the bijection $\pi \mapsto \widehat{\pi}$ in the proof of Proposition 4, a cycle of length two in π corresponds to an occurrence of (ab) in $\widehat{\pi}$. Hence, if π has n-2k fixed points, then $\widehat{\pi}$ has n-k-1 descents.

COROLLARY 1.

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,a-cb)} x^{1+\operatorname{des} \pi} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{k} \frac{k!}{2^k} x^{n-k}.$$

PROOF. Let I_n^k denote the number of involutions in S_n with k fixed points. Then Proposition 3 is equivalently stated as

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,a-cb)} x^{1+\operatorname{des}\,\pi} = \sum_{k \ge 0} I_n^{n-2k} x^{n-k}. \tag{1}$$

The result now follows from the well-known and easily derived formula

$$I_n^k = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} \frac{r!}{2^r}, \quad \text{where} \quad r = \frac{n-k}{2},$$

for n - k even, with $I_n^k = 0$ for n - k odd.

DEFINITION 1. Let π be an arbitrary partition whose non-singleton blocks $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ are ordered so that for all $i \in [k-1]$, $\min A_i > \min A_{i+1}$. If $\max A_i > \max A_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [k-1]$, then we call π a monotone partition. The set of monotone partitions of [n] is denoted by \mathcal{M}_n .

Example 4. The partition

is monotone.

PROPOSITION 5. Monotone partitions of [n] are in one-to-one correspondence with permutations in S_n that avoid (a-bc) and (ab-c). Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ab-c)| = |\mathcal{M}_n|.$$

PROOF. Given π in \mathcal{M}_n , let $A_1 - A_2 - \cdots - A_k$ be the result of writing π in the standard form given in the second proof of Proposition 2, and let $\widehat{\pi} = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k$. By the construction of $\widehat{\pi}$ the first letter in each A_i is a left-to-right minimum. Furthermore, since π is monotone the second letter in each non-singleton A_i is a right-to-left maximum. Therefore, if xy is an (ab)-subword of $\widehat{\pi}$, then x is a left-to-right minimum and y is a right-to-left maximum. Thus $\widehat{\pi}$ avoids both (a-bc) and (ab-c).

Conversely, given $\widehat{\pi}$ in $S_n(a-bc,ab-c)$, let $A_1-A_2-\cdots-A_k$ be the result of inserting a dash in $\widehat{\pi}$ preceding each left-to-right minimum, apart from the first letter in $\widehat{\pi}$. Since $\widehat{\pi}$ is (ab-c)-avoiding, the second letter in each non-singleton A_i is a right-to-left maximum. The second letter in A_i is the maximal element of A_i when A_i is viewed as a set. Thus $\pi = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k\}$ is monotone.

We now show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between monotone partitions and non-overlapping partitions. The proof we give is strongly influenced by the paper [3], in which Flajolet showed that the ordinary generating function of the Bessel numbers admits a nice continued fraction expansion

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} B_n^* x^n = \frac{1}{1 - 1 \cdot x - \frac{x^2}{1 - 2 \cdot x - \frac{x^2}{1 - 3 \cdot x - \frac{x^2}{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}}}},$$

and using that as a starting point he derived the asymptotic formula

$$B_n^* \sim \sum_{k>0} \frac{k^{n+2}}{(k!)^2}.$$

PROPOSITION 6. Monotone partitions of [n] are in one-to-one correspondence with non-overlapping partitions of [n]. Hence $|\mathcal{M}_n| = B_n^*$.

PROOF. Let π be a non-overlapping partition of [n]. From π we will create a new partition by successively inserting $1, 2, \ldots, n$, in this order, into this new partition. During this process a block is labelled as either *open* or *closed*. More formally, in each step $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ in this process we will have a partition σ of [k] together with a function from σ to the set of labels {open, closed}. Before we start we also need a labelling of the blocks of π . Actually we need n such labellings, one for each $k \in [n]$: at step k a block B of π is labelled open if max B > k and closed otherwise. For ease of language, we say that a block is open if it is labelled open, and closed if it is labelled closed.

- (a) If k is the minimal element of a non-singleton block of π , then create a new block $\{k\}$ and label it open.
- (b) If k is the maximal element of a non-singleton block of π , then insert k into the open block with the smallest minimal element, and label it closed.
- (c) If k belongs to a non-singleton block B of π and is not the minimal or the maximal element of B, and B has the ith largest minimal element of the open blocks of π , then insert k into the open block with the ith largest minimal element.
- (d) If $\{k\}$ is a block of π , then create a new block $\{k\}$ and label it closed.

Define $\Phi(\pi)$ as the partition obtained from π by applying the above process. Observe that $\Phi(\pi)$ is monotone. Indeed, the two crucial observations are: (i) in (b) we label the open block with the smallest minimum closed, and (ii) a block labelled closed has received all its elements.

Conversely, we give a map Ψ that to each monotone partition π of [n] gives a unique non-overlapping partition $\Psi(\pi)$ of [n]. Define Ψ the same way as Φ is defined, except for case (c), where we instead of inserting k into the block labelled open with the *smallest* minimal element, insert k into the block labelled open with the *largest* minimal element. It is easy to see that Φ and Ψ are each others inverses and hence they are bijections.

COROLLARY 2. The non-overlapping partitions of [n] are in one-to-one correspondence with permutations in S_n that avoid (a-bc) and (ab-c). Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ab-c)| = B_n^*.$$

PROOF. Follows immediately from Proposition 5 together with Proposition 6.

Example 5. By the proof of Proposition 6, the non-overlapping partition

corresponds to the monotone partition

that according to the proof of Proposition 5 corresponds to the $\{a-bc, ab-c\}$ -avoiding permutation

$$\widehat{\Phi(\pi)} = 10 \ 13 \ 11 \ 9 \ 4 \ 12 \ 6 \ 3 \ 8 \ 1 \ 7 \ 5 \ 2.$$

PORISM 4. Let $L(\pi)$ be the number of left-to-right minima of π . Then

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ab-c)} x^{L(\pi)} = \sum_{k \ge 0} S^*(n,k) x^k.$$

PROOF. Under the bijection $\pi \mapsto \widehat{\pi}$ in the proof of Proposition 5, the number of blocks in π determines the number of left-to-right minima of $\widehat{\pi}$, and vice versa. The number of blocks is not changed by the bijection Ψ in the proof of Proposition 6.

5. PERMUTATIONS AVOIDING A PATTERN OF CLASS THREE

In [4] Knuth observed that there is a one-to-one correspondence between (b-a-c)-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths. For completeness and future reference we give this result as a lemma, and prove it using a bijection which rests on the first return decomposition of Dyck paths. First we need a definition. For each word $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ without repeated letters, we define the *projection* of x onto S_n , which we denote $\operatorname{proj}(x)$, by

$$\text{proj}(x) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$$
, where $a_i = |\{j \in [n] : x_i \le x_i\}|$.

Equivalently, proj(x) is the permutation in S_n which is order equivalent to x. For example, proj(265) = 132.

LEMMA 1.
$$|S_n(b-a-c)| = C_n$$
.

PROOF. Let $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ be a permutation of [n] such that $a_k = 1$. Then π is (b-a-c)-avoiding if and only if $\pi = \sigma 1\tau$, where $\sigma := a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}$ is a (b-a-c)-avoiding permutation of $\{n, n-1, \ldots, n-k+1\}$, and $\tau := a_{k+1} \cdots a_n$ is a (b-a-c)-avoiding permutation of $\{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$.

We define recursively a mapping Φ from $S_n(b-a-c)$ onto the set of Dyck paths of length 2n. If π is the empty word, then so is the Dyck path determined by π , that is, $\Phi(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. If $\pi \neq \epsilon$, then we can use the factorization $\pi = \sigma 1\tau$ from above, and define $\Phi(\pi) = u$ ($\Phi \circ \text{proj}$)(σ) σ) σ (σ) is easy to see that σ may be inverted, and hence is a bijection. σ

LEMMA 2. A permutation avoids (b-ac) if and only if it avoids (b-a-c).

PROOF. The sufficiency part of the proposition is trivial. The necessity part is not difficult either. Assume that π contains a (b-a-c)-subword. Then there is a segment $Bm_1\cdots m_r$ of π , where, for some j < r, $m_j < B$ and $m_r > B$. Now choose the largest i such that $m_i < B$, then $m_{i+1} > B$.

PROPOSITION 7. Dyck paths of length 2n are in one-to-one correspondence with (b-ac)-avoiding permutations in S_n . Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}_n(b-ac)| = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.$$

PROOF. Follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 2.

PROPOSITION 8. Let $L(\pi)$ be the number of left-to-right minima of π . Then

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(b-ac)} x^{L(\pi)} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n} x^k.$$

PROOF. Let $R(\delta)$ denote the number of return steps in the Dyck path δ . It is well known (see [2]) that the distribution of R over all Dyck paths of length 2n is the distribution we claim that L has over $S_n(b-ac)$.

Let γ be a Dyck path of length 2n, and let $\gamma = u\alpha d\beta$ be its first return decomposition. Then $R(\gamma) = 1 + R(\beta)$. Let $\pi \in S_n(b-ac)$, and let $\pi = \sigma 1\tau$ be the decomposition given in the proof of Lemma 1. Then $L(\pi) = 1 + L(\sigma)$. The result now follows by induction.

In addition, it is easy to deduce that left-to-right minima, left-to-right maxima, right-to-left minima, and right-to-left maxima all share the same distribution over $S_n(b-ac)$.

PROPOSITION 9. Motzkin paths of length n are in one-to-one correspondence with permutations in S_n that avoid (a-bc) and (ac-b). Hence

$$|\mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ac-b)|=M_n.$$

PROOF. We mimic the proof of Lemma 1. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ac-b)$. Since π avoids (ac-b) it also avoids (a-c-b) by Lemma 2 via $\pi \mapsto (\pi^c)^r$. Thus we may write $\pi = \sigma n\tau$, where $\pi(k) = n$, σ is an $\{a-bc,ac-b\}$ -avoiding permutation of $\{n-1,n-2,\ldots,n-k+1\}$, and τ is an $\{a-bc,ac-b\}$ -avoiding permutation of [n-k]. If $\sigma \neq \epsilon$ then $\sigma = \sigma'r$ where r = n-k+1, or else an (a-bc)-subword would be formed with n as the 'c' in (a-bc). Define a map Φ from $\mathcal{S}_n(a-bc,ac-b)$ to the set of Motzkin paths by $\Phi(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ and

$$\Phi(\pi) = \begin{cases} \ell \ (\Phi \circ \operatorname{proj})(\sigma) & \text{if } \pi = n\sigma, \\ u \ (\Phi \circ \operatorname{proj})(\sigma) \ d \ \Phi(\tau) & \text{if } \pi = \sigma r n \tau \text{ and } r = n - k + 1. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to find the inverse of Φ .

EXAMPLE 6. Let us find the Motzkin path associated with the $\{a-bc, ac-b\}$ -avoiding permutation 76453281.

```
\Phi(76453281) = u\Phi(54231)d\Phi(1)
= u\ell\Phi(4231)d\ell
= u\ell\ell\Phi(231)d\ell
= u\ell\ell ud\Phi(1)d\ell
= u\ell\ell ud\ell d\ell
```

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am greatly indebted to my advisor Einar Steingrímsson, who put his trust in me and gave me the opportunity to study mathematics at a postgraduate level. This work has benefited from his knowledge, enthusiasm and generosity.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Babson and E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation patterns and a classification of the Mahonian statistics, *Sémin. Lotharingien Comb.*, **B44b** (2000), 18.
- 2. E. Deutsch, Dyck path enumeration, Discrete Math., 204 (1999), 167–202.
- 3. P. Flajolet and R. Schott, Non-overlapping partitions, continued fractions, Bessel functions and a divergent series, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **11** (1990), 421–432.
- 4. D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1973.
- 5. R. Simion and F. W. Schmidt, Restricted permutations, Europ. J. Combinatorics, 6 (1985), 383-406.
- 6. N. J. A. Sloane and S. Plouffe, *The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1995, http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/.
- 7. R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- 8. J. West, Generating trees and the Catalan and Schröder numbers, *Discrete Math.*, **146** (1995), 247–262.

Received 25 November 2000 in revised form 5 April 2001

Anders Claesson

Matematik,

Chalmers tekniska högskola och Göteborgs universitet,

S-412 96 Göteborg,

Sweden

F-mail: claesson@math.chalmers.se