



The Coefficients of the Reciprocal of a Bessel Function

L. Carlitz

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 15, No. 2 (Apr., 1964),
318-320.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28196404%2915%3A2%3C318%3ATCOTRO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-J>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE RECIPROCAL OF A BESSEL FUNCTION¹

L. CARLITZ

Put

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n! n!} \right\}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n x^n}{n! n!}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(1) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r}^2 \omega_r = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ 0 & (n > 0). \end{cases}$$

In a letter to the author, J. Riordan has raised the question whether the ω_n can satisfy a recurrence of order independent of n . We shall show that the ω_n cannot satisfy a recurrence order k , where k is independent of n , with polynomial coefficients. More precisely we show that the assumption

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k A_j(n) \omega_{n+j} = 0 \quad (n > N),$$

where the $A_j(n)$ are polynomials in n with complex coefficients and k, N are fixed, leads to a contradiction.

Since it is no more difficult, we consider the following more general problem. Put

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)} \right\}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{\nu + n}{r} \binom{\nu + n}{n - r} \omega_r(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ 0 & (n > 0). \end{cases}$$

We assume that ν is not a negative integer; then it is clear that the $\omega_n(\nu)$ are uniquely determined by (3) or (4).

Now assume that the $\omega_n(\nu)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k A_j(n, \nu) \omega_{n+j}(\nu) = 0$$

Received by the editors January 22, 1963.

¹ Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant G-16485.

for all $n > N$, where the $A_j(n, \nu)$ are polynomials in n with complex coefficients and k, N are fixed. Put

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)},$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)}.$$

Now if $P(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial with constant coefficients, it is evident that

$$P(xD)g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) \frac{\omega_n(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)},$$

where $D = d/dx$; moreover since

$$D^j g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{n+j}(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + j + 1)},$$

it follows that

$$(6) \quad P(xD) \cdot D^j g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(n)}{(\nu + n + 1)_j} \frac{\omega_{n+j}(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)}.$$

If we multiply both sides of (5) by

$$\frac{x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)}$$

and sum over all $n > N$ we get

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_j(n, \nu) \frac{\omega_{n+j}(\nu) x^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)} = C(x),$$

where $C(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree $\leq N$. Repeated differentiation of (7) leads to an equation of the same kind in which the right member vanishes.

Comparison of (7) with (6) shows that $g(x)$ satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(x, \nu) D^{m-j} g(x) = 0,$$

where the $B_j(x, \nu)$ are polynomials in x . The order m depends upon the degree of the $A_j(n, \nu)$. We may assume that

$$(9) \quad B_0(x, \nu) \neq 0.$$

In the next place since $g(x) = 1/f(x)$, we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} g'(x) &= -\frac{f'(x)}{f^2(x)}, & g''(x) &= -\frac{f''(x)}{f^2(x)} + \frac{2(f'(x))^2}{f^3(x)}, \\ g'''(x) &= -\frac{f'''(x)}{f^2(x)} + 6\frac{f'(x)f''(x)}{f^3(x)} - 6\frac{(f'(x))^3}{f^4(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Making use of (10) we may replace (8) by a differential equation in $f(x)$.

For simplicity we shall assume $m = 3$; the method is however quite general. We find that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &B_0\{-f^2(x)f'''(x) + 6f(x)f'(x)f''(x) - 6(f'(x))^3\} \\ &+ B_1\{-f^2(x)f''(x) + 2f(x)(f'(x))^2\} - B_2f^2(x)f'(x) + B_3f^3(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_j = B_j(x, \nu)$. Now, on the other hand, we have

$$xf''(x) + (\nu + 1)f'(x) + f(x) = 0,$$

so that

$$xf'''(x) + (\nu + 2)f''(x) + f'(x) = 0.$$

We may eliminate $f''(x)$ and $f'''(x)$ in (11); there results an equation of the form

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} &C_0(x, \nu)(f'(x))^3 + C_1(x, \nu)(f'(x))^2f(x) \\ &+ C_2(x, \nu)f'(x)f^2(x) + C_3(x, \nu)f^3(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_j(x, \nu)$ are polynomials in x . Moreover, by (9) $C_0(x, \nu) = -6B_0(x, \nu) \neq 0$.

It therefore follows from (12) that $f'(x)/f(x)$ is an algebraic function of x . However, since $f(x)$ has infinitely many zeros, it follows that the logarithmic derivative $f'(x)/f(x)$ has infinitely many poles and therefore cannot be an algebraic function.

We have proved the following

THEOREM. *Let ν be an arbitrary complex number not equal to a negative integer and define $\omega_n(\nu)$ by means of (3). Then $\omega_n(\nu)$ cannot satisfy a recurrence*

$$\sum_{j=0}^k A_j(n, \nu)\omega_{n+j}(x) = 0 \quad (n > N),$$

where the $A_j(n, \nu)$ are polynomials in n with complex coefficients and k, N are fixed.