# Algebraic $K$-Theory and Zeta Functions of Elliptic 

 CurvesS. Bloch*

The classical regulator formula [6, Chapter 5]

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1}(s-1) \zeta_{K}(s)=\frac{2^{r_{1}+r_{2}} \pi^{r_{2}} R h}{\sqrt{|D|} \cdot w}
$$

computes the residue of the zeta function of a number field at $s=1$. Various generalizations have been proposed [19], [2], [20]. Lichtenbaum, noting that $h=\# K_{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ and $m=\# K_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)_{\text {tors }}$ suggested a formula relating $\zeta_{K}(m+1)$ to $\# K_{2 m}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$, $\# K_{2 m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{R}\right)$ and a higher regulator $R_{m}$. Borel [4], [5], studied a regulator map

$$
r_{2 m+1}: K_{2 m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{d_{m}}
$$

where

$$
d_{m}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
r_{2}, & m=2 n+1>0 \\
r_{1}+r_{2}, & m=2 n>0 \\
r_{1}+r_{2}-1, & m=0
\end{array}\right\}=\text { order of zero of } \zeta_{K} \text { at } s=-m .
$$

He showed that $r_{2 m+1}$ embedded $K_{2 m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\right) /$ torsion as a lattice of maximal rank with volume a rational multiple of

In another direction, let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a number field $K$, and let $L(E / K, s)$ be the "Hasse-Weil zeta function". Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

[^0]have conjectured [2], [20], [21] that $L(E / K, s)$ should vanish to order $\operatorname{rk} E(K)$ at $s=1$ and they have proposed a regulator formula for the first nonvanishing coefficient in the Taylor expansion.

One can envision an amalgated sum of conjectures:


Oddly enough, the fireworks at the top may be easier to deal with than the right hand side. I will sketch the construction of a regulator map $R_{q}: K_{2}(E) \rightarrow C\left(q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}\right)$, and relate in special cases the values of $R_{q}$ with $L(E / K, 2)$. As an example, consider $E / \boldsymbol{Q}$ with complex multiplication by the full ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{k}=\boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{Z} \tau$, $k$ imaginary quadratic. Assume for simplicity the conductor $N$ of $E$ lies in $\boldsymbol{Z}$. Let $\chi^{\text {Gross }}$ denote the Grössencharakter associated to $E$ so $L(E / Q, s)=L\left(\chi^{\text {Gross }}, s\right)$, and let $\chi$ be the corresponding Dirichlet character on $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ with conductor $N$, so $\chi^{\text {Gross }}(\mathfrak{p})=\bar{h} \chi(h)$ if $\mathfrak{p}=(h)$. Write

$$
\hat{\chi}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{a, b=0}^{N-1} \chi(a+b \tau) e^{2 \pi i b / N}
$$

Theorem. There exists $U \in K_{2}(E)$ such that

$$
L\left(\chi^{\mathrm{Gross}}, 2\right)=\frac{\pi\left|\mu_{k}\right| \hat{\chi}}{i(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{2} N^{4}} R_{q}(U)
$$

(More generally, if $N \in k, \hat{\chi}$ is replaced by a more complicated character sum.)
For any $E$ over a number field $K$, one might
CONJECTURE. rk $K_{2}(E)=$ order of zero of $L(E / K, s)$ at $s=0$.
The conjecture, of course, presumes analytic continuation of $L(E / K, s)$.
Remark. There is a basic analogy between $K_{i+1}(k)$ and $K_{i}(E)$ where $k$ is a field and $E$ is an elliptic curve. In fact, $K_{i+1}(k)$ is contained in the relative $K$-group $K_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1},\{0, \infty\}\right.$ ) which can be thought of (perhaps not too literally as I do not know if excision holds in this case) either as $K_{i}$ of the degenerate nodal elliptic curve or as " $K_{i}$ with compact supports" of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. To use this dictionary to formulate a Birch-Lichtenbaum-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is perhaps premature. A more accessible problem might be to formulate and prove an analogue for $K_{1}(E)$ of the exact sequence due to Moore

$$
K_{2}(k) \rightarrow \underset{\text { places of } k}{I I_{k_{v}}} \mu_{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $C, A$ an abelian group. Given divisors $\delta=\sum n_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)$ and $\delta^{\prime}=\sum m_{j}\left(b_{j}\right)$ on $E$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{-} * \delta^{\prime}=\sum n_{i} m_{j}\left(b_{j}-a_{i}\right), \\
& \boldsymbol{C}(E)^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{C}(E)^{*} \rightarrow \underset{x \in E}{I I} Z, f \otimes g \mapsto(f)^{-} *(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A set theoretic function $D: E \rightarrow A$ extends to $D: I_{x \in E} Z \rightarrow A, D$ is a Steinberg function if $D\left((f)^{-} *(1-f)\right)=0$ for any $f \in \boldsymbol{C}(E)^{*}, f \neq 0,1$. A Steinberg function induces a map $K_{2}(C(E)) \rightarrow A$.

Let $R$ be the semilocal ring at $\{0, \infty\}$ on $P_{C}^{1}, I \subset R$ the radical. Using the group structure on $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}-\{0, \infty\}$ one defines $(f)^{-} *(g) \in I_{x \in C^{*}} \mathbf{Z}$ for $f \in 1+I, g \in \boldsymbol{C}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right)^{*}$. A function $D: C^{*} \rightarrow A$ is a relative Steinberg function if $D\left((f)^{-} *(1-f)\right)=0$ for $f \in 1+I$. Using work of Keune [10] one shows that a relative Steinberg symbol induces a map $K_{2}(R, I) \rightarrow A$.

The key transcendental object is the single valued(!) function

$$
D(x)=\log |x| \cdot \arg (1-x)-\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{x} \log (1-t) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

first discovered by D. Wigner. The functional properties of $D(x)$ seem unbelievably rich: (i) and (ii) below are joint work with Wigner)

Thborbm. (i) $D(x)=-D\left(x^{-1}\right)=-D(1-x)=-D(\bar{x}) . \quad D(0)=D(1)=D(\infty)=0$.
(ii) For $g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$, let $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(C) / B \cong P_{C}^{1}$. Let $\left\{\bar{g}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{g}_{4}\right\}$ denote the cross ratio. Then $D\left(\left\{\bar{g}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{g}_{4}\right\}\right)$ is a measurable 3 -cocycle on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$. If $V\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{g}_{4}\right)$ denotes the volume of the geodesic tetrahedron in the Poincaré upper half space with vertices $\bar{g}_{i} \in \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ lying at $\infty$, then $D\left(\left\{\bar{g}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{g}_{4}\right\}\right)= \pm \frac{2}{3} V\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{g}_{4}\right)$.
(iii) $D(x)$ is a relative Steinberg function and so induces

$$
D: K_{3}(C) \rightarrow K_{2}\left(P_{C}^{1},\{0, \infty\}\right) \rightarrow K_{2}(R, I) \rightarrow R .
$$

(iv) Write $E=C^{*} \mid q^{Z}$ with $|q|<1$. The series

$$
D_{q}(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D\left(x q^{n}\right)
$$

converges. $D_{q}$ is a continuous Steinberg function on $E$ and induces a map $K_{2}(E) \rightarrow$ $K_{2}(C(E)) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$.

Given a number field $k$ and an embedding $\sigma: k \rightarrow C$ one gets $D_{\sigma}: K_{3}(k) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$. One builds in this way the Borel regulator for $K_{3}$. The function $J(x)=$ $\log |x| \cdot \log |1-x|$ is also a relative Steinberg function, although the map on $K_{2}(R, I)$ factors

$$
K_{2}(R, I) \xrightarrow{\text { tame }} \prod_{x \in C^{*}} C^{*} \xrightarrow{\log \|\cdot \log \mid\|} R
$$

and hence is trivial on $K_{3}(C)$.

In the elliptic case define

$$
J_{q}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J\left(x q^{n}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J\left(x^{-1} q^{n}\right)
$$

Given divisors $(f)=\sum n_{i}\left(a_{i}\right),(g)=\sum m_{j}\left(b_{j}\right)$ on $E$ we can choose lifting $(\tilde{f})=$ $\sum n_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right),(\tilde{g})=\sum m_{j}\left(\beta_{j}\right)$ to divisors on $C^{*}$ such that $\sum n_{i}=\sum m_{j}=0$, $\sum_{\alpha_{i}}^{n_{i}}=\sum_{\beta_{j}}^{m_{j}}=1$.

Theorem. The expression

$$
J_{q}\{f, g\}=J_{q}\left((\tilde{f})^{-} *(\tilde{g})\right)=\sum n_{i} m_{j} J_{q}\left(\alpha_{i}^{-1} \beta_{j}\right)
$$

is well defined independent of the choices. Moreover,

$$
J_{q}\{f, 1-f\}=0
$$

so there is an induced map $J_{q}: K_{2}(C(E)) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$.
Define, finally

$$
R_{q}=J_{q}+i D_{q}: K_{2}(E) \rightarrow K_{2}(C(E)) \rightarrow C
$$

Assume now $E$ defined over an arbitrary field $k$ of characteristic 0 . Recall that the sequence

$$
K_{2}(E) \rightarrow K_{2}(k(E)) \underset{\substack{\text { tame } \\ \text { symbol }}}{ } \prod_{x \in E} k(x)^{*}
$$

is exact. To study the image of $R_{q}$ we construct elements in Ker (tame) as follows: let $f, g$ be functions on $E$ and assume the divisors $(f),(g)$ are supported on the points of order $N$ of $E$. Assume for simplicity these points of order $N$ are defined over $k$. Then there exist $c_{i} \in k^{*}, f_{i} \in k(E)^{*}$ such that

$$
S_{f, g}=\{f, g\}^{N} \cdot \prod_{i}\left\{f_{i}, c_{i}\right\} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\text { tame })
$$

$R_{q}$ is trivial on symbols with one entry constant, so when $k \longrightarrow C, R_{q}\left(S_{f, g}\right)$ is well defined. Let $\varrho$ have a pole of order 1 at every $x \in E_{N}, x \neq 0$, and a zero of order $N^{2}-1$ at 0 . Let $x \in E_{N}$ and let $f_{x}$ have a zero of order $N$ at $x$ and a pole of order $N$ at 0 . Define $S_{x}=S_{\rho, f_{x}}$. If, for example, $\boldsymbol{E}=\boldsymbol{C} / \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{Z} \tau$, one finds

$$
R_{q}\left(S_{(a+b \tau) / N}\right)=\frac{(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{2} N^{3}}{\pi} \sum_{m, n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (2 \pi((a n-b m) / N))}{(m+n \tau)^{2}(m+n \bar{\tau})}
$$

Remarks. (i) The $S_{f, g}$ are analogous to cyclotomic units. They are available when certain torsion points of the curve are rational over $k$. I do not expect they generate Ker (tame) in general.
(ii) The techniques discussed in this report are ad hoc. One could try to give a general regulator construction by interpreting the higher $K$-groups of a variety
as relative algebraic cycles, e.g. $K_{1}(C) \cong$ picard variety of $P_{C}^{1}$ relative to $\{0, \infty\}$. The Akel-Jacoby map would associate to these cycle points in a relative Griffiths intermediate jacobian. Factoring out by the maximal compact subgroup of this torus yields invariants in a real vector space which frequently inherits a complex structure from Hodge theory.
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