

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laa



# Riordan arrays and the *LDU* decomposition of symmetric Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices<sup>☆</sup>

P. Barry a,\*, A. Hennessy b

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 January 2012 Accepted 17 April 2012 Available online 11 May 2012

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

AMS classification:

15A30

15A15

40C05

Keywords: Toeplitz-plus-Hankel Riordan array LDU decomposition

15/15

### ABSTRACT

We examine a result of Basor and Ehrhardt concerning Hankel and Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices, within the context of the Riordan group of lower-triangular matrices. This allows us to determine the *LDU* decomposition of certain symmetric Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices. We also determine the generating functions and Hankel transforms of associated sequences.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In [2] Basor and Ehrhardt studied the transformation

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose k} (a_{1-n+2k} + a_{2-n+2k}), \tag{1}$$

defined for sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  in the context of relating the determinants of certain Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices to the determinants of related Hankel matrices.

In this note, we shall study an equivalent transformation, which we will construct with the aid of Riordan arrays [10]. We call this the  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform. We shall then use our results to examine the *LDU* decomposition of the resulting Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> School of Science, Waterford Institute of Technology, Waterford, Ireland

b Department of Computing, Mathematics and Physics, Waterford Institute of Technology, Waterford, Ireland

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptsize{\text{th}}}$  This document is a collaborative effort.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: pbarry@wit.ie (P. Barry), aoife.hennessy@gmail.com (A. Hennessy).

In the next section, we shall detail the notations that will be used in this note, and give a basic introduction to the relevant theory of Riordan arrays. We shall follow this with a section which defines the  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform, studies some of its properties, and shows its equivalence to the Basor and Ehrhardt transform. In particular, we derive an expression for the generating function of the image sequence, which for instance allows us to determine the Hankel transform of image sequence in many cases. A final section then looks at the LDU decomposition of the related Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices, with examples involving Riordan arrays.

#### 2. Notation and basic Riordan array theory

Although many of our results will be valid for sequences  $a_n$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we shall in the sequel assume that the sequences we deal with are integer sequences,  $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For an integer sequence  $a_n$ , that is, an element of  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the power series  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  is called the *ordinary generating function* or g.f. of the sequence.  $a_n$  is thus the coefficient of  $x^n$  in this series. We denote this by  $a_n = [x^n]f(x)$ . For instance,  $F_n = [x^n] \frac{x}{1-x-x^2}$  is the *n*th Fibonacci number, while  $C_n = [x^n] \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$  is the *n*th Catalan number. We use the notation  $0^n = [x^n]1$  for the sequence  $1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots$  Thus  $0^n = [n=0] = \delta_{n,0} = \binom{0}{n}$ . Here, we have used the Iverson bracket notation [4], defined by  $[\mathcal{P}] = 1$  if the proposition  $\mathcal{P}$  is true, and  $[\mathcal{P}] = 0$  if  $\mathcal{P}$  is false. For instance,  $[n \setminus 2 = 0] = 1$  if n is even, where we use the notation  $n \setminus m$  to denote the remainder upon division of n by m.

For a power series  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  with f(0) = 0 we define the reversion or compositional inverse of f to be the power series  $\bar{f}(x)$  such that  $f(\bar{f}(x)) = x$ . We sometimes write  $\bar{f} = \text{Rev} f$ . For instance, xc(x) is the reversion of x(1-x). In other words, u = xc(x) is the solution of the equation u(1-u) = x for which u(0) = 0.

The Hankel transform [7] of a sequence  $a_n$  is the sequence  $h_n = |a_{i+j}|_{i,j=0}^n$ . If the sequence  $a_n$  has a g.f. g(x) that can be expressed in continued fraction form as

$$g(x) = \frac{a_0}{1 - \alpha_0 x - \frac{\beta_1 x^2}{1 - \alpha_1 x - \frac{\beta_2 x^2}{1 - \alpha_2 x - \frac{\beta_3 x^2}{1 - \cdots}}},$$

then the Hankel transform of  $a_n$  is given by [6]

$$h_n = a_0^{n+1} \beta_1^n \beta_2^{n-1} \cdots \beta_{n-1}^2 \beta_n = a_0^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^n \beta_k^{n+1-k}.$$
 (2)

The LDU decomposition of Hankel matrices has been studied in [8,9].

Some of the lower-triangular matrices that we shall meet will be coefficient arrays of families of orthogonal polynomials. General references for orthogonal polynomials include [3,5,12]. Links between Riordan arrays and orthogonal polynomials have been explored in [1].

 $A^t$  will denote the transpose of the matrix A, and we will on occasion use  $A \cdot B$  to denote the product of the matrices AB, where this makes reading the text easier. This also conforms with the use of "·" for the product in the Riordan group (see below).

The *Riordan group* [10,11], is a set of infinite lower-triangular integer matrices, where each matrix is defined by a pair of generating functions  $g(x) = 1 + g_1x + g_2x^2 + \cdots$  and  $f(x) = f_1x + f_2x^2 + \cdots$  where  $f_1 \neq 0$  [11]. We assume in addition that  $f_1 = 1$  in what follows. The associated matrix is the matrix whose *i*th column ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ) is generated by  $g(x)f(x)^i$ . The matrix corresponding to the pair g, f is denoted by (g, f) or  $\mathcal{R}(g, f)$ . The group law is then given by

$$(g, f) \cdot (h, l) = (g, f)(h, l) = (g(h \circ f), l \circ f).$$

The identity for this law is I=(1,x) and the inverse of (g,f) is  $(g,f)^{-1}=(1/(g\circ \bar{f}),\bar{f})$  where  $\bar{f}$  is the compositional inverse of f.

A Riordan array of the form (g(x), x), where g(x) is the generating function of the sequence  $a_n$ , is called the *sequence array* of the sequence  $a_n$ . Its (n, k)th term is  $a_{n-k}$ . Such arrays are also called *Appell* arrays as they form the elements of the Appell subgroup.

If **M** is the matrix (g, f), and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \ldots)'$  is an integer sequence with ordinary generating function  $\mathcal{A}(x)$ , then the sequence **Ma** has [10] ordinary generating function  $g(x)\mathcal{A}(f(x))$ . This result is often called "the Fundamental Theorem of Riordan arrays". The (infinite) matrix (g, f) can thus be considered to act on the ring of integer sequences  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by multiplication, where a sequence is regarded as a (infinite) column vector. We can extend this action to the ring of power series  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  by

$$(g, f) : A(x) \mapsto (g, f) \cdot A(x) = g(x)A(f(x)).$$

**Example 1.** The so-called *binomial matrix*  $\mathbf{B}$  is the element  $(\frac{1}{1-x}, \frac{x}{1-x})$  of the Riordan group. It has general element  $\binom{n}{k}$ , and hence as an array coincides with Pascal's triangle. More generally,  $\mathbf{B}^m$  is the element  $(\frac{1}{1-mx}, \frac{x}{1-mx})$  of the Riordan group, with general term  $\binom{n}{k}m^{n-k}$ . It is easy to show that the inverse  $\mathbf{B}^{-m}$  of  $\mathbf{B}^m$  is given by  $(\frac{1}{1+mx}, \frac{x}{1+mx})$ .

For a sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$  with g.f. g(x), the "aeration" of the sequence is the sequence  $a_0, 0, a_1, 0, a_2, \ldots$  with "interpolated" zeroes. Its g.f. is  $g(x^2)$ .

**Example 2.** We let  $c(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$  be the generating function of the Catalan numbers  $C_n$ . c(x) has the well-known continued fraction expansion

$$c(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1 - \frac{x}{1 - \dots}}}.$$

Then  $c(x^2)$  has the continued fraction expansion

$$c(x^2) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - \dots}}}.$$
(3)

The aeration of a (lower-triangular) matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  with general term  $m_{i,j}$  is the matrix whose general term is given by

$$m^r_{\frac{i+j}{2},\frac{i-j}{2}}\frac{1+(-1)^{i-j}}{2},$$

where  $m_{i,i}^r$  is the i,jth element of the reversal of **M**:

$$m_{i,j}^r = m_{i,i-j}.$$

In the case of a Riordan array (or indeed any lower triangular array), the row sums of the aeration are equal to the diagonal sums of the reversal of the original matrix.

**Example 3.** The Riordan array  $(c(x^2), xc(x^2))$  is the aeration of the Riordan array

$$(c(x), xc(x)) = (1 - x, x(1 - x))^{-1}.$$

Here

$$c(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$$

is the g.f. of the Catalan numbers. Indeed, the reversal of (c(x), xc(x)) is the matrix with general element

$$[k \le n+1] \binom{n+k}{k} \frac{n-k+1}{n+1},$$

which begins

Then  $(c(x^2), xc(x^2))$  has general element

$$\binom{n+1}{\frac{n-k}{2}}\frac{k+1}{n+1}\frac{1+(-1)^{n-k}}{2},$$

and begins

We have

$$(c(x^2), xc(x^2)) = \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

#### 3. The $\mathbb{B}$ -transform

We let

$$L = \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1},$$

where we recall that the Riordan matrix (g, f) is the lower triangular matrix whose kth column has generating function  $g(x)f(x)^k$ , for suitable g and f. Then L has (n, k)th term

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor}$$
,

and  $L^{-1} = \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)$  is the coefficient array of the generalized Chebyshev polynomials defined by

$$P_n(x) = xP_{n-1}(x) - P_{n-2}(x), P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x - 1.$$

The matrix *L* begins

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 6 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 10 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now define  $\mathbb{B}$  to be the matrix

$$\mathbb{B} = L \cdot (1 + x, x)^t. \tag{4}$$

This matrix begins

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 6 & 10 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 10 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 6 & 2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the matrix  $(1 + x, x)^t$  is given by

$$(1+x,x)^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} ,$$

we see that the (n, k)th element of  $\mathbb{B}$  is given by

$$b_{n,k} = \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} - \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot 0^k.$$

Now let  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence. We define the  $\mathbb B$  transform of  $a_n$  to be the sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  given by

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} a_k, \tag{5}$$

where  $\mathbb{B} = (b_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ .

**Example 4.** The  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform of the Fibonacci numbers is given by

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} F_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} - \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot 0^k \right) F_k.$$

This sequence starts

It has the interesting property that its Hankel transform is  $(-2)^n$ .

#### **Proposition 5.** We have

$$\mathbb{B} = \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{T},\tag{6}$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the matrix

$$\mathbb{T} = \left(\frac{1}{1-x}, x\right) \cdot (1+x, x)^t. \tag{7}$$

#### **Proof.** We have

$$\mathbb{B} = L \cdot (1 + x, x)^{t} = L \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - x}, x\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - x}, x\right) \cdot (1 + x, x)^{t}.$$

Now

$$L \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-x}, x\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-x}, x\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\left(\frac{1}{1-x}, x\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1}. \quad \Box$$

We note that  $\left(\frac{1}{1-x},x\right)\cdot(1+x,x)^t$  is the matrix  $\mathbb T$  given by

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now note that

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the action of  $\mathbb{T}$  on a sequence  $a_n$  is to return the sequence with nth term equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k + a_{n+1} - a_0.$$

Thus we have:

**Proposition 6.** We have

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{k+1}{n+1} \frac{1+(-1)^{n-k}}{2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_j \right),$$

or equivalently,

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{k+1}{n+1} \frac{1+(-1)^{n-k}}{2} \left( 2 \sum_{j=0}^k a_j + a_{k+1} - a_0 \right).$$

In the following, we will be interested in determining the g.f. of the image of  $a_n$ . We have the following result.

**Proposition 7.** Let f(x) be the g.f. of  $a_n$ . Then  $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} a_k$  has g.f. given by

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{(1+x)f(x) - a_0}{x(1-x)}\right). \tag{8}$$

Equivalently, the g.f. of  $b_n$  is given by

$$\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{(1+x)f(x) - a_0}{x}\right). \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** The result follows from the fact that the generating function of  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{1-x}f(x) + \frac{1}{1-x}\left(\frac{f(x) - a_0}{x}\right) = \frac{(1+x)f(x) - a_0}{x(1-x)}. \quad \Box$$

**Corollary 8.** The g.f. of  $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k} a_k$  is given by

$$\frac{(1+xc(x^2))f(xc(x^2))-a_0}{x(1-xc(x^2))}.$$

Proof. This follows from the fundamental theorem of Riordan arrays since

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} = (c(x^2), xc(x^2)). \quad \Box$$

**Example 9.** The g.f. of the  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform of the Fibonacci numbers  $F_n$  is given by

$$\frac{1 + xc(x^2)}{x(1 - xc(x^2))} \frac{xc(x^2)}{1 - xc(x^2) - x^2c(x^2)^2}.$$

This follows since

$$F_n = [x^n] \frac{x}{1 - x - x^2}$$

and  $F_0 = 0$ . This g.f. may be simplified to

$$\frac{1 - 4x^2 + x\sqrt{1 - 4x^2}}{1 - 2x - 5x^2 + 10x^3} = \frac{1 - 4x^2 + x\sqrt{1 - 4x^2}}{(1 - 2x)(1 - 5x^2)}$$

By solving the equation

$$u = \frac{1}{1 - 3x + \frac{x^2}{1 + x - x^2 c(x^2)}},$$

we see that this g.f. may be expressed (using Eq. (3)) as the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1-3x+\frac{2x^2}{1+x-\frac{x^2}{1-\frac{x^2}{1-\dots}}}},$$

which shows that the Hankel transform of the  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform of the Fibonacci numbers is  $(-2)^n$ .

We have defined the  $\mathbb B$  matrix using the Riordan array  $\left(\frac{1}{1+x^2},\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)$ . This matrix is associated with the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind  $U_n(x)$  (it is the coefficient array of  $U_n(x/2)$ ). The matrix  $\left(\frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2},\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)$  is related to the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind  $T_n$ . We have

**Proposition 10.** We have

$$\mathbb{B} = \left(\frac{1 - x^2}{1 + x^2}, \frac{x}{1 + x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot (1 + x, x) \cdot (1 + x, x)^t. \tag{10}$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\mathbb{B} = L \cdot (1+x,x)^t = L \cdot (1+x,x)^{-1} \cdot (1+x,x) \cdot (1+x,x)^t$$

$$= L \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1+x},x\right) \cdot (1+x,x) \cdot (1+x,x)^t$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2},\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1+x},x\right) \cdot (1+x,x) \cdot (1+x,x)^t$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2},\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot (1+x,x) \cdot (1+x,x)^t. \quad \Box$$

We can decompose  $(1 + x, x) \cdot (1 + x, x)^t$  as the sum of two matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the sum of (1 + x, x) and a shifted version of (1 + x, x). To obtain  $\mathbb{B}$  we multiply by  $\left(\frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1}$ . This gives us, once again

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 6 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 10 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where the first member of the sum is the Riordan array

$$\left(\frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot (1+x, x) = \left(\frac{1-x^2}{(1+x)(1+x^2)}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} = L.$$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} a_k$  where  $b_{n,k}$  is the (n,k)th element of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}),$$

where we have extended  $a_n$  to negative n by setting  $a_{-n} = a_n$ .

**Proof.** We have seen that  $\mathbb{B}$  has general term

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} - 0^k \cdot \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Thus the  $\mathbb{B}$  transform of  $a_n$  is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} - 0^k \cdot \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \right) a_k,$$

which can also be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} (1-0^k) + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor} \right) a_k$$

since  $0^k \cdot \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} = 0^k \cdot \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor}$ . We can also write this as

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (1 - 0^{n-k+1}) + \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \right) a_{n-k+1}.$$

$$(11)$$

Now note that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n} \binom{n}{n-k} (a_{2k-n} + a_{2k-n-1}).$$

By gathering similar terms in the above expression, and considering the cases of n even  $(n \setminus 2 = 0)$  and n odd, we arrive at

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k+1} + 2a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k-1}) + [n \setminus 2 = 0] \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (a_0 + a_1).$$
(12)

By considering the separate sums for k even and k odd in Eq. (11), extending to negative n and gathering terms we find that also

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k+1} + 2a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k-1}) + [n \setminus 2 = 0] \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (a_0 + a_1). \quad \Box$$

Thus we have the following equivalent expressions:

$$b_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} a_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k+1} + 2a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k-1}) + \lfloor n \backslash 2 = 0 \rfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (a_{0} + a_{1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{k+1}{n+1} \frac{1 + (-1)^{n-k}}{2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{j} \right).$$

#### 4. Symmetric Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices

We now recall result Proposition 2.1 from [2], which we state in the language used above.

**Proposition 12** [2, Proposition 2.1]. Let  $(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^n$  be a sequence with  $a_n=a_{-n}$  and let

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (a_{n-2k} + a_{n-2k+1}).$$

Also let  $H=(b_{i+j})_{i,j\geq 0}$  be the Hankel matrix of  $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$  and  $A=(a_{i-j}+a_{i+j+1})_{i,j\geq 0}$  be the Toeplitz plus Hankel matrix associated to  $(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^n$ . Finally let L be the matrix with (n,k)-th term  $\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor}$ . Then

$$H = L \cdot A \cdot L^{t}. \tag{13}$$

An immediate consequence of this is that

$$A = L^{-1}H(L^t)^{-1} = L^{-1}H(L^{-1})^t.$$

If now H has an LDU decomposition  $H = \mathcal{L} \cdot D \cdot \mathcal{L}^t$  then we obtain an LDU decomposition for the symmetric Toeplitz plus Hankel matrix A:

$$A = L^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot D \cdot \mathcal{L}^t \cdot (L^{-1})^t,$$

or

$$A = (L^{-1}\mathcal{L}) \cdot D \cdot (L^{-1}\mathcal{L})^t. \tag{14}$$

**Example 13.** We continue our example with the Fibonacci numbers. Thus let

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} F_k = [x^n] \frac{1 - 4x^2 + x\sqrt{1 - 4x^2}}{(1 - 2x)(1 - 5x^2)}.$$

For this sequence, we have the following *LDU* decomposition of  $H = (b_{i+j})_{i,j \geq 0}$ .

Here, the first matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  of the product is the inverse of the coefficient array of the orthogonal polynomials for which the sequence  $b_n$  is the moment sequence. These polynomials are specified by

$$P_n(x) = xP_{n-1}(x) - P_{n-2}(x), \quad P_0(x) = 1, \quad P_1(x) = x - 3, \quad P_2(x) = x^2 - 2x - 1.$$

We have

$$L^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 8 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 13 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 13 & \dots \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 10 & 15 & \dots \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 14 & 23 & \dots \\ 5 & 6 & 9 & 13 & 22 & 35 & \dots \\ 8 & 10 & 14 & 22 & 34 & 56 & \dots \\ 13 & 16 & 23 & 35 & 56 & 89 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

We note that the matrix  $L^{-1}\mathcal{L}$  in this case is "almost" a Riordan array, in that it is the Fibonacci "sequence-array"  $\left(\frac{1}{1-x-x^2},x\right)$  with general term  $[k \leq n]F_{n-k+1}$ , shifted once with a first column of  $F_{n+2}$  pre-pended.

**Example 14.** We take the example of the Jacobsthal numbers

$$J_n = \frac{2^n}{3} - \frac{(-1)^n}{3} = [x^n] \frac{x}{1 - x - 2x^2}.$$

We note that this is the element corresponding to r=2 of the family of sequences with nth term given by

$$[x^n] \frac{x}{1 - x - rx^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} {n-k-1 \choose k} r^k,$$

where the Fibonacci numbers correspond to r = 1. Thus we let

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} J_k.$$

Then the g.f. for  $b_n$  is given by

$$(c(x), xc(x^2)) \cdot \left(\frac{(1+x)\left(\frac{x}{1-x-2x^2}\right) - 0}{x(1-x)}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{1-4x^2} + 3(1-2x)}{2(2-9x+10x^2)}.$$

This is equivalent to the expansion

$$\frac{1}{1 - 3x + \frac{x^2}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - \dots}}}$$

from which we deduce that the Hankel transform of the  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform of the Jacobsthal numbers is  $(-1)^n$ . Using Eq. (9), we can also write the g.f. of  $b_n$  as

$$\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{(1+x)\frac{x}{(1+x)(1-2x)}}{x}\right) = \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{1-2x},$$

and hence we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} b_{n,k} J_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} 2^k.$$

The Hankel matrix H for  $b_n$  has LDU decomposition  $\mathcal{LDL}^t$  as follows:

In this case, the matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Riordan array, equal to

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - 4x^2 + 3(1 - 2x)}}{2(2 - 9x + 10x^2)}, xc(x^2)\right) = \left(\frac{1 - 3x + 2x^2}{1 + x^2}, \frac{x}{1 + x^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Here,  $\left(\frac{1-3x+2x^2}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)$  is the coefficient array of the family of orthogonal polynomials given by

$$P_n(x) = xP_{n-1} - P_{n-2}(x), \quad P_0(x) = 1, \quad P_1(x) = x - 3, \quad P_2(x) = x^2 - 3x + 1.$$

The  $\mathbb{B}$ -transform of the Jacobsthal numbers  $J_n$  is thus the moment sequence for this family of orthogonal polynomials. Finally, we have

$$L^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-4x^2}+3(1-2x)}}{2(2-9x+10x^2)}, xc(x^2)\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1-3x+2x^2}{1+x^2}, \frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1-2x}, x\right).$$

Thus the Toeplitz plus Hankel matrix A associated to the Jacobsthal numbers  $J_n$  has LDU decomposition

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 16 & 32 & \dots \\ 2 & 3 & 6 & 12 & 24 & 48 & \dots \\ 4 & 6 & 11 & 22 & 44 & 88 & \dots \\ 8 & 12 & 22 & 43 & 86 & 172 & \dots \\ 16 & 24 & 44 & 86 & 171 & 342 & \dots \\ 32 & 48 & 88 & 172 & 342 & 683 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 16 & 8 & 4 & 2$$

#### References

- [1] P. Barry, A. Hennessy, Meixner-type results for Riordan arrays and associated integer sequences, J. Integer Seq. 13 (2010) Article 10.9.4
- [2] E.L. Basor, T. Ehrhardt, Some identities for determinants of structured matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 343-344 (2002) 5-19.
- [3] T.S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [4] I. Graham, D.E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995.
- [5] W. Gautschi, Orthogonal Polynomials: Computation and Approximation, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [6] C. Krattenthaler, Advanced determinant calculus, Sém. Lothar. Combin 42 (1999) Article B42q, Available electronically at <a href="http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9902004">http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9902004</a>, 2012.
- [7] J.W. Layman, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, J. Integer Seq. 4 (2001) Article 01.1.5
- [8] P. Peart, L. Woodson, Triple factorisation of some Riordan matrices, Fibonacci Quart. 31 (1993) 121–128.
- [9] P. Peart, W.-J. Woan, Generating functions via Hankel and Stieltjes matrices, J. Integer Seq. 3 (2000) Article 00.2.1
- [10] L.W. Shapiro, S. Getu, W.-J. Woan, L.C. Woodson, The Riordan group, Discrete Appl. Math. 34 (1991) 229-239.
- [11] R. Sprugnoli, Riordan arrays and combinatorial sums, Discrete Math. 132 (1994) 267–290.
- [12] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, fourth ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.