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#### Abstract

With the differential calculus on the Faber polynomials, we calculate the Faber polynomials for powers of inverse functions. We apply the same methods to obtain majoration of the derivatives of the Faber polynomials of a univalent function of the class $\Sigma$.


Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C50

Keywords: Faber polynomials, univalent functions

## 1 Introduction

Let $g(z)=z+b_{1}+b_{2} z^{-1}+\cdots+b_{n} z^{1-n}+\cdots$, the Faber polynomials $\psi_{n}(t)$ of $g(z)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-t}=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(t) z^{-m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F_{k}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{k}\right)=\psi_{k}(0), k \geq 1$, then $\psi_{n}(t)=F_{n}\left(b_{1}-t, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{g(z)-t}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} G_{n}\left(b_{1}-t, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) z^{-n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h(z)=z g\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$, then $\frac{1}{h(z)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} G_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) z^{n}$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{n}\left(b_{1}-t, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n+1} \psi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{k+1} \frac{\partial F_{k+1}}{\partial b_{1}}\left(b_{1}-t, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{k}\right)  \tag{3}\\
h(z)^{p}=\sum_{n \geq 0} K_{n}^{p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) z^{n} \quad \forall p \text { real }  \tag{4}\\
\left(b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+b_{n} z^{n}+\cdots\right)^{m}=\sum_{s \geq 0} D_{m+s}^{m}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right) z^{s+m} \quad \forall m \in N \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $D_{n}^{0}=0$ if $n>0$ and $D_{0}^{0}=1, G_{n}=K_{n}^{-1}$ and $K_{n}^{0}=0$ if $n>0$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{n}^{p}}{p}=-\frac{F_{n}}{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $K_{n}^{p}$ for $K_{n}^{p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, ..\right)$ for $\left(G_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), G_{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), ..\right)$. With $h(z)^{p} \times h(z)=h(z)^{p+1}$, we see that $K_{n}^{p}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{p}+b_{1} K_{n-1}^{p}+b_{2} K_{n-2}^{p}+\cdots+b_{n-1} K_{1}^{p}+b_{n}=K_{n}^{p+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, see [3], $F_{n}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, \cdots, G_{n}\right)=-F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{-p}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, \cdots, G_{n}\right)=K_{n}^{p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and see [9], [8] and [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m} D_{n}^{m}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-m+1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivatives of $F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)$ with respect to any of the variables $b_{j}$ are given in [3]. With respect to $b_{1}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial b_{1}^{k}} F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)=(-1)^{k}(k-1)!K_{n-k}^{-k}\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right)  \tag{10}\\
=(-1)^{k}(k-1)!K_{n-k}^{k}\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n-k}\right)=(-1)^{k}(k-1)!D_{n}^{k}\left(1, G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n-k}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote $C_{m}^{p}=\frac{p(p-1) \cdots(p-m+1)}{m!}$, then for $p \geq 1, p, q \in N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{q} C_{q}^{-p}=\sum_{k=1}^{q} C_{k}^{p} \frac{(q-1)!}{(q-k)!(k-1)!} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $D_{n}^{m}\left(b_{1}-t, b_{2}, . ., b_{n-m+1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{k}^{m}(-1)^{k} D_{n-k}^{m-k}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n-m+1}\right) t^{k}$ for $1 \leq m<n$ and $D_{n}^{n}=b_{1}^{n}$.

## 2 The Faber polynomials of the inverse function

Let $g(z)$ as in (1) and denote $g^{-1}(z)$ the inverse function, $\left(g \circ g^{-1}=I d e n t i t y\right)$, then, see [2, (I.2.7)-(I.2.8)] and [3, proposition 6.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1}(z)=z-b_{1}-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} K_{n+1}^{n} \frac{1}{z^{n}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left[g^{-1}(u)\right]^{p}}=\frac{1}{u^{p}}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{n+p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) \frac{1}{u^{n+p}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expansion is valid for any real number $p$ with the convention that when $n+p=0$, we replace the coefficient $\frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{n+p}$ by $F_{n}$, this is in accordance with (6). This shows that for any $p \in R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{-p}\left(-b_{1},-K_{2}^{1},-\frac{1}{2} K_{3}^{2}, \cdots,-\frac{1}{n-1} K_{n}^{n-1}\right)=\frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{n+p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the left hand side, $K_{n}^{p}$ means $K_{n}^{p}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)$. Making $p \rightarrow 0$ as in (6), we deduce from (14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}\left(-b_{1},-K_{2}^{1},-\frac{1}{2} K_{3}^{2}, \cdots,-\frac{1}{n-1} K_{n}^{n-1}\right)=K_{n}^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Faber polynomials $\phi_{n}(t)$ in terms of the $\left(b_{n}\right)$, for the inverse function $g^{-1}$ are well known, they are the Taylor part in the Laurent expansion of $g(z)^{n}$, see for example the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [8]. We give a different proof of this fact: In (15), we have the value $\phi_{n}(0)$ at $t=0$. We do a Taylor expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(t)=F_{n}\left(-b_{1}-t,-K_{2}^{1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right),-\frac{1}{2} K_{3}^{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), \cdots\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) at the last step, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\phi_{n}^{(k)}(0)}{k!}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k} F_{n}}{\partial b_{1}^{k}}\left(-b_{1},-K_{2}^{1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right),-\frac{1}{2} K_{3}^{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), \cdots\right)  \tag{17}\\
= & \frac{n}{k} K_{n-k}^{-k}\left(-b_{1},-K_{2}^{1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right),-\frac{1}{2} K_{3}^{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), \cdots\right)=K_{n-k}^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(t)=K_{n}^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} K_{n-k}^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right) t^{k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Taylor part of $g(t)^{n}$. With the same method,
Theorem 2.1 The Faber polynomials $\phi_{n}(t)$ of $\frac{z^{p+1}}{\left[g^{-1}(z)\right]^{p}}$ are given in terms of the $\left(b_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(t)=-p \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n}{n-k(1+p)} K_{n-k}^{n-k(1+p)}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right) t^{k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention $\frac{n}{n-(p+1) k} K_{n-k}^{n-(p+1) k}=-\frac{(1+p)}{p} F_{k p}$ if $n=(p+1) k$.

Proof. We give the proof for $p=1$. Then $\phi_{n}(t)=-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n}{n-2 k} K_{n-k}^{n-2 k} t^{k}$ with the convention $\frac{n}{n-2 k} K_{n-k}^{n-2 k}=-2 F_{k}$ if $n=2 k$. With (13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{p}\left(b_{1}, \frac{1}{3} K_{2}^{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), \cdots, \frac{1}{n+1} K_{n}^{n+1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)\right)=\frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{n+p} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (6), dividing by $p$ and making $p \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\phi_{n}(0)=F_{n}\left(b_{1}, \frac{1}{3} K_{2}^{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), . ., \frac{1}{n+1} K_{n}^{n+1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)\right)=-K_{n}^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)
$$

Then with (10), we apply Taylor formula to,

$$
\phi_{n}(t)=F_{n}\left(b_{1}-t, \frac{1}{3} K_{2}^{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), \cdots, \frac{1}{n+1} K_{n}^{n+1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Similarly, let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n \geq 1} b_{n} z^{n+1}$ and the inverse $f^{-1}(z),\left(f o f^{-1}=I d\right)$, then for any real $p$, see [2, (I.2.6)-(I.2.9)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f^{-1}(z)\right]^{p}=z^{p}\left[1+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{-(n+p)} z^{n}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that we replace $\frac{p}{p-n} K_{n}^{p-n}$ in (21) by $-F_{n}$ if $p=n$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{f^{-1}(z)}=1+b_{1} z-\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n-1} K_{n}^{1-n} z^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n \geq 1} b_{n} z^{n+1}$, the Faber polynomials $\phi_{n}(t)$ of $z^{1+p}\left[f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]^{p}$ are given in terms of the $\left(b_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(t)=-p \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n}{n-k(1+p)} K_{n-k}^{-n+k(1+p)}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right) t^{k} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. With (21), $K_{n}^{q}\left(-p b_{1}, . ., \frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{-(n+p)}\right)=\frac{p q}{p q+n} K_{n}^{-p q-n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)$ if $n+p q \neq n$, otherwise we use (6). As in (6), we divide by $q$ and make $q \rightarrow 0, F_{n}\left(-p b_{1}, \cdots, \frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{-(n+p)}\right)=-p K_{n}^{-n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)$. We have $\phi_{n}(t)=$ $F_{n}\left(-p b_{1}-t, . ., \frac{p}{n+p} K_{n}^{-(n+p)}\right)$. We calculate its coefficients $\frac{\phi_{n}^{k}(0)}{k!}$ with (10) • For $p=-1, \phi_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} K_{n-k}^{-n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right) t^{k}$ and similarly to (18), this case can be obtained directly since the inverse of $g(z)=\frac{1}{f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$ is $g^{-1}(u)=$ $\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)}$. A different proof of (19) and (23) would consist in making a change of variables in Cauchy integral formula. A succession of manipulations on $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ as raising to the power and taking the inverse as in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 brings always series with coefficients in the class of polynomials $K_{n}^{p}$.

## 3 Majoration of the derivatives of the Faber polynomials for $g(z)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$

Theorem 3.1 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n \geq 1} b_{n} z^{n+1}$. The $n^{t h}$ Faber polynomial of $g(z)=z^{1+p}\left[f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]^{p}$ is $\phi_{n}(t)=p F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n}{k} K_{n-k}^{-p k}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n-k}\right) t^{k}$. In particular for $p=-1$, see [9, (30)], let $\phi_{n}(t)$ be the $n^{\text {th }}$ Faber polynomial of $g(z)=\left[f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]^{-1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \phi_{n}(t)=-\frac{1}{n} F_{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{t^{k}}{k} D_{n}^{k}\left(1, b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-k}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $g(z)=z+p b_{1}+\frac{K_{2}^{p}}{z}+\cdots+\frac{K_{n+1}^{p}}{z^{n}}+\cdots$. Because of (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(t)=F_{n}\left(p b_{1}-t, K_{2}^{p}, \cdots, K_{n}^{p}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Taylor's formula, $\phi_{n}(t)=\phi_{n}(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} t^{k}$. For $k \geq 1$, the $k^{t h}$ derivative is
$\phi_{n}^{(k)}(t)=(-1)^{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial b_{1}^{k}} F_{n}\right)\left(p b_{1}-t, K_{2}^{p}, . ., K_{n}^{p}\right)=(k-1)!n K_{n-k}^{-k}\left(p b_{1}-t, K_{2}^{p}, . ., K_{n-k}^{p}\right)$
Thus $\frac{\phi_{n}^{(k)}(0)}{k!}=\frac{n}{k} K_{n-k}^{-p k}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, . ., b_{n-k}\right)$. When $p=-1$, we obtain (24) Our proof uses differential calculus whereas the proofs in [9, 10] are combinatory. Remark that (24) is also an immediate consequence of (21) since (24) is the Taylor part of $\frac{1}{n}\left[f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]^{-n}$. See (18). When $p=-1$, the derivatives $\phi_{n}^{(k)}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \phi_{n}^{(k)}(t)=(k-1)!K_{n-k}^{-k}\left(G_{1}-t, G_{2}, \cdots, G_{n-k}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-k} \frac{k!}{s+k} D_{n}^{s+k} t^{s} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [9], when $g(z)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$ and $f(z)=z+b_{1} z^{2}+b_{2} z^{3}+.$. is univalent in $|z| \leq 1$, the positivity of the coefficients of the polynomials $D_{n}^{k}$ and De Branges theorem $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq n+1$ permit to obtain a majoration of the first derivative $\phi_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ for $|t| \leq 1$, the Koebe function is extremal. Because of (26), we can apply the same method, see Theorem 3.3, to all the derivatives $\phi_{n}^{(k)}(t)$ and the Koebe function is again extremal.
Let $f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ be the Koebe function and $g(z)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}=z-2+\frac{1}{z}$. We denote $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ the two roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-(2+t) x+1=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in section 4, the Faber polynomials of $g(z)$ are the Tchebicheff polynomials, see for example [11]. For $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{n}(t)=x_{1}(t)^{n}+x_{2}(t)^{n}=F_{n}(-(2+t), 1,0, \cdots, 0,) \\
\pi_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{2 k}^{2 n} t^{k}(4+t)^{n-k}=\left(\frac{2+t+\sqrt{4 t+t^{2}}}{2}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{2+t-\sqrt{4 t+t^{2}}}{2}\right)^{n} \\
\pi_{1}(t)=2+t, \pi_{2}(t)=2+4 t+t^{2}=\pi_{1}(v), \pi_{3}(t)=(2+t)(1+v), \cdots \text { with } \\
v=4 t+t^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $x(t)$ is a root of $(27)$, then $x(t)^{4}-(2+v) x(t)^{2}+1=0$; for $y(v)=x(t)^{2}$, it gives $\pi_{n}(v)=y_{1}(v)^{n}+y_{2}(v)^{n}=x_{1}(t)^{2 n}+x_{2}(t)^{2 n}=\pi_{2 n}(t)$. Thus

$$
\pi_{2 n}(t)=2^{1-2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{2 k}^{2 n}(2+t)^{2 k}\left(4 t+t^{2}\right)^{n-k}=2^{1-2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{2 k}^{2 n}(4+v)^{k} v^{n-k}
$$

This proves the validity of the expression of $\pi_{n}(t)$ following (28). We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(t)=2 T_{n}\left(\frac{t+2}{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}$ is the $n^{t h}$ Tchebicheff polynomial, see section 4. From (27),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 3, \quad \pi_{n}(t)=(2+t) \pi_{n-1}(t)-\pi_{n-2}(t) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the polynomial $\pi_{n}(t)$ has positive coefficients, its maximum value on $|t| \leq 1$ is at $t=1$. Since $\pi_{1}(1)=3, \pi_{2}(1)=7$, we obtain $\pi_{n}(1)$ from (30). For the derivatives $\pi_{n}^{(k)}(t)$, we have $\pi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=1, \pi_{2}^{\prime}(t)=2(2+t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} \pi_{n}^{\prime}(t)= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 t+t^{2}}}\left[\left(\frac{2+t+\sqrt{4 t+t^{2}}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{2+t-\sqrt{4 t+t^{2}}}{2}\right)^{n}\right]  \tag{31}\\
& \frac{1}{n} \pi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{n}{4 t+t^{2}} \pi_{n}(t)-\frac{2+t}{4 t+t^{2}} \frac{\pi_{n}^{\prime}(t)}{n}  \tag{32}\\
& \frac{1}{2 p+1} \pi_{2 p+1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2^{2 p}} \sum_{j=0}^{p} C_{2 j+1}^{2 p+1}\left(4 t+t^{2}\right)^{j}(2+t)^{2(p-j)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and a similar expression for $\frac{1}{2 p} \pi_{2 p}^{\prime}(t)$. Remark that (32) is a particular case of Theorem 9.1 in [3]. On the other hand, (28)-(33) give interesting relations if we put $2+t=\cos (\theta)$ as in section 4 , or $2+t=\cosh \theta$.

Theorem $3.2 \max _{|t| \leq 1}\left|\pi_{n}^{(k)}(t)\right|=\pi_{n}^{(k)}(1)$ for $k \geq 1$. Moreover $a_{n}(k)=$ $\frac{1}{n} \pi_{n}^{(k)}(1)=(k-1)!K_{n-k}^{-k}(-3,1,0, \cdots, 0)$ are the coefficients of the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{k}}{\left(1-3 z+z^{2}\right)^{k}}=z^{k}+\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq k+1} a_{n}(k) z^{n} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $f(z)=z+b_{1} z^{2}+\cdots$ be univalent in the disc $|z| \leq 1$ and $g(z)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$ as in Theorem 3.1. For $|t| \leq 1, k \geq 1$, we have $\left|\phi_{n}^{(k)}(t)\right| \leq \pi_{n}^{(k)}(1)$.

## 4 Faber polynomials as symmetric functions of the roots and the Tchebicheff polynomials

The Faber polynomials $\psi_{n}(t)$ introduced in [5] for expansions of analytic functions and studied by P. Montel [7] can be obtained by Schiffer's elimination procedure [6]. A recent point of view, see [4], [8], is to consider the $\psi_{n}(t)$ as symmetric functions of the roots of an algebraic equation. Let $g(z)$ as in (1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}(\xi, t)=\xi^{m}+\left(b_{1}-t\right) \xi^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{m}=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\xi-x_{k}(t)\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \cdots, x_{m}(t)$ are the roots of $Q_{m}(\xi, t)$ and let $\pi_{j}(t)=x_{1}(t)^{j}+$ $x_{2}(t)^{j}+\cdots+x_{m}^{j}(t)$ be the symmetric polynomial of the roots of $Q_{m}$, it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{m}+\left(b_{1}-t\right) \pi_{m-1}+\cdots+b_{m-1} \pi_{1}+m b_{m}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), the relation (36) is also valid for $\psi_{m}$. Compare (36) with (6)-(7).
Theorem 4.1 We have $\psi_{n}(t)=\pi_{n}(t)$
Using De Moivre formula, we interpret Theorem 4.1 with the Tchebicheff polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ where

$$
T_{n}(\cos \theta)=\cos (n \theta) \quad \text { and } \quad U_{n}(\cos \theta)=\frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}
$$

It is well known that $\left(x^{2}-1\right) U_{n}^{\prime}(x)=(n+1) T_{n+1}(x)-x U_{n}(x)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{n}(x)=U_{n}(x)-x U_{n-1}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(1-x^{2}\right) U_{n-1}(x)=x T_{n}(x)-T_{n+1}(x) \\
T_{n}^{\prime}(x)=n U_{n-1}(x) \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4.2 Let $g(z)=z+b_{1}+\frac{1}{z}$ and $\left(\psi_{m}(t)\right)_{m \geq 1}$ the Faber polynomials associated to $g(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(t)=2 T_{m}\left(\frac{t-b_{1}}{2}\right)=2 \cos (m \theta) \quad \text { with } \quad 2 \cos (\theta)=t-b_{1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $Q_{m}(\xi, t)=\xi^{m}+\left(b_{1}-t\right) \xi^{m-1}+\xi^{m-2}$. It has $m-2$ zero roots and the two others non zero roots are $x_{1}(t)=e^{i \theta}$ and $x_{2}(t)=e^{-i \theta}$ with $2 \cos \theta=t-b_{1}$. From Theorem 4.1, $\psi_{m}(t)=x_{1}(t)^{m}+x_{2}(t)^{m}=e^{i m \theta}+e^{-i m \theta}=2 T_{m}(\cos \theta) \bullet$

Theorem 4.3 Let $g(z)=z+b_{1}+\frac{1}{z}$ and $G_{n}(t)=G_{n}\left(b_{1}-t, 1,0, \cdots, 0\right)$ defined by $\frac{z}{g(z)-t}=1+\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} G_{m}(t) z^{-m}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}(t)=\frac{\sin ((m+1) \theta)}{\sin \theta} \quad \text { with } \quad 2 \cos \theta=t-b_{1} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (38), $G_{m}(t)=\frac{1}{m+1} \psi_{m+1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2}{n+1} T_{m+1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{t-b_{1}}{2}\right) \times \frac{1}{2}$. Using (37), we get $G_{m}(t)=U_{m}\left(\frac{t-b_{1}}{2}\right)$, thus (39).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks Emil Minchev for the latex version used in the preparation of the manuscript.

## References

[1] H. Airault, Symmetric sums associated to the factorization of Grunsky coefficients, Conference, Groups and symmetries, April 27-29 (2007) Montreal.
[2] H. Airault, J. Ren, An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 126 (2002), 343-367.
[3] H. Airault, A. Bouali, Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials, Bull. Sci. Math., 130 (2006), 179-222.
[4] A. Bouali, Faber polynomials, Cayley-Hamilton equation and Newton symmetric functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 130 (2006), 49-70.
[5] G. Faber, Uber polynomische Entwicklungen, Math. Annalen, 57 (1903), 385-408.
[6] M. Schiffer, Faber polynomials in the theory of univalent functions, Bull. Amer. Soc., 54 (1948), 503-517.
[7] P. Montel, Leçons sur les séries de polynômes á une variable complexe, Coll. monographies sur la théorie des fonctions, Gauthier-Villars (1910).
[8] H. Airault, Yu. A. Neretin, On the action of Virasoro algebra on the space of univalent functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 132 (2008), 27-39.
[9] P. G. Todorov, On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of the class $\Sigma$, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., 162 (1991) 268-276.
[10] P. G. Todorov, Explicit formulas for the coefficients of Faber polynomials with respect to univalent functions of the class $\Sigma$, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Soc., 82 (1981) 431-438.
[11] P. K. Suetin, Series of Faber polynomials, Analytical Methods and Special Functions, transl. from Russian (1984), Gordon and Breach Science Publ.

## Received: September 1, 2007

